

## Visitors' report

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Paramedic
Date of visit	20 – 21 May 2014

### Contents

Executive summary	2
Introduction	
Visit details	
Sources of evidence	
Recommended outcome	
Conditions	

#### Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. We are a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. We currently regulate 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'paramedic' must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 26 August 2014. At the Committee meeting, the programme was approved. This means that the education provider has met the conditions outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

#### Introduction

The HCPC visited the programme at the education provider as it was a new programme which was seeking HCPC approval for the first time. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was an HCPC only visit. The education provider did not validate or review the programme at the visit and the professional body did not consider their accreditation of the programme. The education provider supplied an independent chair and secretary for the visit.

#### Visit details

Name of HCPC visitors and profession	Paul Bates (Paramedic) Sue Boardman (Paramedic)
HCPC executive officer (in attendance)	Abdur Razzaq
HCPC observer	Tracey Samuel-Smith
Proposed student numbers	32 per year
Proposed start date of programme approval	September 2014
Chair	Jackie Rogers (University of the West of England, Bristol)
Secretary	Dave Nolan (University of the West of England, Bristol)

#### Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification			
Descriptions of the modules			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs			
Practice placement handbook			
Student handbook			
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff			
External examiners' reports from the last two years			

The HCPC reviewed external examiners' reports from the last two years for the Foundation degree paramedic programme. This programme is new and therefore there are no external examiners reports for it.

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme			
Programme team			
Placements providers and educators / mentors			
Students	$\boxtimes$		
Learning resources	$\boxtimes$		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	$\boxtimes$		

The HCPC met with students from the Foundation Degree Paramedic Science, as the programme seeking approval currently does not have any students enrolled on it.

#### Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 55 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining two SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval.

Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

#### **Conditions**

#### 3.2 The programme must be effectively managed.

**Condition:** The education provider must provide further evidence to clearly outline the agreed placement arrangements with placement providers including the numbers, range and structures of placements.

**Reason:** At the visit, the HCPC panel met with the programme team, senior staff and practice placement providers and discussed how various aspects of the programme are managed. However, from the documentation provided and discussions, the visitors were unable to determine the placements arrangements in place for the programme or how the education provider maintains overall responsibility for the practice placements. Discussion indicated that a memorandum of understanding would be agreed before the programme starts. The visitors noted the education provider has strong informal arrangements with placement providers and suggest a memorandum of understanding with the placement providers would formalise placement arrangements including the numbers, range and structures of placements. The visitors require the programme team to provide the agreed memorandum of understanding as further evidence which clearly articulates:

- the number of placements;
- the placements' structure;
- · ranges of placements; and
- any associated processes.

This will enable the visitors to determine this programme will be effectively managed.

# 4.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

**Condition:** The education provider must demonstrate how the curriculum ensures that students understand the implications of the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

**Reason:** From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find evidence to outline where HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics were referred to in the programme curriculum and how the education provider ensures that students understand these standards, including how and where they apply. The visitors therefore require additional evidence to identify how the programme team ensure that students on the programme understand the implications of the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

Paul Bates Sue Boardman