health & care professions council

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	University of Hull
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Life Sciences)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of visit	17 – 18 June 2014

Contents

Executive summary	.2
ntroduction	
/isit details	.3
Sources of evidence	.4
Recommended outcome	.5
Conditions	.6

Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. We are a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. We currently regulate 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'biomedical scientist' must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the ongoing approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 26 August 2014. At the Committee meeting, the ongoing approval of the programme was re-confirmed. This means that the education provider has met the condition outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

Introduction

The HCPC visited the programme at the education provider as it was a new programme which was seeking HCPC approval for the first time. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The professional body and the HCPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of the programme and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HCPC's recommendations on the programme only. As an independent regulatory body, the HCPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HCPC's standards. A separate report, produced by the professional body, outlines their decisions on the programme's status.

Name of HCPC visitors and profession	David Houliston (Biomedical scientist) Mary Popeck (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive officer (in attendance)	Abdur Razzaq
Proposed student numbers	20 per year
Proposed start date of programme approval	September 2014
Chair	Jason Eames (University of Hull)
Secretary	Katherine Darlington (University of Hull)
Members of the joint panel	Philip Cheetham (The Institute of Biomedical Science) Andrew Usher (The Institute of Biomedical Science) Alan Wainwright (The Institute of
	Biomedical Science)

Visit details

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	\square		
Descriptions of the modules	\square		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	\boxtimes		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	\square		
Practice placement handbook	\square		
Student handbook			
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff			
External examiners' reports from the last two years			

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	\boxtimes		
Programme team	\square		
Placements providers and educators / mentors	\square		
Students	\square		
Learning resources			
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	\square		

The HCPC met with students from several biomedical science programmes as this is a new programme and does not have any students enrolled on it.

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a condition is set on the programme, which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 56 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining one SET.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

Conditions

6.8 Assessment regulations, or other relevant policies, must clearly specify requirements for approved programmes being the only programmes which contain any reference to an HCPC protected title or part of the Register in their named award.

Condition: The programme team must clearly articulate the approved programme and the exit awards in place and ensure the exit awards do not contain any reference to the protected title or part of the Register in their named award.

Reason: The visitors noted the programme and admission documents did not provide enough clarity for students as to the exit awards in place for the programme. The visitors also noted the programme was referred to inaccurately as "BSc Healthcare Science (Life Science)" not "BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Life Sciences)". The visitors were concerned that the programme and admission documents did not provide enough clarity for students around the final award to be achieved and the possible exit awards in place for the programme. During the programme team meeting, it was discussed that the programme team will update the programme documents to reflect all the awards for the programme including making it clear that the final award will be the only award that leads to eligibility to apply for registration with HCPC as a biomedical scientist. In order to be satisfied this standard is met the visitors require evidence that the programme documents are produced in line with these HCPC requirements.

> Mary Popeck David Houliston