

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Programme name	MSc Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of visit	7 – 8 May 2014

Contents

Introduction	2
Visit details3	
30ulces 0l evidelice	
Recommended outcome5	
Conditions6	

Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. We are a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. We currently regulate 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'social worker' in England must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the ongoing approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 26 August 2014. At the Committee meeting, the ongoing approval of the programme was re-confirmed. This means that the education provider has met the conditions outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

Introduction

The HCPC visited the programme at the education provider as the social work profession came onto the register in 2012 and a decision was made by the Education and Training Committee to visit all existing programmes from this profession. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The visit also considered the 'BSc (Hons) in Social Work' programme. The professional body and the HCPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of all the programmes and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HCPC's recommendations on this programme only. A separate report exists for the 'BSc (Hons) in Social Work'. As an independent regulatory body, the HCPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HCPC's standards. A separate report, produced by the professional body outlines their decisions on the programmes' status.

Visit details

Name of HCPC visitors and profession	Jane McLenachan (Social worker) Deborah Kouzarides (Social worker)
HCPC executive officer (in attendance)	Hollie Latham
Proposed student numbers	20 per year
First approved intake	September 2014
Chair	Derrol Palmer (University of Huddersfield)
Secretary	Elaine Carter-Burke (University of Huddersfield) Susan Smith (University of Huddersfield) Angie Sprawson (University of Huddersfield)
Members of the joint panel	Caroline Hickman (The College of Social Work) Nigel Simons (The College of Social Work)

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification			
Descriptions of the modules			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs			
Practice placement handbook			
Student handbook			
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	\boxtimes		
External examiners' reports from the last two years	\boxtimes		

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme			
Programme team			
Placements providers and educators / mentors			
Students	\boxtimes		
Learning resources	\boxtimes		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)			

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 54 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining three SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval.

Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

Conditions

3.8 The resources to support student learning in all settings must be effectively used.

Condition: The education provider must submit further evidence that the resources to support students throughout the programme provide accurate and consistent information, particularly the language associated with statutory regulation and the HCPC.

Reason: The visitors noted a number of inaccuracies in the programme documentation. The MSc Course Handbook states that "The Health & Care Professions Council (HCPC) is the professional body for health & social care workers." (page 5), and "From August 2012, the Health & Care Professions Council (HCPC) have become the professional body who register social workers." (page 9). These statements, amongst others, incorrectly refer to the HCPC as the professional body instead of the regulator. In a meeting with the programme team it was highlighted that the programme does not offer any interprofessional learning (IPL). However, The MSc Course Handbook makes reference to the IPL opportunities within the school, specifically "Our commitment as a School is to offer you as many interdisciplinary learning opportunities as possible." (page 24). This information could be misleading to students and potential applicants. To be satisfied this SET is met, the visitors require the programme documentation to be revised to ensure that details of the programme and references to the HCPC are consistent and accurate throughout.

3.15 Throughout the course of the programme, the education provider must have identified where attendance is mandatory and must have associated monitoring mechanisms in place.

Condition: The programme team must provide further evidence that the attendance policies are communicated clearly to students.

Reason: Page 25 of The MSc Course Handbook directs students to the University of Huddersfield attendance monitoring policy which states "Students should be aware that there are specific attendance requirements on some courses and that they must comply with these as well as the general expectations of the University." (page 1). In a meeting with the programme team it was made clear that for this particular programme students were expected to attend all lectures and placement days. In addition to this if a student were to miss three consecutive sessions they would receive contact from the University and for five consecutive sessions, contact from the dean. The visitors were therefore satisfied with the processes in place to monitor student attendance. However, in a meeting with students it was evident that students were unclear of the attendance requirements for their programme. In addition to this, students were unclear of the process that would be followed should their attendance fall below expectation. The visitors therefore require further evidence that the process regarding attendance is clearly communicated to students.

6.11 Assessment regulations must clearly specify requirements for the appointment of at least one external examiner who must be appropriately experienced and qualified and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be from the relevant part of the Register.

Condition: The programme team must submit further evidence that there will be at least one external examiner who will be appropriately experienced and qualified and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be from the relevant part of the Register.

Reason: The visitors were satisfied with the current external examiner arrangements. However, the visitors noted in the documentation submitted by the education provider there was insufficient detail concerning the recruitment of external examiners to this programme. This standard requires the assessment regulations to clearly articulate the requirements for the appointment of at least one external examiner who must be appropriately experienced and qualified and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be appropriately registered with the HCPC. The visitors therefore require evidence that HCPC requirements regarding the appointment of external examiners to the programme have been included in the relevant documentation to ensure that this standard will be met.

Deborah Kouzarides Jane McLenachan