

Health Professions Council

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	University of Teesside	
Name and titles of programme(s)	University Certificate in Professional Development (UCPD) University Certificate in Postgraduate Professional Development (UCPPD)	
Mode of Delivery (FT/PT)	PT	
Date of Visit	21 st June 2007	
Proposed date of approval to commence	September 2007	
Name of HPC visitors attending (including member type and professional area)	Kathy Burgess – Radiographer Jane Topham - Paramedic	
HPC Executive officer(s) (in attendance)	Katherine Lock	
Joint panel members in attendance (name and delegation):	Angela Morgan – Learning and Teaching Development – Chair Fiona Terry – Secretary Roy Connell – HLSP Reviewer Marion Grieves – School of Health and Social Care Carol Wylie – School of Health and Social Care Jill Kent – Senior Lecturer - Physiotherapy	

Scope of visit (please tick)

New programme	\boxtimes
Major change to existing programme	
Visit initiated through Annual Monitoring	

Confirmation of meetings held

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior personnel of provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	\boxtimes		
Programme team	\boxtimes		
Placements providers and educators	\boxtimes		
Students (current or past as appropriate)			

Confirmation of facilities inspected

	Yes	No	N/A
Library learning centre	\boxtimes		

Date Ver 2007-06-22 a

Ver. Dept/Cmte a EDU **Doc Type** APV

Title 21062007 Teeside University Visitor Report SP **Status** Draft DD: None

IT facilities	\boxtimes	
Specialist teaching accommodation	\boxtimes	

PROGRAMME APPROVED. ALL COMMINSME

Date 2007-06-22

а

Dept/Cmte Ver. EDU

Doc Type APV

Title 21062007 Teeside University Visitor Report SP

Status Draft DD: None

Confirmation that particular requirements/specific instructions (if any) of the Education and Training Committee that have been explored e.g. specific aspects arising from annual monitoring reports.

Requirement (please insert detail)	Yes	No	N/A
1			\boxtimes
2			\boxtimes
3			\boxtimes

Proposed student cohort intake number please state	60
Proposed student cohort intake number please state	SMET
	HIIOT -
COT	×
ED. Alex	
PROVIE	
ME AL	
RAM	
pROG.	

Date 2007-06-22

а

Dept/Cmte EDU Ver.

Doc Type APV

Title 21062007 Teeside University Visitor Report SP

Status Draft DD: None

The following summarises the key outcomes of the Approvals event and provides reasons for the decision.

CONDITIONS

SET 2 Programme admissions

The admission procedures must:

2.1 give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to make, or take up the offer of a place on a programme

Condition: The programme team need to resubmit documentation so that it clearly specifies what numeracy skills are required prior to admission and procedures in place if these skills are deficient.

Reason: Through reading the documentation and meeting with the programme team it was unclear as to how each student's numeracy skills will be assessed. Also it was not clear whether the outcome of the assessment would then lead to numeracy skills included into the academic learning or withdrawing the applicant from the programme.

SET 3. Programme management and resource standards

3.11 Throughout the course of the programme, the education provider must have identified where attendance is mandatory and must have associated monitoring mechanisms in place.

Condition: The programme must re submit documentation to include where attendance is mandatory and what procedures are in place if this is not met.

Reason: The documentation does not clearly outline where attendance is mandatory and when meeting the programme team is was unclear what percentage of attendance is expected of students and systems in place if students do not meet the required amount.

SET 5. Practice placements standards

5.7 Students and practice placement educators must be fully prepared for placement which will include information about and understanding of the following:

5.7.2 timings and the duration of any placement experience and associated records to be maintained;

Condition: The programme team must resubmit documentation to include specific learning hours and a student timetable.

Reason: It was not clear in the documentation how many hours constituted a full day with regards to the amount of academic learning hours. It is indicated as 12 learning days but not clear what constitutes a day. There was no outline or clear breakdown of what aspects of the curriculum would be taught within these learning hours.

5.7.4 the assessment procedures including the implications of, and any action to be taken in the case of failure;

5.10 The education provider must ensure necessary information is supplied to practice placement providers.

Date Ver. 2007-06-22 a

Dept/Cmte EDU Doc Type Ti APV 21

Title 21062007 Teeside University Visitor Report SP Status Draft DD: None

Condition: The programme team must resubmit documentation to include any action to be taken in the case of student failure in the Mentor handbook

Reason: There was no information for students in the documentation as to what procedures are in place for both mentors and students if they fail to meet all of the learning outcomes.

SET 6. Assessment standards

6.1 The assessment design and procedures must assure that the student can demonstrate fitness to practise.

Condition: The assessment process for OSCEs needs to show students can achieve fitness to practice by identifying the core requirements that must be passed.

Reason: The score for a pass mark within this assessment is 60% and above. It was not clear in the documentation what the criteria was for a pass mark and whether if learning outcomes were not all achieved a student could still pass the module as they scored higher in a different aspect of the OSCE.

RECOMMENDATIONS

SET 3. Programme management and resource standards

3.4 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in placeto deliver an effective programme.

Recommendation: The programme team should keep student numbers at an adequate number for the programme team to deliver an effective programme.

Reason: The programme team stated that the cohort for this module was to be up to 60 which was felt to be the maximum cohort for the size of the programme team. If the provision for numbers can be greater thought needs to be given as to the efficiency of the staff if this was the case.

3.9 Where students participate as patients or clients in practical and clinical teaching, appropriate protocols must be used to obtain their consent.

Recommendation: The programme team should use the undergraduate policy for consent where students participate as patients in practical settings.

Reason: Students were not currently participating as patients in practical settings. However, if students are required to in future or are used in OSCEs then a system will need to be implemented.

SET 5. Practice placements standards

5.6 The education provider must maintain a thorough and effective system for approving and monitoring all placements.

Recommendation: The programme team should update the audit system to include the potential for AHP students and placements.

Date 2007-06-22 а

Ver. Dept/Cmte EDU

APV

Doc Type Title

21062007 Teeside University Visitor Report SP

Status Draft DD: None

Reason: It was evident that placements were audited for the purpose of monitoring them but the current auditing form did not have any scope for AHP placements that may be needed in the future.

The nature and quality of instruction and facilities meets the Standards of Education and Training.

yappor Manufations of the second seco We recommend to the Education and Training Committee of the HPC that they approve this

Date 2007-06-22

а

Ver. Dept/Cmte EDU

Doc Type APV

Title 21062007 Teeside University Visitor Report SP

Status Draft DD: None