

## Visitors' report

Name of education provider	Staffordshire University	
Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Clinical Psychology	
Mode of delivery	Full time	
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist	
Relevant modality / domain	Clinical psychologist	
Date of visit	15 – 16 April 2015	

### Contents

Executive summary	2
Introduction	
Visit details	
Sources of evidence	
Recommended outcome	
Conditions	

### **Executive summary**

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. We are a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. We currently regulate 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'practitioner psychologist' must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 27 August 2015. At the Committee meeting, the programme was approved. This means that the education provider has met the condition(s) outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

#### Introduction

The HCPC visited the programme at the education provider as it was a new programme which was seeking HCPC approval for the first time. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was an HCPC only visit. The education provider did not validate or review the programme at the visit and the professional body did not consider their accreditation of the programme. The education provider supplied an independent chair and secretary for the visit.

#### Visit details

Name and role of HCPC visitors	Sabiha Azmi (Clinical psychologist) Stephen Davies (Clinical psychologist) Louise Whittle (Lay visitor)
HCPC executive officer (in attendance)	Abdur Razzaq
Proposed student numbers	15 per cohort once a year
Proposed start date of programme approval	September 2015
Chair	Andrea Bailey (Staffordshire University)
Secretary	Jackie Campbell (Staffordshire University)

#### Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification			
Descriptions of the modules			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs			
Practice placement handbook			
Student handbook	$\boxtimes$		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	$\boxtimes$		
External examiners' reports from the last two years			$\boxtimes$

The HCPC did not review external examiners' reports from the last two years prior to the visit as there is currently no external examiner as the programme is new. However, the visitors did review external examiners reports from Professional Doctorate in Clinical Psychology programme jointly validated by Keele and Staffordshire University.

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	$\boxtimes$		
Programme team	$\boxtimes$		
Placements providers and educators / mentors			
Students	$\boxtimes$		
Service users and carers	$\boxtimes$		
Learning resources	$\boxtimes$		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	$\boxtimes$		

The HCPC met with students from the Professional Doctorate in Clinical Psychology programme jointly validated by Keele and Staffordshire University, as the programme seeking approval currently does not have any students enrolled on it.

#### Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be satisfied that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for the relevant part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 56 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining two SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be approved. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme can be approved. Recommendations are made to encourage further enhancements to the programme, normally when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

#### Conditions

# 3.8 The resources to support student learning in all settings must be effectively used.

**Condition:** The education provider must review the programme documentation, including advertising materials, to ensure the terminology used is accurate, consistent and reflective of the language associated with statutory regulation and the HCPC.

Reason: The documentation submitted by the education provider contained incorrect terminology. For example, the programme specification on page 5 states "the British Psychological Society and HCPC require much of the teaching to be delivered by Registered Psychologists". In another example on page 7, it states "The Doctorate in Clinical Psychology confers eligibility to register with the Health and Care Professions Council". These statements are incorrect as HCPC do not prescribe teaching must be delivered by registered psychologists, instead education providers must have adequate number of appropriately qualified staff with relevant specialist expertise and knowledge to deliver an effective programme. Similarly, successful competition of an approved programme makes student eligible to apply for registration with HCPC and does not automatically confers eligibility to register. Therefore, visitors require the programme documentation to be reviewed to remove any instances of incorrect terminology. In this way the visitors can be sure that the documentary resources available to support students' learning are being effectively used and that this standard can be met.

# 6.9 Assessment regulations must clearly specify requirements for an aegrotat award not to provide eligibility for admission to the Register.

**Condition:** The education provider must revisit the programme documentation to clearly state that aegrotat awards do not confer eligibility to apply to the Register.

**Reason:** From the documentation provided the visitors could not determine where in the assessment regulations there was a clear statement regarding aegrotat awards. The visitors could not determine how the programme team ensured that students understood that aegrotat awards would not enable them to be eligible to apply to the Register. The visitors therefore require further evidence to ensure that there is a clear statement included in the programme documentation.

Sabiha Azmi Stephen Davies Louise Whittle