health professions council

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	Sheffield Hallam University		
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy (Practice Based Learning) (formerly Occupational Therapy)		
Mode of delivery	Work based learning		
Relevant part of HPC Register	Occupational therapist		
Date of visit	27 – 28 April 2010		

Contents

1
2
3
3
4
5
6

Executive summary

The Health Professions Council (HPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HPC is a health regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HPC currently regulates 15 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'Occupational therapist' must be registered with us. The HPC keep a register of health professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the ongoing approval of the programme at the education provider. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 7 July 2010. At this meeting, the Committee confirmed the ongoing approval of the programme. This means that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

Introduction

The HPC visited the programme at the education provider to consider major changes proposed to the programme. The major change affected the following standards - the level of qualification for entry to the Register, programme admissions, programme management and resources, curriculum, practice placements and assessment. The programme was already approved by the HPC and this visit assessed whether the programme continued to meet the standards of education and training (SETs) and continued to ensure that those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The education provider reviewed the programme and the professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The visit also considered a different programme – BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy. The education provider, the professional body and the HPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of all the programmes and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HPC's recommendations on this programme only. A separate report exists for the other programme. As an independent regulatory body, the HPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HPC's standards. Separate reports, produced by the education provider and the professional body, outline their decisions on the programmes' status.

Name of HPC visitors and profession	Margaret Foster (Occupational therapist) Joanna Goodwin (Occupational therapist)
HPC executive officer (in attendance)	Ben Potter
Proposed student numbers	18
Initial approval	April 2000
Effective date that programme approval reconfirmed from	January 2011
Chair	Jayne Stocks (Sheffield Hallam University) (day 1) Jeff Waldock (Sheffield Hallam University) (day 2)
Secretary	Eleanor Willcocks
Members of the joint panel	Fiona Drew (Internal Panel Member) Mike Purdy (Internal Panel Member) Remy Reyes (College of Occupational Therapists) Jo Watson (College of Occupational Therapists) Jackie Taylor (College of Occupational Therapists)

Visit details

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	\boxtimes		
Descriptions of the modules	\boxtimes		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	\boxtimes		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	\boxtimes		
Practice placement handbook	\bowtie		
Student handbook	\square		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	\square		
External examiners' reports from the last two years	\square		

During the visit the HPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	\boxtimes		
Programme team	\bowtie		
Placements providers and educators/mentors	\bowtie		
Students	\boxtimes		
Learning resources	\boxtimes		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	\boxtimes		

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that the ongoing approval of the programme is reconfirmed. The visitors did not set any conditions for the programme. Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for ongoing approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors made a number of recommendations for the programme. Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for ongoing approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

The visitors did not make any commendations on the programme. Commendations are observations of innovative best practice by a programme or education provider.

Recommendations

4.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider including references to the HPC guidance on conduct performance and ethics alongside references to professional body ethical guidance and include it in relevant module reading lists.

Reason: The visitors noted in the programme documentation and in discussions with students and the programme team that the HPC standards of conduct performance and ethics is understood by the students as they are dealt with in the curriculum. The visitors are therefore satisfied that the SET is met. However to further embed the standards within the learning the visitors recommend that where references are made to any guidance on conduct performance and ethics from the College of Occupational Therapists, the HPC guidance is also referenced. The visitors also recommend that in relevant module reading lists the HPC guidance on conduct performance and ethics is included.

6.11 Assessment regulations must clearly specify requirements for the appointment of at least one external examiner who must be appropriately experienced and qualified and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be from the relevant part of the Register.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider revising the programme documentation to ensure consistency in specifying the need for external examiners to be appropriately registered unless other arrangements are agreed.

Reason: The visitors noted in the documentation and in discussion with the programme team that there is a requirement for the appointment of at least one external examiner from the appropriate part of the HPC register unless other arrangements are agreed. The visitors are therefore satisfied that the SET is met. However the visitors also noted in the programme documentation that references to the appointment of external examiners were inconsistent in mentioning this requirement. Therefore the visitors recommend that the programme documentation is revised to ensure that there is no confusion and that this requirement is made explicit in the relevant parts of the documentation.

Joanna Goodwin Margaret Foster