

Health Professions Council

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	Northumbria University
Name and titles of programme(s)	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy MSc Occupational Therapy
Mode of Delivery (FT/PT)	FT
Date of Visit	8 th & 9 th May 2007
Proposed date of approval to commence	September 2007
Name of HPC visitors attending (including member type and professional area)	Sarah Johnson – Occupational Therapy Bernadette Waters – Occupational Therapy
HPC Executive officer(s) (in attendance)	Katherine Lock – Education Officer Daljit Mahoon – Education Officer
Joint panel members in attendance (name and delegation):	lan Shell (Chair) - Associate Dean, Learning & Teaching Support, Newcastle Business School
	Colin Chandler - Director of Postgraduate Studies
	Helen Smith - Principal Lecturer, Learning in Organisations
	Jim Clark - Subject Division Leader, Pre and School learning
	Jackie Waterfield - CSP Nina Thomson - CSP
	Linda Charlton- Secretary
	Colin Keiley - Team leader A & R, Stockport Health
	Stephen Wordsworth - Head of department, UCE Birmingham
	Joanna Jackson – Physiotherapist, University of Essex, HPC Visitor
	Kathleen Bosworth - Retired Physiotherapist , HPC visitor

Scope of visit (please tick)

New programme	
Major change to existing programme	
Visit initiated through Annual Monitoring	

Confirmation of meetings held

Yes	No	N/A

Senior personnel of provider with responsibility for resources for the programme		
Programme team	\boxtimes	
Placements providers and educators	\boxtimes	
Students (current or past as appropriate)	\boxtimes	

Confirmation of facilities inspected

	Yes	No	N/A
Library learning centre	\boxtimes		
IT facilities	\boxtimes		
Specialist teaching accommodation	\boxtimes		

Confirmation that particular requirements/specific instructions (if any) of the Education and Training Committee that have been explored e.g. specific aspects arising from annual monitoring reports.

Requirement (please insert detail)	Yes	No	N/A
1			\boxtimes
2			\boxtimes
3			\boxtimes

-		
	Proposed student cohort intake number please state	60

The following summarises the key outcomes of the Approvals event and provides reasons for the decision.

CONDITIONS

Condition1

SET 3. Programme management and resource standards 3.6 A programme for staff development must be in place to ensure continuing professional and research development.

Condition: The programme team are to provide evidence that they have a system in place and support participation in research training for staff

Reason: Documentation did not include CVs of each member on the programme team. There was no evidence supplied to show staff development.

Condition 2

3.8 The facilities needed to ensure the welfare and well-being of students must be both adequate and accessible.

Condition: Documentation must be redrafted and resubmitted to include the universities policy on support for student with learning needs.

Reason: Documentation did not include the universities policy on the support for students with learning needs such as dyslexia

Condition 3

SET 4. Curriculum Standards

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Condition: The programme team must redraft and resubmit documentation to include mapping of the learning outcomes against the standards of proficiency

Reason: There was no documented evidence to show mapping against the learning outcomes to provide information as to which module met which standard of proficiency. This is needed to ensure all standards of proficiency are been covered throughout the modules

Condition 4

SET 6. Assessment standards

6.1 The assessment design and procedures must assure that the student can demonstrate fitness to practise.

Condition: The programme team must redraft and resubmit documentation to include the assessment strategy

Reason: Documentation did not include the assessment strategy. Evidence of this is needed to ensure the maintenance and enhancement of the validity, reliability and explicitness of assessment

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommendation 1

SET 3. Programme management and resource standards 3.4 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff in place to deliver an effective programme.

Recommendation: The programme team should review staffing levels to ensure current initiatives can be implemented effectively

Reason: The staffing levels currently appear to fall short of those in other similar institutions and it may be that more staff time will be taken up in implementing the newly proposed programme. It was also noted by the visitors that staff development to doctoral level has been slow and this could also be influenced by the pressure on existing staff available to teach. An increase in the staff establishment should therefore be explored.

Recommendation 2

3.13 The learning resources, including the stock of periodicals and subject books, and IT facilities, including internet access, must be appropriate to the curriculum and must be readily available to students and staff.

Recommendation: The programme team are recommended to arrange the removal of old editions of publications from the library and review reading lists within the documentation

Reason: The reading lists within the documentation were dating back to 1985 publications. In order for students to have up to date information the library needs to have regular updates as do the lists within documentation

Recommendation 3

SET 4. Curriculum standards

4.5 The delivery of the programme must assist autonomous and reflective thinking, and evidence based practice.

Recommendation: The programme team are recommended to review and reinforce the use of PPDF

Reason: It became apparent throughout the visit that the use of PPDF was not used as a tool for assessment and therefore been overlooked by both staff and students

Recommendation 4

4.7 Where there is inter-professional learning the profession specific skills and knowledge of each professional group are adequately addressed.

Recommendation: We recommend the continuation of building on interprofessional learning in academic and practical initiatives

Reason: The change to this programme to fit in with a suite of programmes to carry the same module of inter-professional learning will bring changes which each profession will need an active involvement throughout

Recommendation 5

SET 5. Practice placements standards 5.6 The education provider must maintain a thorough and effective system for approving and monitoring all placements.

Recommendation: The education provider is recommended to collate and disseminate outcomes of placement evaluation on an annual basis to placement providers

Reason: This would fall into line with national quality enhancement expectations concerning the responsibility of the university to share evaluation outcomes with placement providers and thus enhance the students' learning experience whilst on placement.

COMMENDATIONS

 Commendation is given to the programme team on their plans for new clinical facilities which will enhance inter-professional learning opportunities

The nature and quality of instruction and facilities meets the Standards of Education and Training.

We recommend to the Education and Training Committee of the HPC that they approve this programme (subject to any conditions being met).

Visitors' signatures:

Sarah Johnson

Bernadette Waters

Date: 23/5/07