health professions council

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	New College Durham	
Validating body/Awarding body	Leeds Metropolitan University	
Programme name	Certificate in Local Analgesia	
Mode of delivery	Part time	
Relevant part of HPC Register	Chiropody and Podiatry	
Relevant entitlement(s)	Local Analgesia	
Date of visit	28 – 29 April 2009	

Contents

Executive summary	2
Introduction	
Visit details	
Sources of evidence	
Recommended outcome	
Conditions	
Commendation	

Executive summary

The Health Professions Council (HPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HPC is a health regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HPC currently regulates 13 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'Chiropodist' or 'Podiatrist' must be registered with us. The HPC keep a register of health professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

As well as approving educational programmes for people who want to join the Register, the HPC also approve a small number of programmes for those already on the Register. The post-registration programmes we currently approve are supplementary prescribing programmes (for chiropodists / podiatrists, radiographers and physiotherapists) and programmes in local anaesthetics and prescription-only medicine (for chiropodists / podiatrists).

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 29 July 2009. At the Committee meeting on 29 July 2009, the programme was approved. This means that the education provider has met the condition(s) outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

Introduction

The HPC visited the programme at the education provider as it was a new programme which was seeking HPC approval for the first time. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was an HPC only visit. The education provider and awarding body did not review the programme at the visit and the professional body did not consider their accreditation of the programme. The education provider supplied an independent chair and secretary for the visit.

Visit details

Name of HPC visitors and profession	Philip Mandy (Podiatrist) James Pickard (Podiatrist)
HPC executive officers (in attendance)	Anne Shomefun
HPC observer	Tracey Samuel-Smith
Proposed student numbers	20
Proposed start date of programme approval	September 2009
Chair	Ian Burns (New College Durham)
Secretary	Susan Gamble (New College Durham)

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	\bowtie		
Descriptions of the modules	\square		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	\boxtimes		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	\boxtimes		
Practice placement handbook	\square		
Student handbook	\square		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff			
External examiners' reports from the last two years			\boxtimes

External examiners' reports have not been produced as the programme is new.

During the visit the HPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	\boxtimes		
Programme team	\square		
Placements providers and educators/mentors	\bowtie		
Students	\square		
Learning resources	\boxtimes		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	\boxtimes		

The HPC met with BSc (Hons) Podiatry students as the programme seeking approval currently does not have any students enrolled on it.

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 61 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining 2 SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

The visitors have also made a commendation. Commendations are observations of innovative best practice by a programme or education provider.

Conditions

2.1 The admission procedures must give both applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to make or take up the offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must provide applicants with information about practice placements and indemnity insurance.

Reason: The submitted documentation did not provide sufficiently clear information to applicants about practice placements and indemnity insurance. The visitors therefore were concerned that applicants would not have sufficient information about the programme in order to make an informed choice. The visitors therefore require the programme team to clarify the information surrounding practice placements and indemnity insurance.

2.2.3 The admission procedures must apply selection and entry criteria, including compliance with any health requirements.

Condition: The education provider must redraft and resubmit documentation clarifying entry criteria relating to health requirements.

Reason: The submitted documentation did not appear to include admission procedures relating to health requirements. The lack of this information may confuse applicants about expected entry requirements. The visitors, therefore, require the programme team to clarify the health entry requirements, so as to enable applicants to make an informed choice about whether to take up the offer of a place on the programme.

Commendations

Commendation: The visitors commended the excellent relationship that the programme team had developed with local health practitioners.

Reason: From discussions with the senior team, the programme team and the students, the visitors learnt about the excellent relationship that the programme team had developed with local health practitioners. This relationship enabled the Bishop Auckland Podiatry Clinic to have a steady volume of patients for clinical practice and for student learning. The visitors wished to commend this excellent relationship as innovative best practice worthy of emulation by other education providers.

Philip Mandy James Pickard