

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	London South Bank University	
Programme name	MSc Physiotherapy	
Mode of delivery	Full time	
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist	
Date of visit	29 – 30 March 2017	

Contents

Executive summary	2
Introduction	
Visit details	
Sources of evidence	
Recommended outcome	
Conditions	

Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. We are a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. We currently regulate 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'physiotherapist' must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 25 May 2017. At the Committee meeting on 25 May 2017, the programme was approved. This means that the education provider has met the condition(s) outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

Introduction

The HCPC visited the programme at the education provider as it was a new programme which was seeking HCPC approval for the first time. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The education provider and the professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The education provider, the professional body and the HCPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of the programme and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HCPC's recommendations on the programme only. As an independent regulatory body, the HCPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HCPC's standards. A separate report, produced by the education provider and the professional body, outlines their decisions on the programme's status.

Visit details

Deirdre Keane (Lay visitor)		
Pamela Bagley (Physiotherapist)		
Anthony Power (Physiotherapist)		
Alex Urquhart		
30 per cohort, one cohort per year		
1 September 2018		
Darren James (London South Bank University)		
Ian Govender (London South Bank University)		
Liz Hancock (Charted Society of Physiotherapy) Nina Paterson (Charted Society of Physiotherapy) Isabella Saprong (Charted Society of Physiotherapy) Jacqueline Mullan (External panel member) Sheelagh Mealing (Intenral panel member) Sally Skillett-Moore (Internal panel		
-		

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	\boxtimes		
Descriptions of the modules	\boxtimes		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs			
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs			
Practice placement handbook	\boxtimes		
Student handbook	\boxtimes		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	\boxtimes		
External examiners' reports from the last two years			\boxtimes

The HCPC did not review external examiners' reports prior to the visit as there is currently no external examiner as the programme is new.

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme			
Programme team			
Placements providers and educators / mentors			
Students			
Service users and carers			
Learning resources			
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	\boxtimes		

The HCPC met with students from the BSc Physiotherapy and Nursing programmes, as the programme seeking approval currently does not have any students enrolled on it.

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be satisfied that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for the relevant part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a condition is set on the programme, which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 57 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining one SET.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be approved. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme can be approved. Recommendations are made to encourage further enhancements to the programme, normally when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

Conditions

6.2 All assessments must provide a rigorous and effective process by which compliance with external-reference frameworks can be measured.

Condition: Explain and rationalise the process by which the programme complies with the Quality Assurance Agency (QAA) Benchmark statement for physiotherapists, and has been used to generate the overall course outcomes.

Reason: From the information provided, the visitors were made aware that the programme team had mapped the learning outcomes for the programme to the QAA benchmark statement for physiotherapists. They also noted that the overall course outcomes were included in the programme specification, which referenced the course outcomes for this programme as well as the BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy programme. In reviewing this document noted that the BSc (Hons) course outcome C1 articulated clearly what students would need to achieve to meet this outcome, which in turn demonstrated how QAA benchmark standards A2 and A3 would be met. However, they noted that for the masters level course outcomes, the outcome for C1 did not articulate what was expected in as much depth. As such the visitors were unclear how students on this programme who met this course outcome would also be meeting QAA benchmark standards A2 and A3. Because of this the visitors could not determine how the programme team ensures that the course outcomes for this programme comply with the QAA benchmark statement for physiotherapists. Therefore the visitors require further evidence of what process the programme team had used to ensure that the course outcomes comply with the QAA benchmark statement and how they will ensure continuing compliance in the future.

> Deirdre Keane Pamela Bagley Anthony Power