health & care professions council

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Programme name	MA Degree in Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of visit	4 – 5 March 2014

Contents

Executive summary	.2
ntroduction	
/isit details	.3
Sources of evidence	
Recommended outcome	.5
Recommendations	.6

Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. We are a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. We currently regulate 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'social worker' in England must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the ongoing approval of the programme at the education provider. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 27 March. At this meeting, the Committee confirmed the ongoing approval of the programme. This means that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

Introduction

The HCPC visited the programme at the education provider as the Social Work (in England) profession came onto the register in August 2012 and a decision was made by the Education and Training Committee to visit all existing programmes from this profession. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The visit also considered the following programmes - BA (Hons) Degree in Social Work, PG Diploma in Social Work (Masters Exit Route Only) and Postgraduate Certificate Mental Health Practice. The professional body (for the social work provision) and the HCPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of all the programmes and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HCPC's recommendations on this programme only. Separate reports exist for the other programmes. As an independent regulatory body, the HCPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HCPC's standards. Separate reports, produced by the professional body, outline their decisions on the programmes' status.

Name of HCPC visitors and profession	Richard Barker (Social worker) Paula Sobiechowska (Social worker) Shaaron Pratt (Radiographer)
HCPC executive officer (in attendance)	Abdur Razzaq
Proposed student numbers	20 per cohort once per year inclusive of students from the PG Diploma in Social Work (Masters Exit Route Only) programme
Proposed start date of programme approval	September 2014
Chair	Sue Palmer (Leeds Metropolitan University)
Secretary	Sheila Casey (Leeds Metropolitan University)
Members of the joint panel	Julie Irvine (The College of Social Work) Sue Furness (The College of Social Work)

Visit details

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	\boxtimes		
Descriptions of the modules	\boxtimes		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	\boxtimes		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	\boxtimes		
Practice placement handbook	\boxtimes		
Student handbook	\boxtimes		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	\boxtimes		
External examiners' reports from the last two years	\boxtimes		

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	\square		
Programme team	\square		
Placements providers and educators / mentors	\square		
Students	\square		
Learning resources	\square		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	\square		

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that the programme is approved.

The visitors did not set any conditions for the programme.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors have also made a recommendation for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

Recommendations

3.11 There must be adequate and accessible facilities to support the welfare and wellbeing of students in all settings.

Recommendation: The visitors recommend the education provider continue exploring ways to support students financially whilst they are on placements.

Reason: The visitors are satisfied the programme provides support for the students in all settings and are therefore satisfied this standard is met. The visitors noted during meetings with the placement providers / educators and students that students have raised concerns around the travel costs whilst on placement with one particular placement provider. The programme team indicated they are aware of this concern. It was also highlighted that students are made aware before joining the programme that the placements may incur travel costs. The programme team indicated that due to financial constraints placements providers find it hard to support students financially for costs incurred during placements. The visitors recommend the programme team continue to work closely with the placement providers to support students including considering ways to support students financially.

Richard Barker Paula Sobiechowska Shaaron Pratt