

## Visitors' report

Name of education provider	Edge Hill University
Programme name	BA (Hons) Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of visit	24 – 25 April 2013

### Contents

Executive summary	2
Introduction	
Visit details	
Sources of evidence	
Recommended outcome	
Conditions	
Recommendations	9

#### Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HCPC is a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HCPC currently regulates 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'Social worker' in England must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 4 July 2013. At the Committee meeting on 4 July 2013, the programme was approved. This means that the education provider has met the condition(s) outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

#### Introduction

The HCPC visited the programme at the education provider as the Social work profession (in England) came onto the register on 1 August 2012. The decision was made by the Education and Training Committee to visit all existing programmes from this profession. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The education provider validated the programme and the professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The visit also considered the following programmes – BSc (Hons) Learning Disabilities Nursing and Social Work and BSc (Hons) Children's Nursing and Social Work. The education provider, the professional body and the HCPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of all the programmes and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HCPC's recommendations on this programme only. Separate reports exist for the other programmes. As an independent regulatory body, the HCPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HCPC's standards. Separate reports, produced by the education provider and the professional body outline their decisions on the programmes' status.

#### Visit details

Name of HCPC visitors and profession	Claire Brewis (Occupational therapist) Graeme Currie (Social Worker) Graham Noyce (Social Worker)
HCPC executive officer (in attendance)	Ruth Wood
HCPC observers	Jamie Hunt Amal Hussein
Proposed student numbers	40
Proposed start date of programme approval	September 2013
Chair	Tony Turjansky (Edge Hill University)
Secretary	Susan Davies-Roper (Edge Hill University)
Members of the joint panel	Sam Baron (External Panel Member) Jenny Barrett (Internal Panel Member) Claire Hawkins (Internal Panel Member) Hilary Burgess (The College of Social Work) Nigel Haydon (The College of Social Work) Kate Johnson (The College of Social Work)

Helen Collinson (Edge Hill University
Observer)
Emma Webster (Edge Hill University
Observer)

### Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	$\boxtimes$		
Descriptions of the modules	$\boxtimes$		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	$\boxtimes$		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	$\boxtimes$		
Practice placement handbook	$\boxtimes$		
Student handbook	$\boxtimes$		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	$\boxtimes$		
External examiners' reports from the last two years			

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	$\boxtimes$		
Programme team	$\boxtimes$		
Placements providers and educators/mentors	$\boxtimes$		
Students			
Learning resources	$\boxtimes$		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)			

#### Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 54 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining 3 SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors have also made a recommendation for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval.

Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

#### Conditions

## 3.9 The resources to support student learning in all settings must effectively support the required learning and teaching activities of the programme.

**Condition:** The education provider must ensure the programme documentation accurately reflects the current landscape of regulation of social workers in England.

**Reason:** Within the documentation submitted for the visit the visitors noted there were inaccuracies when referring to HCPC and HCPC's role. The visitors recognise these inaccuracies will occur whilst the social work profession becomes accustomed to the HCPC as the new regulatory body; the visitors considered it to be important the programme documentation accurately reflects the HCPC and HCPC's role in so students fully understand the HCPC's function. The visitors noted the online advertising materials state HCPC registers students, "As well as regulating individual social workers and students, the Health and Care Professions Council also..." (BA (Hons) Social Work website. Professional Accreditation). This is incorrect, the HCPC do not hold a register for students. The visitors also noted the programme handbook stated students would be "eligible for professional registration with the HCPC" (p17). The visitors considered this should be clarified to ensure students understand successful completion of the programme will confer them with 'eligibility to apply for HCPC registration' so they understand the process. The visitors also noted the General Social Care Council (GSCC) is referenced throughout the documentation provided. The GSCC no longer exists and therefore references to this body should be reviewed to ensure the documentation accurately reflects the current landscape of regulation. The visitors therefore require the education provider to revisit the programme documentation to ensure the corrections above are made and the documentation accurately reflects the current landscape of regulation of social workers in England throughout.

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

**Condition:** The education provider must provide further evidence to demonstrate the programme ensures those who successfully complete the programme will be able to meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the register.

Reason: The SETs mapping document submitted for the visit directed the visitors to various places within the submitted documentation including a SOPs mapping document and a SOPs (HCPC standards of proficiency)/PCF (the College of Social Work Professional Capabilities Framework) mapping document to demonstrate where the programme delivers the SOPs within the curriculum and learning outcomes. The visitors noted the HCPC's SOPs for social workers in England were mentioned in module descriptors and the practice assessment document; however there was no explicit reference to the SOPs themselves. The visitors could not determine the curriculum ensured students would be able to identify the SOPs and so identify their own ability to meet them. The visitors considered that whilst the programme could ensure students met the SOPs it would be difficult for the students to be able to link them with their practice, and so demonstrate how they meet the standards, without the programme explicitly referring to the SOPs alongside the PCF. The visitors therefore require further evidence to demonstrate how the programme ensures those who

successfully complete the programme will be able to demonstrate they meet the SOPs for their part of the register.

# 4.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

**Condition:** The education provider must provide further evidence to demonstrate how the programme ensures students understand the implications of the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

**Reason:** Documentation provided prior to the visit included references to various sections to evidence this SET, "MVD [Validation submission document] paras 1.15; 1.18; 1.28; 2.3; 3.2; 3.7; 4.6; 4.7; 5.6; 5.9; 5.16; 5.29; 5.30; 8.12; 9.22-9.28; 9.33; 9.34; Module descriptors; Programme specification; Appendix D4; SOP's/PCF Mapping document. The curriculum is focused on the realities of practice and the need for clear evidence of developing professionalism" (SETs mapping 4.5). The visitors noted the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics and the Guidance on conduct and ethics were mentioned in places; however there was no explicit reference to the standards themselves or evidence showing that the curriculum ensured students understand the implications of the standards of conduct, performance and ethics. The visitors therefore require further evidence to demonstrate how the standards of conduct, performance and ethics are communicated to students and how it is ensured students understand the implications of the standards on graduation.

#### Recommendations

- 5.11 Students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators must be fully prepared for placement which will include information about an understanding of:
  - the learning outcomes to be achieved;
  - the timings and the duration of any placement experience and associated records to be maintained;
  - · expectations of professional conduct;
  - the assessment procedures including the implications of, and any action to be taken in the case of, failure to progress; and
  - communication and lines of responsibility.

**Recommendation:** The visitors suggest the programme team consider incorporating the standards of proficiency more extensively within the practice assessment documentation.

**Reason:** The visitors were satisfied that the education provider ensures all parties are fully prepared for placement. The visitors noted within the practice assessment documentation that while the standards of proficiency (SOPs) are mentioned, the Professional Capabilities Framework (PCF) forms the basis of the competencies assessed. The visitors are aware that the PCF maps onto the SOPs so were assured the learning outcomes achieved at placement related directly to the SOPs. The visitors felt that by incorporating the SOPs more extensively alongside the PCF within the practice assessment documentation the students would gain a better understanding of how the SOPS and the PCF link together and how they differ. The visitors considered this consolidation would produce an enhanced student experience and ultimately an enhanced social worker.

Claire Brewis Graeme Currie Graham Noyce