

Visitors' report

| Name of education provider | East London Mental Health Training Partnership | |
|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Validating body / Awarding body | Middlesex University | |
| Programme name | Approved Mental Health Practitioner | |
| Mode of delivery | Work based learning | |
| Type of programme | Approved mental health professional | |
| Date of visit | 10 – 11 June 2014 | |

Contents

| Executive summary | 2 |
|---------------------|---|
| Introduction | |
| Visit details | |
| Sources of evidence | |
| Recommended outcome | |

Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. We are a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. We currently regulate 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using a protected title must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

As well as approving educational programmes for people who want to join the Register or have an annotation on their Registration record, the HCPC also approve a small number of programmes which are not linked to HCPC Registration. These programmes are for the profession of approved mental health practitioners (AMHPs) (for social workers, mental health and learning disabilities nurses, occupational therapists and practitioner psychologists).

The HCPC criteria for approving AMHP programmes set out the systems and processes an education provider is expected to have in place to deliver an AMHP programme, as well as the competencies professionals must achieve on completing the programme.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme at the education provider. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 2 July 2014. At this meeting, the Committee approved the programme. This means that the programme meets our criteria for approving AMHP programmes and professionals who complete it will be able to achieve the criteria for approved mental health professionals. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

Introduction

When the regulation of social workers in England transferred from the General Social Care Council (GSCC) to ourselves, we took responsibility for approving AMHP programmes in England. The Health and Social Care Act (2012) gives us powers to set criteria for approving AMHP programmes. A decision was made by the Education and Training Committee to visit all existing AMHP programmes. This visit is to assess the programmes against the criteria for approving AMHP programmes and professionals who complete it will be able to achieve the criteria for approved mental health professionals

This visit was an HCPC only visit. The education provider and validating body did not validate or review the programme at the visit and the professional body did not consider their accreditation of the programme. The education provider supplied an independent chair and secretary for the visit.

Visit details

| Name of HCPC visitors and visitor role | David Abrahart (Approved mental health professional) | | |
|---|--|--|--|
| | Sheila Skelton (Approved mental health professional) | | |
| HCPC executive officer (in attendance) | Ruth Wood | | |
| Proposed student numbers | Maximum of 20 per cohort once a year | | |
| Proposed start date of programme approval | September 2014 | | |
| Chair | Kay Caldwell (Middlesex University) | | |
| Secretary | Sabrina Barnett (Middlesex University) | | |

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

| | Yes | No | N/A |
|--|-------------|----|-----|
| Programme specification | \boxtimes | | |
| Descriptions of the modules | | | |
| Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the criteria for approving AMHP programmes | | | |
| Practice placement handbook | \boxtimes | | |
| Student handbook | \boxtimes | | |
| Curriculum vitae for relevant staff | | | |
| External examiners' reports from the last two years | \boxtimes | | |

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

| | Yes | No | N/A |
|---|-------------|----|-----|
| Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme | \boxtimes | | |
| Programme team | \boxtimes | | |
| Placements providers and educators / mentors | | | |
| Students | | | |
| Learning resources | | | |
| Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms) | | | |

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the criteria for approving AMHP programmes and professionals who complete it will be able to achieve the criteria for approved mental health professionals

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that the programme is approved.

The visitors did not set any conditions for the programme.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain criteria have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the criterion being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular criterion has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

David Abrahart Sheila Skelton