### health professions council

### Visitors' report

Name of education provider	De Montfort University
Programme name	Foundation Degree in Hearing Aid Audiology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC Register	Hearing aid dispensers
Date of visit	3 – 4 April 2012

### Contents

Contents	1
Executive summary	2
ntroduction	3
/isit details	3
Sources of evidence	4
Recommended outcome	5
Conditions	6
Recommendations	8

### Executive summary

The Health Professions Council (HPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HPC is a health regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HPC currently regulates 15 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'Hearing aid dispenser' must be registered with us. The HPC keep a register of health professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the ongoing approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 23 August 2012. At the Committee meeting on 23 August 2012, the ongoing approval of the programme was re-confirmed. This means that the education provider has met the condition(s) outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

### Introduction

The HPC visited the programme at the education provider as the Hearing aid dispenser profession came onto the register in April 2010 and a decision was made by the Education and Training Committee to visit all existing programmes from this profession. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was an HPC only visit. The education provider and validating body did not validate or review the programmes at the visit and the professional body did not consider their accreditation of the programmes. The education provider supplied an independent chair and secretary for the visit. The visit also considered the following programmes – BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Audiology), full time, BSc (Hons) Audiology, full time and Aptitude Test, flexible. Separate reports exist for these programmes.

Name of HPC visitors and profession	Claire Brewis (Occupational therapist) Linda Mutema (Diagnostic radiographer) Tim Pringle (Hearing aid dispenser)
HPC executive officer (in attendance)	Lewis Roberts
Proposed student numbers	35 per cohort
First approved intake	January 2008
Effective date that programme approval reconfirmed from	September 2012
Chair	Debbie Lockton (De Montfort University)
Secretary	Sophia Welton (De Montfort University)

#### Visit details

### Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	$\square$		
Descriptions of the modules	$\square$		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	$\boxtimes$		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	$\boxtimes$		
Practice placement handbook	$\square$		
Student handbook	$\square$		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff			
External examiners' reports from the last two years	$\square$		

During the visit the HPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	$\boxtimes$		
Programme team	$\boxtimes$		
Placements providers and educators/mentors	$\boxtimes$		
Students	$\boxtimes$		
Learning resources	$\square$		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	$\boxtimes$		

#### Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 54 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining 3 SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for ongoing approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors have also made a number of recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for ongoing approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

### Conditions

## 2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

**Condition:** The education provider must revisit all of the programme documentation, and any advertising material, to ensure that the terminology in use is accurate and reflective of HPC regulation.

**Reason:** The visitors noted that elements of the programme documentation submitted by the education provider did not comply with the advertising guidance issued by HPC. In particular, there were instances of incorrect terminology within the programme documentation. The visitors noted within the 'Foundation Degree in Hearing Aid Audiology' (page 3) it states that it is a, "...programme of study that allows graduates to apply for recognition by the Health Profession Council." Upon successful completion of the programme all students become eligible to apply for registration with the HPC and practice as a hearing aid dispenser. The HPC does not provide recognition of the completion of education programmes and as such the language the education provider uses needs to reflect this. The visitors also noted that the programme documentation consistently makes reference to HPC regulating 'Hearing Aid Audiologists'. The protected title regulated by the HPC is 'hearing aid dispenser' which allows registrants to undertake the protected functions associated with the title. The visitors require the education provider to revisit the programme documentation to ensure that the protected title is consistently referred to throughout the documentation. The visitors considered that this use of incorrect terminology could be misleading to applicants and students and therefore require all programme documentation, including advertising materials, to be amended to remove any instance of incorrect or out-of-date terminology.

### 5.7 Practice placement educators must have relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

**Condition:** The education provider must provide further evidence to outline the systems used to ensure that all practice placement educators have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

**Reason:** The visitors noted discussions with the programme team which outlined the procedure in place for approving practice placement educators who work in the NHS. The programme team stated that practice placement educators must be band six or above, have a minimum of two years' experience and have attended practice placement educator training. However, from these discussions the visitors were less clear about the procedures in place for approving practice placement educators who work in the independent sector. The visitors therefore require the education provider to provide further evidence to include clear formal protocols and an outline of the systems in place to ensure that practice placement educators from the independent sector have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience required to supervise a student from this programme.

## 6.9 Assessment regulations must clearly specify requirements for an aegrotat award not to provide eligibility for admission to the Register.

**Condition:** The education provider must revisit the programme documentation to clearly state that aegrotat awards do not confer eligibility to apply to the Register.

**Reason:** From the documentation provided the visitors could not determine where in the assessment regulations there was a clear statement regarding aegrotat awards. The visitors could therefore not determine how the programme team ensured that students understood that aegrotat awards would not enable them to be eligible to apply to the Register. The visitors therefore require further evidence to ensure that there is a clear statement included in the programme documentation.

### Recommendations

# 3.4 There must be a named person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme who must be appropriately qualified and experienced and, unless other arrangements are agreed, be on the relevant part of the Register.

**Recommendation**: The education provider should consider developing additional mechanisms to ensure that the person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme is able to access additional information and resources specific to hearing aid dispending.

**Reason**: The visitors noted that the person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme is not on the HPC Register. However, the visitors were satisfied that they are appropriately qualified and experienced and that this standard is met. The visitors also noted that the programme maintained links to the profession specific issues associated with hearing aid dispensing through a number of mechanisms, which included inviting hearing aid dispensers to deliver teaching sessions and facilitating independent sector practice placements. Through discussions it was also apparent that the person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme has close links with the British Academy of Audiology (BAA) and plans to develop links with the British Society of Hearing Aid Audiologists (BSHAA). The visitors recommend that the education provider continues to explore ways to support the person who has overall professional responsibility for the programme in maintaining up to date profession specific knowledge specific to hearing aid dispending.

## 4.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

**Recommendation**: The education provider should consider reviewing the programme documentation to further highlight the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

**Reason**: From discussions with the programme team and the students the visitors noted that the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics are taught and assessed within the programme. The visitors were therefore satisfied that the curriculum makes sure that students understand the implications of these standards. However, from a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted that references to the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics were limited. The visitors therefore recommend that the education provider should consider reviewing the programme documentation to further highlight the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

### 5.9 Practice placement educators must be appropriately registered, unless other arrangements are agreed.

**Recommendation**: The education provider should consider developing a system for checking the HPC registration details of practice placement educators.

**Reason**: Through discussions with the programme team the visitors noted that they currently use a small range of approved practice placement educators and all are HPC registered. They were therefore satisfied that this standard is met However, the visitors recommend that the education provider should consider developing a system for checking the HPC registration details of practice placement educators to accommodate any growth in practice placement educator numbers.

## 6.8 Assessment regulations, or other relevant policies, must clearly specify requirements for approved programmes being the only programmes which contain any reference to an HPC protected title or part of the Register in their named award.

**Recommendation**: The education provider should consider revisiting the programme documentation to clearly state that any exit awards from the programme do not lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register.

**Reason**: From discussions with the programme team, and a review of the programme documentation, the visitors were satisfied that any exit awards from the programme do not contain any reference to the HPC protected title or part of the Register. To provide further clarity the visitors recommend that the education provider should clearly state that any exit awards from the programme do not lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register.

Claire Brewis Linda Mutema Tim Pringle