

Visitors' report – amended approval process for independent prescribing programmes

Contents

| Section one: Programme details | |
|--|---|
| Section two: Executive summary | |
| Section three: Submission details | 2 |
| Section four: Additional documentation | 2 |
| Section five: Recommendation of the visitors | 3 |
| Section six: Visitors' comments | 3 |

Section one: Programme details

| Name of education provider | Bangor University |
|--|--|
| Programme name | Supplementary to Independent Prescribing |
| Mode of delivery | Part time |
| Relevant entitlement(s) | Independent prescribing Supplementary prescribing |
| Name of HCPC visitors and visitor role | Deborah Railton (Independent prescribing) Paul Bates (Paramedic) |
| HCPC executive | Ruth Wood |
| Date of assessment day | 1 November 2013 |

Section two: Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve education programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us.

As well as approving educational programmes for individuals who want to join the Register, the HCPC approve programmes for those already on the Register. Along with several other entitlements, we currently approve programmes to allow:

- chiropodists / podiatrists, radiographers and physiotherapists to have their registration record annotated with supplementary prescribing; and
- chiropodists / podiatrists and physiotherapists to have their registration record annotated with independent prescribing.

We have previously ensured that a currently running supplementary prescribing programme(s) at this education provider has met the standards of education and

training (SETs). As this new or amended programme is based on an existing HCPC approved supplementary prescribing programme, we can be satisfied that it meets some of the standards for prescribing, which are based on the SETs. However, we have identified some standards where we will need to make a judgement about how the introduction or modification of elements of the programme impact on the way it meets these standards.

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that those who complete the programme demonstrate an ability to meet the standards for all prescribers (along with the additional standards for independent prescribers where required).

Section three: Submission details

The following required documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Information for applicants (eg advertising materials, admissions / entry criteria)
- Programme specification
- Student handbook
- Information about programme and management team structure, including staff CVs
- Module descriptors
- Extracts from practice placement documents
- Extracts from assessment regulations relating to student progression and external examiners
- Standards for prescribing mapping document

The following additional documents were also provided as part of the submission:

- Approval document
- Welsh Language Scheme
- Assessment procedures
- Bangor University / School of Healthcare Sciences Policies
- Letter to successful students

| Section | four: | Additional | documer | ntation. |
|---------|---------|-------------------|---------|----------|
| Section | 163111- | ACCUITIONAL | COCHINE | manion |

| The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. |
|--|
| The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards for which additional documentation is requested are listed below with reasons for the request. |

C.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics on their prescribing practice.

Reason: Within the documentation submitted the visitors could not find any references to the HCPC standards of conduct, performance and ethics and therefore could not determine that the curriculum ensures students understand the implication of the standards on their independent prescribing practice. The mapping document refers to appendices 8, 11 and 13. Appendices 8 and 11 do not directly refer to the standards and appendix 13 is missing. Therefore, the visitors require further evidence to demonstrate this standard is met.

Suggested documentation: Further evidence to demonstrate how the programme curriculum ensures students understand the implications of the HCPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics on their independent prescribing practice.

Section five: Recommendation of the visitors

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

| There is sufficient evidence to show the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and therefore that the programme be approved |
|---|
| There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence, and if required place conditions on approval of the programme |

Section six: Visitors' comments

The visitors noted that the documentation referred to CRB checks and processes. The visitors note that the process used now is the Disclosure and Barring Service and so this should be reflected within the documentation.

The visitors noted the education provider submitted documentation for the programme in full. The visitors however also noted that for particular documents (module handbooks) they had only provided a copy designated for physiotherapists. They noted that providing the copies for the other professionals taking the programme would have been useful. The visitors also noted some appendices referred to were not included with the submission.