## REVIEW OF ACTION POINTS REGISTRATION COMMITTEE 11th July 2005 – 7th July 2006

	Action point (and location in the minutes)				
	Action point (and location in the minutes)				
1.	r r				
	emerged following operational difficulties in handling applicants from private practice, those				
	working abroad and those from rural or remote areas who had difficulty in arranging a period				
	of supervised practice. (public minutes 5.1 5 <sup>th</sup> September 2005) (The consultation was				
	concluded in September 2005)				
2.	2. The Committee reviewed a draft copy of the registration renewals project plan. This had be				
	developed to assist and co-ordinate operational changes to improve the 2005/06 registration				
	renewal process. Part of this involved the development of electronic pass lists from HEIs.				
	(public minutes 8.6 5 <sup>th</sup> September 2005)				
3.	The Committee reviewed the performance appraisal system which had been developed for				
	registration assessors. The system would provide the opportunity for two way feedback and				
	encourage role development. (public minutes 8.1 28 <sup>th</sup> November 2005) (This was approved by				
	Council at their meeting in March 2006)				
4.	The Committee reviewed the analysis of reasons for registrants lapsing. It had transpired that				
	only 4.9% of the registrants that had so far gone through the registration renewal process were				
	lapsed which was noted to represent a significant achievement in itself. This information was				
	to be communicated to all relevant stakeholders once the renewal cycle was completed.				
	(public minutes 10.5 22 <sup>nd</sup> February 2006)				

ERROR: undefinedfilename OFFENDING COMMAND: c

STACK: