



Health Professions Council

INVESTIGATING COMMITTEE HEARING

Notice of Decision and Order

Date of Hearing: Thursday 13th October 2005

Name of Registrant: Julian Soons

Registration No.: CH19281

Panel: Martin Ryder – Chair

Peter Garfield Bennett - Chiropodist/ Podiatrist

Lesley Hawksworth - Lay Partner

Legal Assessor: Simon Russen

Hearing Officer: Zoe Maguire

Representation:

The Council was represented by Nicola Hill of Kingsley

Napley Solicitors

The Registrant did attend and was accompanied by Mr Michael

Eric Soons.

ALLEGATION(S)

That an entry in the register relating to you has been incorrectly made in that your application for registration dated 29th April 2004 did not meet the requirements for registration under Articles 13 (2) (a) or 13 (2) (b) of the Health Professions Order 2001.

DECISION:

This allegation relates to admission to the register under Article 13 (2)(b) of the Health Professions Order 2001. The Health Professions Council has in its evidence admitted that Mr Soons was placed on the register in error due to the fact that he had not been practising on 9th July 2003, the commencement date of the transitional provisions for chiropodists and podiatrists. It is the Health Professions Council's case that Article 13 (2) requires that a registrant has practised the profession reflection of the transitional provisions for chiropodism. SE11 4BU, UK

[t] +44 (0)20 **7582 0866**

[f] +44 (0)20 7582 4874

[w] www.hpc-uk.org

[e] ftp@hpc-uk.org



at that date. Mr Soons did not commence practice as a foot health professional until 30th September 2003.

The Panel has considered the submission of Miss Hill on behalf of HPC that Article 13 (2)(b) is dependent on a practice date pre 9th July 2003 and that this is confirmed by the provisions of Article 39. The Panel received advice from Mr Russen, Legal Assessor who expressed the view that Article 13 (2) (b) could be interpreted as not being dependent on the date of 9th July 2003.

The Panel prefers the construction of Article 13 (2) (b) advanced by Miss Hill and therefore finds that it is necessary for practice to pre date 9th July 2003 for admission to the register under the transitional provisions for chiropodists.

The Panel has decided that Mr Soons' name was placed on the register in error and very much regrets the actions of the HPC in this regard. The Panel notes that it is not the HPC's case that there is any question of a lack of competence or any improper behaviour on his part.

The Panel has decided that Mr Soons does not meet the criteria for entry to the register under Article 13 (2) (b) and accordingly directs the Registrar to remove his name from the register.

The Panel wishes to draw Mr Soons' attention to his right of appeal under Article 38 of the Health Professions Order 2001.

The Panel has decided that an interim suspension order under Article 31 of the Health Professions Order 2001 should be made for a period of 18 months or the earlier of (i) the expiry of the appeal period without an appeal being made, or (ii) (if an appeal is made) the determination of that appeal. Having decided that Mr Soons should never have been permitted to use the title of chiropodist the Panel is of the opinion that it is necessary in the public interest that he should immediately be prevented from continuing to do so.

The Panel wishes to record its serious concern that Mr Soons should have been wrongly led to believe that he qualified for entry to the register by virtue of Article 13 (2) (b).

RIGHT OF APPEAL

You may appeal against the Committee's decision and the order it has made against you.

Article 38 of the Health Professions Order 2001 provides that you have 28 days from the date that this notice was served on you to make such an appeal to the appropriate court. In this case the appropriate court is the High Court in England and Wales and Sella 4BU, UK

[t] +44 (0)20 7582 **0866**

[f] +44 (0)20 7582 **4874**

[w] www.hpc-uk.org

[e] ftp@hpc-uk.org

13 0 chle 2005