

## AGENDA

### Education and Training Panel – tier 1 paper approval route May 2026

**Panel members:** Carl Stychin (Chair)  
Katie Thirlaway

**Enquiries:** Francesca Bramley, Secretary to the Panel  
[secretariat@hcpc-uk.org](mailto:secretariat@hcpc-uk.org)

#### 1. **Approval**

- a. Programmes recommended for approval subject to meeting conditions
- Coventry University, Podiatrist degree apprenticeship (MSc Podiatry and Leadership)
  - University of Worcester, BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
- b. Programmes recommended for approval  
None

#### 2. **Performance review**

- a. Review period for institutions which have been subject to the performance review process  
None

#### 3. **Focused review**

- a. Institutions/programmes subjected to the focused review process, where no further action is recommended
- King's College London
  - University of Exeter
- b. Institutions/programmes subjected to the focused review process, where referral to another process is recommended  
None

- #### 4. **Record changes – provider consent**
- None

## Introduction

The Education and Training Committee makes all decisions on programme approval and on other operational education matters. Decisions are categorised into three ‘tiers’, which are categorised based on risk, whether recommended outcomes are challenged by providers, and / or whether there is a significant negative impact for the provider and/or learners. Meetings of the Education and Training Panel are reserved for items which require a higher level of oversight or discussion before a decision can be made.

This agenda is for tier 1 papers-based decisions only. These decisions are by nature low risk. Decisions are made at this tier in a specific set of limited circumstances, most importantly when education providers have not provided any comments on the outcome through ‘observations’ and therefore this is no disagreement about the recommendation put forward by lead visitors or the executive.

Each section of the agenda has an explanation of the recommended process outcome, with information which enables the Panel to make a decision.

### 1. Approval

#### a. Programmes recommended for approval subject to meeting conditions

For each programme listed, partner visitors have judged that conditions must be met before approval can be granted. These conditions relate to one or more of our education standards being met. Education providers have not supplied observations for these recommendations, meaning they do not object to the recommendations made.

The Panel is asked to consider the information in the enclosure(s) and to approve the programme(s) subject to the recommended conditions being met.

Education provider	Programme name	Mode of study	Enclosure
Coventry University	Podiatrist degree apprenticeship (MSc Podiatry and Leadership)	WBL (Work based learning)	1a.i
University of Worcester	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy	WBL (Work based learning)	1a.ii

**b. Programmes recommended for approval**

None

**2. PERFORMANCE REVIEW**

**a. Review period for institutions that have been subject to the performance review process**

None

**3. FOCUSED REVIEW**

**a. Institutions/programmes subject to the focused review process, where no further action is recommended**

For each provider listed, the executive team has judged that the trigger investigated does not impact on our education standards being met. Education providers and any case contacts have not supplied observations for these recommendations, meaning they do not object to the recommendations made.

The Panel is asked to consider the information in the enclosure and to approve the recommendation that no further action is required.

<b>Education provider</b>	<b>Review level</b>	<b>Review recommendation</b>	<b>Enclosure</b>
King's College London	Institution	No further action	3a.i
University of Exeter	Programme(s)	No further action	3a.ii

**b. Institutions/programmes subjected to the focused review process, where referral to another process is recommended**

None

**4. RECORD CHANGES – PROVIDER CONSENT**

None