

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have subject to annual monitoring audit and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology
Education provider	London Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	FT (Full time)
Date of decision	6 July 2017

Panel: Stephen Wordsworth (Chair) Sonya Lam
Maureen Drake Gavin Scott
Sue Gallone

Decision
<p>1. That, in respect of this programme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) the observations provided by the education provider to the Panel contains additional evidence as to how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training; and ii) the outcome recommended by the visitors be accepted, but subject to the variations and clarifications set out below; <p>2. An approval visit should be arranged to gather evidence as to how the programme continues to meet the SETs. This visit should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) take place within 6 months of this decision; ii) include a tour of resources, meetings with students, practice placement providers and educators, service users and carers as well as the education provider's programme team and senior team; and iii) will consider how the programme continues to meet all of the standards of education and training. <p>3. The reasoning used by the visitors to support their recommendation is subject to the following variations and clarifications:</p>

- i) in respect of the section four of the report, Panel accepts the education provider's clarification that it is the education providers responsibility to train service users and carers and not any NHS service.

Reasons

1. In reaching its decision in respect of this programme, the Panel has considered the visitors' report and the observations of the education provider. However, the Panel did not feel that they were in a position to accept the observations as they considered the observations were evidence as to how the programme team feels that this standard is met by the programme:
 - i) Panel determined that they could not act as professional experts and consider the evidence supplied in the observation as such. Therefore the recommendation of the Visitors should be accepted.
 - ii) an approval visit must be undertaken to determine how the programme can continue to meet the standards of education and training.
2. In reaching its decision in respect of this programme, the Panel is satisfied that an approval visit is required to appropriately assess how the programme continues to meet the standards. In particular the Panel is satisfied that:
 - i) to ensure that sufficient time is provided for the education provider to respond to the conditions, for a visit to be arranged and for documentary evidence submitted by the education provider to be scrutinised, the Panel considers that the visit should take place within 6 months of this decision;
 - ii) the nature of the visit means that a tour of resources, meetings with students, practice placement providers and educators, service users and carers as well as the education provider's programme team and senior team will be required; and
 - iii) the way the programme continues to meet all of the standards of education and training will need to be considered.
3. In reaching its decision in respect of this programme, the Panel has considered the visitors' report and the observations of the education provider and is satisfied that the reasoning for the recommendation requires variation or clarification. In particular, the Panel is satisfied that:
 - i) in relation to the variation to the reasoning in section 4 of the report, the reasoning should remain but that this amendment was necessary to correct a factual inaccuracy.

Signed: Stephen Wordsworth, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have subject to annual monitoring audit and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology
Education provider	London Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	PT (Part time)
Date of decision	6 July 207

Panel: Stephen Wordsworth (Chair) Sonya Lam
Maureen Drake Gavin Scott
Sue Gallone

Decision
<p>1. That, in respect of this programme:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> iii) the observations provided by the education provider to the Panel contains additional evidence as to how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training; and iv) the outcome recommended by the visitors be accepted, but subject to the variations and clarifications set out below; <p>2. An approval visit should be arranged to gather evidence as to how the programme continues to meet the SETs. This visit should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> iv) take place within 6 months of this decision; v) include a tour of resources, meetings with students, practice placement providers and educators, service users and carers as well as the education provider's programme team and senior team; and vi) will consider how the programme continues to meet all of the standards of education and training. <p>3. The reasoning used by the visitors to support their recommendation is subject to the following variations and clarifications:</p>

- i) in respect of the section four of the report, Panel accepts the education provider's clarification that it is the education providers responsibility to train service users and carers and not any NHS service.

Reasons

1. In reaching its decision in respect of this programme, the Panel has considered the visitors' report and the observations of the education provider. However, the Panel did not feel that they were in a position to accept the observations as they considered the observations were evidence as to how the programme team feels that this standard is met by the programme:
 - iii) Panel determined that they could not act as professional experts and consider the evidence supplied in the observation as such. Therefore the recommendation of the Visitors should be accepted.
 - iv) an approval visit must be undertaken to determine how the programme can continue to meet the standards of education and training.
2. In reaching its decision in respect of this programme, the Panel is satisfied that an approval visit is required to appropriately assess how the programme continues to meet the standards. In particular the Panel is satisfied that:
 - iv) to ensure that sufficient time is provided for the education provider to respond to the conditions, for a visit to be arranged and for documentary evidence submitted by the education provider to be scrutinised, the Panel considers that the visit should take place within 6 months of this decision;
 - v) the nature of the visit means that a tour of resources, meetings with students, practice placement providers and educators, service users and carers as well as the education provider's programme team and senior team will be required; and
 - vi) the way the programme continues to meet all of the standards of education and training will need to be considered.
3. In reaching its decision in respect of this programme, the Panel has considered the visitors' report and the observations of the education provider and is satisfied that the reasoning for the recommendation requires variation or clarification. In particular, the Panel is satisfied that:
 - i) in relation to the variation to the reasoning in section 4 of the report, the reasoning should remain but that this amendment was necessary to correct a factual inaccuracy.

Signed: Stephen Wordsworth, Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have subject to annual monitoring audit and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Counselling Psychology and Psychotherapy by Professional Studies (DCPsych)
Education provider	Metanoia Institute (validated by Middlesex University)
Mode of delivery	PT (Part time)
Date of decision	6 July 2017

Panel: Stephen Wordsworth (Chair) Sonya Lam
Maureen Drake Gavin Scott
Sue Gallone

Decision
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. That, in respect of this programme the outcome recommended by the visitors be accepted. 2. An approval visit should be arranged to gather evidence as to how the programme continues to meet the SETs. This visit should: <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i) take place within 6 months of this decision; ii) include a tour of resources, meetings with students, practice placement providers and educators, service users and carers as well as the education provider's programme team and senior team; and iii) will consider how the programme continues to meet all of the standards of education and training
Reasons
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The Panel noted that the visitors saw insufficient evidence to demonstrate that the programme is continuing to meet a number of standards of education and training. As such they have agreed with the recommendation of the visitors. 2. In reaching its decision in respect of this programme, the Panel is satisfied that an approval visit is required to appropriately assess how the

programme continues to meet the standards. In particular the Panel is satisfied that:

- i) to ensure that sufficient time is provided for the education provider to respond to the conditions, for a visit to be arranged and for documentary evidence submitted by the education provider to be scrutinised, the Panel considers that the visit should take place within 6 months of this decision;
- ii) the nature of the visit means that a tour of resources, meetings with students, practice placement providers and educators, service users and carers as well as the education provider's programme team and senior team will be required; and
- iii) the way the programme continues to meet all of the standards of education and training will need to be considered.

Signed: Stephen Wordsworth, Panel Chair