

Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2
Section five: Visitors' comments	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Association of Clinical Scientists
Programme title	Certificate of Attainment
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Clinical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	25 May 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Geraldine Hartshorne (Clinical scientist) John Burgess (Clinical scientist)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

The education provider is establishing a new route specific for a sub-modality within Clinical Physiology, Ophthalmic and Vision Science.

SET 2: Programme admissions

SET 4: Curriculum SET 6: Assessment

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Competencies for Ophthalmic and Vision Science
- Sub-modalities recognised for the ACS Certificate of Attainment

Section three: Additional documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

Section five: Visitors' comments

The visitors noted that the number of clinical scientists taking this route may be low and that the pool of assessors may be relatively small. This has already been recognised by the education provider. It will be therefore important to ensure that the course retains close links with other relevant clinical science professions, to ensure appropriate benchmarking and avoidance of conflicts of interest.



Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Brunel University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	7 May 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Julia Cutforth (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- CV for new Programme Leader

Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.	
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.	
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor	
the pro	ommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.	
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:	
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.	
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.	



Contents

Section one: Programme details	. 1
Section two: Submission details	. 1
Section three: Additional documentation	.2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	City University
Programme title	MSc Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	11 May 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Calum Delaney (Speech and language therapist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section	on three: Additional documentation
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor
the pr	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that rogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.
The v	isitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on

ongoing approval of the programme.



Contents

Section one: Programme details	. 1
Section two: Submission details	. 1
Section three: Additional documentation	.2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	.2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	City University
Programme title	Pg Dip Speech and Language Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Speech and language therapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	11 May 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Calum Delaney (Speech and language therapist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.	
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.	
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor	
the pr	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that rogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.	
The v	isitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:	
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.	
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on	

ongoing approval of the programme.



Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	15 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Kathryn Heathcote (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change. The education provider has highlighted a programme leader change from Diana Davis to Jayne Bartholomew in May 2015.

Recruitment of an additional member of staff to address shortfall created by retirement of Diana Davis and promotion of Jayne Bartholomew.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- CV for Jayne Bartholomew

Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.	
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.	
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor	
the pro	ommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our order of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.	
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:	
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.	
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.	



Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Liverpool John Moores University
Programme title	Diploma of Higher Education Paramedic Practice
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Paramedic
Date of submission to the HCPC	5 May 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Sue Boardman (Paramedic) Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Kay Hughes the new programme leader for this programme.

The education provider has increased the number of students on the programme, there will be an additional 15 UCAS direct entry students and 14 to enter the second year of study.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Programme overview of delivery
- School restructure

- Paramedic Programme team profile
- Curriculum Vitae of Kay Hughes
- Student staff ratio
- Module descriptors
- Comparison of capacity with peak 2010
 Confirmation of capacity and extra numbers NWAS

ongoing approval of the programme.

• Programme document

Section	throo:	Additional	documentati	۸n
Section	three:	Additional	documentati	on

	The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
	The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.
Secti	on four: Recommendation of the visitors
the po	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that rogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.
The v	risitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on



Contents

Section one: Programme details	
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	London Metropolitan University
Programme title	Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Counselling psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	17 March 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Ewan Gillon (Counselling psychologist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.	
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.	
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor	
the pro	ommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.	
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:	
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.	
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.	



Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	New College Durham
Name of awarding validating body	Teesside University
Programme title	BA (Hons) Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of submission to the HCPC	31 March 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Amanda Fitchett (Social work in England)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section	Section three: Additional documentation		
\boxtimes	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.		
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.		
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor		
the pr	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.		
The v	isitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:		
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.		
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on		

ongoing approval of the programme.



Contents

Section one: Programme details	. 1
Section two: Submission details	. 1
Section three: Additional documentation	.2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	.2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Programme title	Pg Dip Radiotherapy and Oncology in Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Therapeutic radiographer
Date of submission to the HCPC	21 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Beverley Ball (Therapeutic radiographer)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.	
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.	
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitors	
the protection that	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.	
The vi	sitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:	
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.	

There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.



Contents

Section one: Programme details	.1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	.2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Programme title	BA (Hons) Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of submission to the HCPC	10 June 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Caroline Jackson (Social worker in England) Christine Stogdon (Social worker in England)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

The education provider has appointed a new programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Sectio	Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.		
	The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.		
Sectio	n four: Recommendation of the visitors		
the pro	ommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our rds of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.		
The vis	sitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:		
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.		
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.		



Contents

Section one: Programme details	
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
	Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Kathryn Heathcote (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section	Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.		
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.		
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor		
the pro	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.		
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:		
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.		
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.		



Contents

Section one: Programme details	
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Programme title	MSc Physiotherapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Kathryn Heathcote (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section	Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.		
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.		
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor		
the pro	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.		
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:		
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.		
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.		



Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Programme title	Pg Dip Physiotherapy (Pre-registration)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitor	Kathryn Heathcote (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3: Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section	Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.		
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.		
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor		
the pro	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.		
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:		
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.		
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.		



Contents

Section one: Programme details	
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Stirling
Programme title	Non-Medical Prescribing (Supplementary and Independent Prescribing)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlement	Independent prescribing Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Gemma Quinn (Independent prescriber)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

Standard B: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.	
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.	
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor	
the pro	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.	
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:	
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.	
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.	



Contents

Section one: Programme details	
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Stirling
Programme title	Non-Medical Prescribing (Supplementary Prescribing Only)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant entitlement	Supplementary prescribing
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Gemma Quinn (Supplementary prescriber)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

Standard B: Programme management and resources

Programme leader change

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of the new programme leader

Section three: Additional documentation		
	The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.	
	The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.	
Section	on four: Recommendation of the visitor	
the pro	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that ogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our ards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.	
The vi	sitor agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:	
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.	
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.	



Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Radiotherapy and Oncology
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Therapeutic radiographer
Date of submission to the HCPC	27 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Beverley Ball (Therapeutic radiographer) Joanne Doughty (Therapeutic radiographer)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 2 Programme admissions

Repackaging of the recruitment materials.

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change in programme leader and recruitment of two additional members of staff.

SET 4 Curriculum and SET 6 Assessment

Change in module content to reflect current practice, integration of interprofessional education (IPE), and credit structure changes with impact on assessment.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- SOP Mapping document
- Programme Specification
- Relevant Module Specifications
- Relevant Staff CVs

Section	three:	Additional	documentation
Section	three:	Additional	documentation

	The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
	The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.
Secti	ion four: Recommendation of the visitors
the p	commend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that rogramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our lards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.
The v	visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.



Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	

Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme title	MSc Radiotherapy & Oncology
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Therapeutic radiographer
Date of submission to the HCPC	27 April 2015
Name and role of HCPC visitors	Beverley Ball (Therapeutic radiographer) Joanne Doughty (Therapeutic radiographer)
HCPC executive	Alex Urquhart

Section two: Submission details

Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Programme Leader change and two additional member of staff joining the team.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Relevant Staff CV's

Section three: Additional documentation			
	The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.		
;	The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.		
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors			
the pro	ommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that gramme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our rds of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.		
The vis	itors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:		
	There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.		
	There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.		