

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsychol)
Education provider	Canterbury Christ Church University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 6 June 2013

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Bob Smith
Mary Clark-Glass Jois Stansfield


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 6 June 2013

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Bob Smith
Mary Clark-Glass Jois Stansfield


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 6 June 2013

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Bob Smith
Mary Clark-Glass Jois Stansfield


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 6 June 2013

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass Jois Stansfield

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology
Education provider	University of East London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 6 June 2013

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Bob Smith
Mary Clark-Glass Jois Stansfield


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Orthoptics
Education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 6 June 2013

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Bob Smith
Mary Clark-Glass Jois Stansfield


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:  Panel Chair