

## Education and Training Committee

**Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions where the visitors have recommended non-approval:**

<b>Programme name</b>	BSc (Hons) Integrated Nutrition and Dietetics
<b>Education provider</b>	University College of Osteopathy
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	FT (full time)
<b>Assessment ref</b>	APP02083
<b>Date of decision</b>	11 June 2020

**Panel:** Maureen Drake  
Luke Jenkinson  
Penny Joyce  
Sonya Lam  
Kathryn Thirlaway  
Stephen Wordsworth (Chair)

<b>Decision</b>
That due to approval conditions not being met, and following the Committee's commencement of non-approval proceedings, the programme was not approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
<p>Visitors recommended that the programme was not approved, as they were not satisfied that two conditions were met. The Committee considered this recommendation at its meeting of 24 March 2020, alongside observations from the education provider</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Condition 3.6 – the Committee noted the visitors' position in relation to this condition not being met was that the education provider had not yet formally secured external practice-based learning for all learners in years two and three of the programme, and they were not clear how the education provider would secure all the remaining practice based learning.</li> </ol> <p>The Committee agreed that further evidence demonstrating how the education provider would secure the necessary practice-based learning had not been provided and that therefore the condition had not been met.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Condition 5.6 – the Committee noted the visitors' position in relation to this condition not being met was that the provider had not been able to</li> </ol>

evidence what practice-based learning would be available to learners after year one of the programme. Therefore the visitors were unable to determine if this practice-based learning would cover an appropriate range, which would support learners to meet the SOPs for dietitians.

The Committee agreed that the education provider had not demonstrated that it could secure an appropriate range of practice-based learning for years two and three of the programme. Therefore the Committee agreed that the condition had not been met.

The Committee noted the education provider's own reflections, through their written observations and verbal representations, that the standards were not met.

The Committee agreed that the visitors had applied a reasonable test of the SETs at a threshold level. The provider had been given a number of opportunities to demonstrate the conditions had been met and had been unable to do so.

Given the nature of the outstanding issues, and the prospect that these issues will not be resolved in a timely manner, the Committee commenced non-approval proceedings.

At its meeting on 11 June 2020 the Committee considered the observations of the education provider, received in response to the Committee's commencement of non-approval proceedings.

The Committee agreed that the education provider's observations did not provide the assurances the Committee required that the outstanding conditions had been met, or that the education provider had established plans to meet these conditions.

The Committee therefore agreed that the approval process for assessment APP02083 had reached its end and that the programme was not approved.



**Signed:**..... **Panel Chair**