# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2
Section five: Visitors' comments	3

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Bournemouth University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Occupational therapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	December 2013
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Jennifer Caldwell (Occupational therapist) Natalie Matchett (Occupational therapist)
HCPC executive	Louise Devlin

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 4 Curriculum SET 5 Practice placements SET 6 Assessment

The education provider has indicated that changes are being made as part of the regular updating of the programme. While the teaching and learning methods remain the same, each module has been updated and the first year has been restructured. Changes have also been made to the structure of practice placements, in that they have been reduced from 5 to 4, and have increased in length. The education provider has also indicated that there are changes to the assessment of students' theoretical knowledge and professional attitudes and skills, in line with the proposed changes to the curriculum.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme specification
- Student handbook
- Briefing and resources document
- Practice assessment documentation
- Programme structure diagram

### Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

## 5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

**Reason:** From a review of the documentation provided, the visitors noted plans to reduce the number of placements from five to four, and increase the placements in length. However, it was unclear from the documentation how, under the new placement structure, students will be given an appropriate amount of time to re-sit placements, particularly in regards to the first placement in the third year as this is a ten week placement.

**Suggested documentation**: The visitors require further evidence of the schedule, or timetable for the re-sit of placements, to ensure that the duration of placements under the new structure is appropriate in allowing students time to resit placements, if required.

# Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

### Section five: Visitors' comments

The visitors noted that there were some inconsistencies in the documentation in regards to the use of language, for example, the Student Handbook and Placement Handbooks referred to "the student" and "you", sometimes in the same paragraph. The visitors therefore suggest that this is updated to ensure that the information provided to all parties is clear and consistent, in regards to the education provider's expectations of them.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

health & care professions council

#### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Bournemouth University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	December 2013
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Julia Cutforth (Physiotherapist) Anthony Power (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Louise Devlin

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 4 Curriculum SET 5 Practice placements SET 6 Assessment

The education provider has indicated that changes are being made as part of the regular updating of the programme. While the teaching and learning methods remain the same, each module has been updated and the first year has been restructured. Changes have also been made to the structure of practice placements, in that they have been reduced from 5 to 4, and have increased in length. The education provider has also indicated that there are changes to the assessment of students' theoretical knowledge and professional attitudes and skills, in line with the proposed changes to the curriculum.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- BSc Physiotherapy Briefing and Resources Document
- BSc Physiotherapy Programme Specification
- BSc Physiotherapy Unit directory, including all units in the BSc PT programme
- BSc Physiotherapy Practice Assessment Documents for all three years
- Mapping of Standards of Proficiency for Physiotherapists to Unit and Programme outcomes (in Briefing and Resources and Programme Specifications documents)
- BSc Physiotherapy Mandatory Training Requirements
- BSc Physiotherapy Staff Academic Profiles

# Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

# Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

### Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
	Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	David Houliston (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

# Section two: Submission details

# Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of programme leader to Lowri Mainwaring from Delia Ripley.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of Dr Lowri Mainwaring and information about the new programme leader's qualifications, experience and registration status

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

### Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Name of validating body	University of Wales
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Mode of delivery	Full time Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	David Houliston (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

# Section two: Submission details

# Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of programme leader to Lowri Mainwaring from Delia Ripley.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of Dr Lowri Mainwaring and information about the new programme leader's qualifications, experience and registration status

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request

### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

### Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Blood Sciences)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	David Houliston (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

# Section two: Submission details

# Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of programme leader to Lowri Mainwaring from Delia Ripley.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of Dr Lowri Mainwaring and information about the new programme leader's qualifications, experience and registration status

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Cellular Sciences)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	David Houliston (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

# Section two: Submission details

# Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of programme leader to Lowri Mainwaring from Delia Ripley.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of Dr Lowri Mainwaring and information about the new programme leader's qualifications, experience and registration status

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Genetic Sciences)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	David Houliston (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of programme leader to Lowri Mainwaring from Delia Ripley.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of Dr Lowri Mainwaring and information about the new programme leader's qualifications, experience and registration status

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

### Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Cardiff Metropolitan University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science (Infection Sciences)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	David Houliston (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

# Section two: Submission details

# Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The visitor noted the education provider has submitted information regarding the change of programme leader to Lowri Mainwaring from Delia Ripley.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of Dr Lowri Mainwaring and information about the new programme leader's qualifications, experience and registration status

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	1
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2
Section five: Visitors' comments	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Keele University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time (Existing programme) Part time (New programme)
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	20 December 2013
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Fleur Kitsell (Physiotherapist) Julia Cutforth (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

#### SET 3 Programme management and resources

The HCPC approved BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy at Keele University as a full-time programme. The team at Keele propose an additional part time route into this programme, which would continue to run alongside the existing full time programme, with students being integrated across the programmes. Other than the timing of delivery (part time across 8 rather than the 6 full time semesters) no other change to the existing approved programme is proposed.

#### SET 6 Assessment

With the expiry of the term of the external examiner, a new external examiner, Dr Pam Bagley (Registrant Physiotherapist PH 38993) has recently been appointed to the programme.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Proposed student marketing information
- CV of External Examiner
- Overview of modularised programme for 2014/15
- E-mail clarification from external examiner
- Academic year structure: (annex 2,3)
- Programme specification.

#### Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that:

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Section five: Visitors' comments

The visitors noted some inaccuracies within the documents provided. In Annex 1 there are some page numbers missing and reference is made to fees however the actual figure is missing. There is also wording within the programme specification document which still reflects a 3 year course in places.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Liverpool John Moores University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
	Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Biomedical scientist
Date of submission to the HCPC	16 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Mary Popeck (Biomedical scientist)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The programme leader Janice Harland is being replaced by Lesley Jane Walton. She is taking over the overall management of the program and will now be the programme lead.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for Lesley Jane Walton

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC Visitors	Karen Harrison (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed from Stella Howden to Cliona Purcell.

- Major change notification form submitted by the education provider
- Documents submitted by education provider
- Programme context summary (including past Visitors reports)
- Major change standards of education and training (SETs) mapping
- Curriculum vitae for the new programme leader

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

## Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Programme title	Pg Dip Radiotherapy and Oncology in Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Therapeutic radiographer
Date of submission to the HCPC	21 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	Kathryn Burgess (Therapeutic radiographer)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Change of programme leader due to current programme leader taking maternity leave from 20 January 2014. To be replaced by Sarah Smith from that date.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae of new programme leader

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	Tavistock & Portman NHS Foundation Trust
Name of awarding / validating body (if different from education provider)	University of Essex
Programme title	Doctorate in Child, Community and Educational Psychology (D.Ch.Ed.Psych.)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Educational psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC Visitors	Robert Stratford (Educational psychologist)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has detailed a change to the programme leader. Brian Davis was appointed Programme Director for the Doctorate in Child, Community and Educational Psychology programme in December 2013.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Job description for the post of Consultant Educational Psychologist / Director of Child Community and Educational Psychology Training/Deputy Head of Psychology
- A list of responsibilities of core course staff and module leads
- Curriculum Vitae of Dr Davis, newly appointed Director
- Appraisal and Role Evaluation forms used by the programme

# Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

# Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the Visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Brighton
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Physiotherapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	11 February 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	Karen Harrison (Physiotherapist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The programme leader has changed from Angela Glynn to Patricia Fordham.

- Major change notification form submitted by the education provider
- Documents submitted by education provider
- Programme context summary (including past Visitors reports)
- Major change standards of education and training (SETs) mapping
- Curriculum vitae for the new programme leader

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

## Section four: Recommendation of the Visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Derby
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Diagnostic radiographer
Date of submission to the HCPC	16 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitor	Stephen Boynes (Diagnostic radiographer)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

A planned change in programme leader from August 2014, the current programme leader Julie de Witt, will be replaced by Alexandra Partner as programme leader.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum Vitae for Alexandra Partner
- Institutions Programme Leader handbook
- Rough guide for Programme Leader for the BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography programme.

- Programme Leader handover plan
- Information to support the request for a change in Programme Leader for the BSc Hons Diagnostic Radiography at the University of Derby (includes: Information to support the request for a change in Programme Leader and staffing levels)
- Enhancement plan for 2013/14
- Minutes of student reps meeting February, 2014
- 'You said we did' document Summer 2013
- Programme Committee minutes October, 2013
- Supporting statement from current programme Leader detailing handover procedure and future support.

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

### Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Leeds
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Diagnostic)
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Radiographer
Relevant modality	Diagnostic radiographer
Date of submission to the HCPC	14 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Patricia Fillis (Diagnostic radiographer)
HCPC executive	Abdur Razzaq

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

SET 3.4 There has been a change of Programme Leader from Michelle Ellwood to Voyin Pantic.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- CV for new Programme Leader Voyin Pantic detailing range of experience, qualifications and membership of relevant professional bodies and registration status.

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2
Section five: Visitors' comments	3

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Salford
Programme title	BA (Hons) Social Work
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Social worker in England
Date of submission to the HCPC	31 January 2013
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Graham Noyce (Social worker) Michael Branicki (Social worker)
HCPC executive	Hollie Latham

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

- SET 2 Programme admissions
- SET 3 Programme management and resources
- SET 4 Curriculum
- SET 5 Practice placements
- SET 6 Assessment

To establish a part time route through the existing BA (Hons) Social Work programme. This part time route envisions the existing programme structure being delivered in a different format. The proposed date for the part time route to commence is January 2015.

The different mode of delivery involves students attending for teaching one afternoon and evening per week. There are periods during the part time route that the students' attendance would be different to the full time model such as to meet the required number of days on placement (involving 5 days a week attendance) and for the required number of skills development days which is a

professional requirement. The delivery of the part time route will be undertaken by the programme team with assistance, as required, from other members of the Social Work Directorate.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Programme Specification
- Part time programme handbook
- Social work practice learning handbook
- Programme team CVs
- · Lecturer in social work job advertisements
- Admissions information booklet
- Programme specification

# Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

# Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Section five: Visitors' comments

The visitors are satisfied all SETs have been met, including SET 2.1, and no further documentation is required. The visitors wished to comment there are likely to be periods during the programme where the demands of the programme are particularly intense due to the programme delivery structure. The visitors wish to note that they feel it would be beneficial for the education provider to endeavour to make sure potential applicants and applicants are aware of this early on.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	3

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Salford
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Full time
	Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Occupational therapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	18 December 2013
Name and profession of the	Joanna Goodwin (Occupational therapist)
HCPC visitors	Sarah Johnson (Occupational therapist)
HCPC executive	Ruth Wood

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 4 Curriculum SET 5 Practice placements SET 6 Assessment

The programme team have stated that the existing modules will be subject to changes structurally. The changes incorporate the standards of proficiency for occupational therapists (2013), changes to module content and how information is delivered and changes to module credit size and module length and duration. These changes could lead to some of the learning outcomes being changed. In turn this is affecting how the modules will be assessed. They have also identified changes to the structure and timings of practice placements.

As such these changes are likely to affect how the programme continues to meet SET 4 Curriculum, SET 5 practice placements and SET 6 Assessment.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Along with the information presented in this notification form, the education provider has submitted evidence to support these changes:
  - Programme Specification OT final 12 Nov 2013 corrected
  - Programme Structure FT 2014 FINAL
  - Programme structure PT 2014 FINAL
  - Table of Assessments UG OT
  - L4 (20) Evidence Based Practice & Research 1 Final
  - L4 (20) ICPP Final
  - L4 (40) Foundation Principles of OT Practice
  - L4 (40) Occupation through the lifespan Final
  - L5 (20) Evidence Based Practice & Research 2 Final
  - L5 (20) Models for OT Practice Final
  - L5 (40) Engaging Service Users in OT Final
  - L5 (40) Understanding People as Occupational Beings
  - L6 (20) Evidence Based Practice & Research 3 Final
  - L6 (20) Into Employment 1 Final
  - L6 (40) Exploring Contemporary Practice Final
  - L6 (40) Into Practice Final

# Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

# Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

### Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Chiropodist / podiatrist
Date of submission to the HCPC	24 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Paul Blakeman (Podiatrist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has informed the HCPC that the programme leader has changed from Ivan Bristow to Mark Cole.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae and statement from the new programme leader

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the Visitors	2

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Surrey
Programme title	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practice
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Paramedic
Date of submission to the HCPC	7 January 2014
Name and profession of the	Bob Fellows (Paramedic)
HCPC Visitors	Gordon Pollard (Paramedic)
HCPC executive	Amal Hussein

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

Andi Sambrook has been appointed as an interim Programme leader, due to the retirement of Joan Juniper who is the current Programme leader for the BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practice.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Andi Sambrook CV
- Claire Horsfield CV

- The Visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The Visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### Section four: Recommendation of the Visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the Visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitors	2

health & care professions council

### Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Surrey
Programme title	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (PsychD)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Practitioner psychologist
Relevant modality	Clinical psychologist
Date of submission to the HCPC	28 January 2014
Name and profession of the	Ruth Baker (Clinical psychologist)
HCPC visitors	Annie Mitchell (Clinical psychologist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

# Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has updated how it uses service users and carers within the programme.

#### SET 4 Curriculum

The curriculum, with associated assignments and teaching units, have been updated and re-structured, in line with in line with various policy and health and social care sector changes affecting the clinical psychology profession.

## SET 6 Assessment

Assessments have been adapted and restructured in response to the changed curriculum and linked with updated Fitness to Practise policy and procedures, and including a new requirement to submit an annotated audio recording of an intervention session with a client.

The following documents were provided as part of the submission:

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Cohort timetables
- Research handbook curriculum review
- Unit timetable
- Assignment handbook
- Periodic review
- Standards of proficiency
- Programme Specification
- The roles and responsibilities for assignments and teaching units

# Section three: Additional documentation

- The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
- The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

# Section four: Recommendation of the visitors

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.

# Contents

Section one: Programme details	1
Section two: Submission details	
Section three: Additional documentation	2
Section four: Recommendation of the visitor	2

health & care professions council

# Section one: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Programme title	MA Music Therapy
Mode of delivery	Part time
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Arts therapist
Relevant modality	Music therapist
Date of submission to the HCPC	22 January 2014
Name and profession of the HCPC visitors	Pauline Etkin (Music therapist)
HCPC executive	Mandy Hargood

#### Section two: Submission details

#### Summary of change

SET 3 Programme management and resources

The education provider has informed HCPC that the programme leader has changed to Cathy Warner.

- Major change notification form (completed by the HCPC executive)
- Context pack
- Major change SETs mapping document (completed by education provider)
- Curriculum vitae for the new programme leader and other staff on the programme.

- The visitor agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.
  - The visitor agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The standards of education and training (SETs), for which additional documentation was requested, are listed below with reasons for the request.

#### Section four: Recommendation of the visitor

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitor must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme have demonstrated an ability to meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

- There is sufficient evidence to show the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and that those who complete the programme will continue to demonstrate an ability to meet the standards of proficiency.
- There is insufficient evidence to determine if or how the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training listed. Therefore, a visit is recommended to gather more evidence and if required place conditions on ongoing approval of the programme.