

Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Non-Medical Prescribing (level 7)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Sianed:

& Shunter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Non-Medical Prescribing (level 7) (SP only)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed

Mary Clark-Glass

John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: L. Murutu



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (level 6)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Manta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (level 6) (SP only)
Education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: K. Munutur.



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non medical / Independent Prescribing
Education provider	Bangor University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: L. Munter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary to Independent Prescribing	
Education provider	Bangor University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: Character



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary and Independent Prescribing For Physiotherapists and Chiropodists / Podiatrists
Education provider	Bournemouth University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: K. Turwtin.



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent and Supplementary Non-Medical Prescribing Programme (V300)
Education provider	City University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Shenter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing	
Education provider	City University	-
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

6. Augustus



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Certificate in Non-Medical Prescribing (Level 3)
Education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Mary Clark-Glass

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: E. Turutur



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Certificate in Non-Medical Prescribing (M Level)
Education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: L. Shuutun



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	De Montfort University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Muntin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Post Graduate Certificate Non-Medical Prescribing	
Education provider	De Montfort University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

L'Alyuta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Level 6)	
Education provider	Edge Hill University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Shuruter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Level 7)	
Education provider	Edge Hill University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

K. Number



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	AHP SP – IP Conversion course	
Education provider	Edinburgh Napier University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panei:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Г	ec	10	-	n
u	o.	13	ıv	

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Muniter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non Medical Prescribing for Nurses Midwives and Allied Health Professionals	
Education provider	Edinburgh Napier University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: E. Humtin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Radiographer Supplementary Prescriber	
Education provider	Edinburgh Napier University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass John

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

& Augustin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	AHP Supplementary Prescribing to Independent Prescribing Conversion	
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Shuntur



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing SCQF Level 10	
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

& Munta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing SCQF Level 11	
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

L'Aluntan



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing SCQF Level 9	
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

É. Aunta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent and Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals	
Education provider	Keele University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	03 December 2013	

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Suruta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Conversion to Independent Prescribing for Physiotherapists and Podiatrist Supplementary Prescribers
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed

Mary Clark-Glass

John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Augustin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Postgraduate Certificate in Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

K. Muzuten



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Postgraduate Certificate in Independence and Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	Medway School of Pharmacy
Mode of delivery	Distance Learning
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Alyutin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

6 Abusta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

•

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved
Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

6. Aunten



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advancing from Supplementary to Independent Prescribing
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed

Mary Clark-Glass

John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

L'Abunta.



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advancing Non Medical Prescribing (postgraduate)
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

L'Alunta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non Medical Prescribing (undergraduate)
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

K. Munter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-medical prescribing (SCVQG Level 11)
Education provider	The Robert Gordon University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

L. Alexantra

Signed:



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-medical prescribing (SCVQG Level 9)
Education provider	The Robert Gordon University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed Mary Clark-Glass John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

1. Menuter

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and/or SP (HE6)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

Decision

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E Shouter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and/or SP (HE7)		
Education provider	The University of Bolton		
Mode of delivery	Part Time		
Date of decision	03 December 2013		

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Dec	ision			

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

6 Abouter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Supplementary Prescribing (Radiographer)		
Education provider	The University of Bolton		
Mode of delivery	Part Time		
Date of decision	03 December 2013		

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed
John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

L' Augusten



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Independent and/or Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University Campus Suffolk
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed
John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Alemeter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescriber to Independent Prescriber Conversion Programme
Education provider	University of Brighton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

K. Nuntin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent Prescribing (1)
Education provider	University of Brighton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

G. Shunter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advance Certificate Non Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of Central Lancashire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Munter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of Chester
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Sianed:

K. Alunta



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of Chester
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed

Mary Clark-Glass

John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

L' Muntin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 6) (Conversion)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: E. Turntur



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 7) (Conversion)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: E. Augustin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 6) (Supplementary Prescribing)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Thumater



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 6) (with SP pathway and IP pathway)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Aumtu



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 7) (Supplementary Prescribing)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed

Mary Clark-Glass

John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Shouter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	University Award Non-Medical Prescribing for AHPs (level 7) (with SP pathway and IP pathway)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed
John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Shorutur



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Practice Certificate in Independent Prescribing (for Physiotherapists / Podiatrists)
Education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed

Mary Clark-Glass

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

15 Augustin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing (for Radiographers)
Education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: K. Muutun



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Allied Health Professional Independent and Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of Hull
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

É Alemetre



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and SP for Designated AHPs (PHs and CHs) level 6
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

& Shuter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing IP and SP for Designated AHPs (PHs and CHs) level 7
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed
John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Theruter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing to Independent Prescribing Level 6
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Thurth



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Supplementary Prescribing to Independent Prescribing Level 7
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

E. Murutun



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non Medical Prescribing - Independent Prescribing
Education provider	University of Salford
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: L. Muylin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Independent and Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of Southampton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: Signed:



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Supplementary and Independent Prescribing)
Education provider	University of Stirling
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: L. Mary lin



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Supplementary Prescribing Only)
Education provider	University of Stirling
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)

Joy Tweed

Mary Clark-Glass

John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: L. Muntus



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Prescribing Principles (Level 3)
Education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: K. Thumtun.



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Prescribing Principles (Level M)
Education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel: Eileer

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: Literature



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Principles of Supplementary Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed:

L'Ahunter



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: Luntu



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it. in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: K. Shumtun



Independent and supplementary prescribing programmes which have been subject to an amended approval process and for which approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of the West of Scotland
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	03 December 2013

Panel:

Eileen Thornton(Chair)
Mary Clark-Glass

Joy Tweed John Donaghy

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HCPC Visitors' report.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should be approved

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the visitors have recommended the programme meets the standards for education providers part of the standards for prescribing, and that the programme can therefore be approved.

Signed: K. Munutur.