

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Certificate of Attainment
Education provider	Association of Clinical Scientists
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stephen Wordsworth

Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	MA Dramatherapy
Education provider	Roehampton University
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stephen Wordsworth

Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Foundation Degree in Professional Development in Paramedic Science
Education provider	Staffordshire University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stephen Wordsworth

Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved. Reasons The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:	Panei Chai
---------	------------



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science
Education provider	Staffordshire University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stephen Wordsworth

Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (HE6)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stuart MacKay

Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

Signed: Pane	I Ch	air



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (HE7)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stephen Wordsworth

Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

Signed: Pane	I Ch	air



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Therapy
Education provider	University of East Anglia
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen [

Helen Davis (Chair) Stephen Wordsworth Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DclinPsy)
Education provider	University of Lincoln
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stephen Wordsworth

Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

Signed:	Panel	Chai	ir
---------	-------	------	----



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DclinPsy)
Education provider	University of Nottingham
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair)

Stephen Wordsworth

Stuart MacKay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

-		-
Sianed:	Panel	Chair