

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Certificate of Attainment
Education provider	Academy for Healthcare Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met. Reasons The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:,	Panel	Chair



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Certificate of Equivalence
Education provider	Academy for Healthcare Science
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met. Reasons The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been

met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology	
Education provider	London Metropolitan Univeristy
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met. Reasons The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Programme name Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology	
Education provider	London Metropolitan Univeristy	
Mode of delivery	Part time	
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012	

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met. Reasons The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been

met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Blood Sciences)
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Cellular Sciences)
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

S	igned:	, Panel	Cha	air



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Genetic Sciences)
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: , Pa	anel	Chai
--------------	------	------



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Sciences - Life Sciences (Infection Sciences)
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

S	igned:	, Panel	Cha	air



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology
Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met. Reasons The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology
Education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 13 September 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) Stuart Mackay

Jeff Seneviratne Stephen Wordsworth

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met. Reasons The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.