

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Dip HE Operating Department Practice
Education provider	Bangor University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Joy Tweed Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



RD: None

Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Psychology and Speech Pathology
Education provider	Manchester Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas Joy Tweed

·

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



RD: None

Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Speech and Language Sciences
Education provider	Newcastle University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	MSc Language Pathology
Education provider	Newcastle University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	FdSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Mixed Mode
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	FdSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	FdSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Joy Tweed Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons



RD: None

Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Advanced Certificate Non Medical Prescribing
Education provider	University of Central Lancashire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Masters Level)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (Undergraduate Level)
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas Joy Tweed Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	MA Art Therapy
Education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: Penny Renwick (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	MA Art Therapy
Education provider	University of Hertfordshire
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: Penny Renwick (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



RD: None

Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Radiotherapy
Education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)
Penny Renwick

Jeff Lucas Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



RD: None

Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



RD: None

Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Sciences
Education provider	University of Westminster
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)

Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

visitors report approval