

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (D.Clin.Psy)
Education provider	Bangor University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)
Education provider	Royal Holloway, University of London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Int. Aud.

RD: None

Public

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Foundation Science Degree in Paramedic Science (Gibraltar)
Education provider	St George's, University of London
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (ClinPsyD)
Education provider	University of East Anglia
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)

Jeff Lucas Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Science (London)
Education provider	University of Greenwich
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)

Penny Renwick

Jeff Lucas Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Healthcare Science
Education provider	University of Portsmouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Education provider	University of Portsmouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Education provider	University of Portsmouth
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)

Jeff Lucas Joy Tweed

Penny Renwick

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.



Programmes previously recommended for approval/ongoing approval subject to conditions and which have now been met:

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Nutrition/Dietetics
Education provider	University of Surrey
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 10 May 2012

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jeff Lucas

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.

Reasons

The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.