

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	Canterbury Christ Church University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel:

Stuart Mackay

Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Professional Certificate (Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing for AHPs at level 6)
Education provider	Glyndwr University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman

Stuart Mackay Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Professional Certificate (Practice Certificate in Supplementary Prescribing for AHPs at level 7)
Education provider	Glyndwr University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman Stuart Mackay Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Signed: Panel Chair

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved. Reasons The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel: Helen D

Helen Davis (Chair)

June Copeman

Stuart Mackay

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (HE6)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman

Stuart Mackay Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (HE7)
Education provider	The University of Bolton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel:

Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman Stuart Mackay

Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Education provider	University of Brighton
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel:

Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman Stuart Mackay

Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	DipHE Operating Department Practice
Education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel:

Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman Stuart Mackay

Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Sciences
Education provider	University of Sunderland
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel:

Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman Stuart Mackay

Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Dip HE Operating Department Practice
Education provider	University of Surrey
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel: Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman

Stuart Mackay

Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (PsychD)
Education provider	University of Surrey
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Tuesday 12 June 2012

Panel:

Helen Davis (Chair) June Copeman Stuart Mackay

Robert Smith

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.