

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology	
Education provider	London Metropolitan University	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Thursday 5 July 2012	

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair)

Diane Waller

Mary Clark-Glass

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

- 1. That the Visitors' report should be amended to remove the condition set in relation to SET 5.3
- 2. That subject to the above amendments, the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

- 1. The Panel provided the following reasoning for amending the Visitors' report:
- (i) Regarding the removal of the condition set in relation to SET 5.3; the Panel noted the visitors' comments: that they were satisfied that this SET was met.
- (ii) The panel noted observations from the education provider in relation to

- SET 5.4. The Panel also noted the Visitors' comments regarding the robustness of the systems in place, and were satisfied that this condition should stand with the amendments made.
- 2. The Panel was satisfied that, following the amendments, the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed: , Panel Cha



Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Counselling Psychology	
Education provider	London Metropolitan University	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision	Thursday 5 July 2012	

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair)

Diane Waller

Mary Clark-Glass

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

- 1. That the Visitors' report should be amended to remove the condition set in relation to SET 5.3
- 2. That subject to the above amendments, the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

- 1. The Panel provided the following reasoning for amending the Visitors' report:
- (i) Regarding the removal of the condition set in relation to SET 5.3; the Panel noted the visitors' comments: that they were satisfied that this SET was met.
- (ii) The panel noted observations from the education provider in relation to

- SET 5.4. The Panel also noted the Visitors' comments regarding the robustness of the systems in place, and were satisfied that this condition should stand with the amendments made.
- 2. The Panel was satisfied that, following the amendments, the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:	 , Panel Chair



Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Foundation Degree in Hearing Aid Audiology	
Education provider	Mary Hare	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Thursday 5 July 2012	

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair)

Diane Waller

Mary Clark-Glass

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

- 1. That the Visitors' report should be amended to include the phrase 'The visitors noted that students are already employed as a requirement for admission to the programme, and once they are accepted on the programme this employment then becomes their work placement' at the beginning of the conditions for SETs 5.3, 5.4 and 5.8.
- 2. That subject to the above amendments, the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted

Reasons

1. The Panel provided the following reasoning for amending the reasoning under SETs 5.3, 5.4 and 5.8 of the Visitors' report: The Panel noted the education provider's observations and the visitors' comments regarding the provision of practice placements. The Panel was satisfied that these

conditions should stand with the amendments.

2. The Panel was satisfied that, following the amendments, the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.



Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Higher National Diploma in Hearing Aid Audiology	
Education provider	Mary Hare	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision	Thursday 5 July 2012	

Panel: Eileen Thornton (Chair)

Mary Clark-Glass

Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

- 1. That the Visitors' report should be amended to include the phrase 'The visitors noted that students are already employed as a requirement for admission to the programme, and once they are accepted on the programme this employment then becomes their work placement' at the beginning of the conditions for SETs 5.3, 5.4 and 5.8.
- 2. That subject to the above amendments, the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted

Reasons

1. The Panel provided the following reasoning for amending the reasoning under SETs 5.3, 5.4 and 5.8 of the Visitors' report: The Panel noted the education provider's observations and the visitors' comments regarding the provision of practice placements. The Panel was satisfied that these conditions should stand with the amendments.

2.	The Panel was satisfied that, following the amendments, the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.
Signe	d:, Panel Chair