

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	University College London
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Audiology
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC Register	Hearing aid dispensers
Date of visit	14 – 15 April 2011

Contents

Contents	1
Executive summary	2
Introduction.....	3
Visit details	3
Sources of evidence	4
Recommended outcome	5
Conditions.....	6
Recommendations.....	13

Executive summary

The Health Professions Council (HPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HPC is a health regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HPC currently regulates 15 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'Hearing aid dispenser' must be registered with us. The HPC keep a register of health professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the ongoing approval of the programme. The education provider has until 14 June 2011 to provide observations on this report. This is independent of meeting any conditions. The report and any observations received will be considered by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 7 July 2011. At this meeting, the Committee will accept the visitors' recommended outcome, including the conditions. If necessary, the Committee may decide to vary the conditions.

The education provider is due to redraft and resubmit documentary evidence in response to the conditions outlined in this report by 16 January 2012. The visitors will consider this response and make a separate recommendation to the Committee on the ongoing approval of the programme. It is anticipated that this recommendation will be made to the Committee on 22 February 2012.

Introduction

The HPC visited the programme at the education provider as the Hearing aid dispenser profession came onto the register in April 2010 and a decision was made by the Education and Training Committee to visit all existing programmes from this profession. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The visit also considered the following programmes – MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) (formerly - MSc Audiology (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence)) and Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The professional body and the HPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of all the programmes and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HPC's recommendations on this programme only. A separate report exist for the other programmes. As an independent regulatory body, the HPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HPC's standards. A separate report produced by the professional body, outline their decisions on the programmes' status.

Visit details

Name of HPC visitors and profession	Tim Pringle (Hearing aid dispenser) Richard Sykes (Hearing aid dispenser)
HPC executive officer(s) (in attendance)	Lewis Roberts
Proposed student numbers	20
First approved intake	1 September 2003
Effective date that programme approval reconfirmed from	September 2011
Chair	Rob Lowe (University of Southampton)
Secretary	Robert Heller (University College London)
Members of the joint panel	Amr el-Refaie (British Academy of Audiology) Lynette Blaney Refaie (British Academy of Audiology)

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Descriptions of the modules	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice placement handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
External examiners' reports from the last two years	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

During the visit the HPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme team	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Placements providers and educators/mentors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Students	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Learning resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 42 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining 15 SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for ongoing approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors have also made a number of recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for ongoing approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

The visitors did not make any commendations on the programme. Commendations are observations of innovative best practice by a programme or education provider.

Conditions

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit all programme documentation, including advertising materials, to ensure that the HPC approved programme title is clearly stated as BSc (Hons) Audiology.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted inconsistencies throughout the documentation in the way the education provider referred to the programme award title. The current HPC approved programme title is BSc (Hons) Audiology, however the visitors' noted the education provider frequently referred to the programme as 'BSc Audiology'. The visitors require the education provider to revisit the programme documentation to ensure that the award title is consistently referred to throughout the documentation. The visitors note that referring to the programme as 'BSc Audiology' could be misleading to applicants and students and therefore require all programme documentation, including advertising materials, to be amended to ensure consistency and clarity.

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation to clearly highlight that successful completion of the programme leads to eligibility to apply for registration as a Hearing aid dispenser with the HPC. The education provider must also revisit the programme documentation to ensure that applicants and students are given further information about the option of becoming a Hearing aid dispenser and what it entails. The education provider must finally clearly highlight the role of the HPC as the statutory regulator for Hearing aid dispensers.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find any reference to Hearing aid dispensers and the fact that successful completion of the programme leads to eligibility to apply for registration as a Hearing aid dispenser with the HPC. The visitors were concerned that the role of a Hearing aid dispenser was not clearly highlighted within the programme documentation and that potential applicants as well as students on the programme would be unaware of the options available to them. The visitors also noted little reference to the role of the HPC as the statutory regulator for Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors require the education provider to review the programme documentation in relation to information regarding the option of becoming a Hearing aid dispenser to ensure that applicants have the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme.

2.2 The admissions procedures must apply selection and entry criteria, including evidence of a good command of reading, writing and spoken English.

Condition: The education provider must revisit all programme documentation, including advertising materials for the programme, to clearly and consistently articulate the International English Language Testing System (IELTS) standard or equivalent required for entry on to the programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to determine the IELTS level for entry on to the programme. At the visit the programme team stated that the level was 6.5. The visitors require the IELTS entry level to the programme to be clearly stated in the programme documentation and advertising materials. If students enter the programme with an IELTS score of 6.5 the visitors also require evidence of how the programme team ensures at the point of registration the applicant will attain a score of IELTS 7.0, with no element below 6.5 and therefore ensure that Standard of Proficiency 1b.3 is met.

- 1b.3 – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.

3.1 The programme must have a secure place in the education provider's business plan.

Condition: The education provider must provide details that outline how they will manage the planned discontinuation of the BSc (Hons) Audiology programme in September 2012. The visitors require evidence showing how students who are on the programme after September 2012 will continue to have appropriate support and demonstrate that the programme will continue to have a secure place in the education provider's business plan until the last cohort has graduated.

Reason: From discussions with the programme team the visitors noted that the education provider plans to discontinue the BSc (Hons) Audiology programme in September 2012. The education provider will therefore cease taking on further cohorts from September 2012 and this cohort will be the last to graduate on the programme. The visitors have noted that the rationale for the discontinuation is the creation of a master's level programme in response to the Modernising Scientific Careers agenda. The visitors require evidence showing how students who are on the BSc (Hons) Audiology programme after September 2012 will continue to have appropriate support in light of the creation of a new master's level qualification and also reassurance that the programme will continue to have a secure place in the education provider's business plan until the last cohort has graduated.

3.14 Where students participate as service users in practical and clinical teaching, appropriate protocols must be used to obtain their consent.

Condition: The education provider must ensure that a system is in place for gaining students informed consent before they participate as service users in practical teaching.

Reason: The visitors noted through discussion with the programme team, consent was obtained verbally from students when participating as service users in clinical and practical teaching. There was no formal information regarding consent protocols in place, how records were maintained to indicate consent had been obtained or how situations where students declined from participation were managed. In light of this, the visitors were not satisfied the programme gained informed consent from students or could appropriately manage situations where students declined to participate in the practical and clinical teaching. The visitors therefore require the education provider to implement formal protocols for obtaining consent from students (such as a consent form to be signed prior to commencing the programme) and for managing situations where students decline from participating in practical and clinical teaching (such as alternative learning arrangements).

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standard of proficiency 1b.3, 2b.4 and 2c.2.

- 1b.3 – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.
- 1b.3 - be able to explain the financial implications of suitable hearing aid systems.
- 2b.4 – be able to select and evaluate the most appropriate hearing aid system and performance settings and/or associated technologies for service users.
- 2c.2 – be aware of emerging technologies and new developments in hearing assistance.

Reason: From a review of the programme document and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to determine where in the curriculum the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standard of proficiency 1b.3, 2b.4 and 2c.2. The visitors also noted that the education provider provided a standards of proficiency mapping document for Clinical scientists, and not Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors were therefore not clearly signposted to the standards of proficiency specific to Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors require the education provider to provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standard of proficiency 1b.3, 2b.4 and 2c.2 and therefore that those who successfully complete the programme meet the profession specific standards of proficiency for Hearing aid dispensers.

4.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

Condition: The education provider must review the programme documentation to refer to the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics and provide evidence of how these are taught throughout the programme.

Reason: In the documentation submitted the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics were not referred to. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates that the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics are taught within the programme to ensure that students understand the standards and when they apply. The visitors therefore require further evidence before this standard is met.

5.3 The practice placement settings must provide a safe and supportive environment.

Condition: The education provider must provide further evidence of the audit tool used to approve and monitor placement environments which ensures the provision of a safe and supportive environment.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the procedure in place to check and monitor the quality of a practice placement. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors require further evidence of the auditing process and the guidelines in place to ensure that the education provider can make a judgement on whether placements are of good quality and provide safe and supportive environments.

5.4 The education provider must maintain a thorough and effective system for approving and monitoring all placements.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to effectively monitor placements.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that placements are approved and monitored in a thorough and effective way. Protocols might include evidence of policies and processes for approving placements and examples of how these are put into practice, details of systems for on-going monitoring and assessing placement providers, an explanation of how feedback from students is collected, analysed and acted on, details of how feedback is gained from practice placement educators and co-ordinators and evidence that shows the education provider ensures that there are clear and easy methods for communication between the parties. Evidence might also include details of how feedback is used to inform processes with copies of policies or details of processes provided for dealing with placement providers where difficulties arise.

5.5 The placement providers must have equality and diversity policies in relation to students, together with an indication of how these will be implemented and monitored.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that placement providers have equality and diversity policies in relation to students.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to that placement providers have equality and diversity policies in relation to students.

5.6 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that placement providers have an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that placement providers have an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

5.7 Practice placement educators must have relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that practice placement educators have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that practice placement educators have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

5.8 Practice placement educators must undertake appropriate practice placement educator training.

Condition: The education provider must clearly articulate the mechanism they use to ensure that practice placement educators undertake appropriate practice placement educator training in advance of receiving students and how they decide when practice placement educators require refresher training.

Reason: From the documents submitted and from discussions with the programme team and students the visitors noted that the education provider does offer practice placement educator training to practice placement educators. However, from discussions with the students the visitors noted that not all practice placement educators had received practice placement educator training. The programme team confirmed to the visitors that practice placement educator training was not mandatory. The visitors require further evidence to demonstrate that all practice placement educators undertake appropriate practice placement educator training in advance of receiving students. The visitors require clarification on how the education provider records and monitors the training of new practice placement educators and information on how it is determined if a practice placement educator needs refresher training and how this is articulated to the relevant parties. Therefore the visitors require further evidence to demonstrate that this standard is being met.

6.1 The assessment strategy and design must ensure that the student who successfully completes the programme has met the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the assessment strategy and design ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standard of proficiency 1b.3, 2b.4 and 2c.2.

- 1b.3 – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.
- 1b.3 – be able to explain the financial implications of suitable hearing aid systems.
- 2b.4 – be able to select and evaluate the most appropriate hearing aid system and performance settings and/or associated technologies for service users.
- 2c.2 – be aware of emerging technologies and new developments in hearing assistance.

Reason: From a review of the programme document and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to determine how the assessment strategy and design ensures that those who successfully complete the programme meet standard of proficiency 1b.3, 2b.4 and 2c.2. The visitors also noted that the education provider provided a standards of proficiency mapping document for Clinical scientists, and not Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors were therefore not clearly signposted to the standards of proficiency specific to Hearing aid dispensers and therefore were not able to see how the assessment strategy and design ensured that the relevant profession specific learning outcomes were assessed. The visitors require the education provider to provide evidence that demonstrates that the assessment strategy and design ensures that those who successfully complete the programme meet standard of proficiency 1b.3, 2b.4 and 2c.2 and that those who successfully complete the programme meet the profession specific standards of proficiency for Hearing aid dispensers.

6.5 The measurement of student performance must be objective and ensure fitness to practise.

Condition: The education provider must provide details of the training offered to clinical assessors to ensure that the measurement of student performance is objective and ensures fitness to practice.

Reason: From discussions with the programme team the visitors note that the education provider has trained up a number of senior practice placement educators to represent the education provider as clinical assessors. The visitors note that the clinical assessors are responsible for signing off a number of core proficiencies whilst students are on placement. The visitors require details of the training offered to clinical assessors to ensure that the measurement of student performance is objective and ensures fitness to practice.

6.9 Assessment regulations must clearly specify requirements for an aegrotat award not to provide eligibility for admission to the Register.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation to clearly articulate the policy on aegrotat awards to state that they do not provide eligibility for inclusion onto the Register, and demonstrate how this information is clearly communicated to the students.

Reason: From the documentation provided the visitors found it difficult to determine the assessment regulations for the programme and how these are conveyed to students so that it is clear that aegrotat awards would not enable students to be eligible to apply to the Register. The visitors therefore require further evidence to ensure that this standard is being met.

Recommendations

3.6 Subject areas must be taught by staff with relevant specialist expertise and knowledge.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider revisiting the mechanisms in place to ensure that specialist visiting lecturers have relevant specialist expertise and up to date knowledge and to guarantee the quality of their teaching.

Reason: The visitors noted that specialist visiting lecturers are frequently integrated into the delivery of the programme. The visitors also noted in discussions with the programme team that the role of the module coordinator is a key safeguard in monitoring the quality of specialist visiting lecturers and that specialist visiting lecturers are subject to peer review on a bi-annual cycle. The visitors recommend that the programme team may want to revisit these quality mechanisms to ensure that a specialist visiting lecturer is peer reviewed in the first session they deliver and that any teaching material is signed off to ensure that it is relevant and up to date.

3.7 A programme for staff development must be in place to ensure continuing professional and research development.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider reviewing the mechanisms in place that monitor the continuing professional and research development requirements of its practice placement educators and formulate a more strategic and holistic approach to providing opportunities.

Reason: From discussions with practice placement educators and the programme team the visitors noted that the education provider does offer some continuing professional development opportunities to its practice placement educators. However the visitors also noted discussions with practice placement educators where it was stated that these opportunities were often ad hoc in nature. The practice placement educators also noted that they were not always consulted on their continuing professional development requirements. The visitors recommend that the education provider may want to review the way it consults with its practice placement educators. A review will ensure that practice placement educators continuing professional and research development requirements are clearly communicated to the education provider and allow a more strategic planning approach to be adopted, ensuring as many practice placement educators as possible are able to access development opportunities.

5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Recommendation: The education provider may wish to consider introducing an independent sector placement setting to help ensure students gain access to a wider range of learning experiences.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation and from discussions with the programme team, the visitors are satisfied that the number, duration and

range of practice placements are appropriate and support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes. The visitors wish to encourage the programme team to consider facilitating an independent sector placement for students so they can gain access to a wider range of learning experiences.

5.9 Practice placement educators must be appropriately registered, unless other arrangements are agreed.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider developing a system that ensures that all practice placement educators within private practice placements are appropriately registered with the HPC.

Reason: The visitors note that the education provider does not currently use private practice placements within the programme. The visitors did however note discussions with the programme team where they discussed the possibility of using private practice placements in the future. If this is the case the visitors recommend that the education provider may want to consider setting up protocols that ensure that they check, monitor and record the HPC registration details of practice placement educators within private practice placement.

6.8 Assessment regulations, or other relevant policies, must clearly specify requirements for approved programmes being the only programmes which contain any reference to an HPC protected title or part of the Register in their named award.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider clearly stating that the exit award, BSc (Hons) Hearing Science, does not lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register.

Reason: The visitors are satisfied that this standard has been met and that the exit award does not contain any reference to a HPC protected title. The visitors would however recommend that the education provider may want to consider including a clear statement, whenever reference is made to the exit award, to ensure that students are absolutely clear that this award does not lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register.

Tim Pringle
Richard Sykes

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	University College London
Programme name	MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) - formerly known as MSc Audiology (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC Register	Hearing aid dispensers
Date of visit	14 – 15 April 2011

Contents

Contents	1
Executive summary	2
Introduction.....	3
Visit details	3
Sources of evidence	4
Recommended outcome	5
Conditions.....	6
Recommendations.....	24

Executive summary

The Health Professions Council (HPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HPC is a health regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HPC currently regulates 15 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'Hearing aid dispenser' must be registered with us. The HPC keep a register of health professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the ongoing approval of the programme. The education provider has until 14 June 2011 to provide observations on this report. This is independent of meeting any conditions. The report and any observations received will be considered by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 7 July 2011. At this meeting, the Committee will accept the visitors' recommended outcome, including the conditions. If necessary, the Committee may decide to vary the conditions.

The education provider is due to redraft and resubmit documentary evidence in response to the conditions outlined in this report by 16 January 2012. The visitors will consider this response and make a separate recommendation to the Committee on the ongoing approval of the programme. It is anticipated that this recommendation will be made to the Committee on 22 February 2012.

Introduction

The HPC visited the programme at the education provider as the Hearing aid dispenser profession came onto the register in April 2010 and a decision was made by the Education and Training Committee to visit all existing programmes from this profession. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The visit also considered the following programmes – BSc (Hons) Audiology and Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The professional body and the HPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of all the programmes and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HPC's recommendations on this programme only. A separate report exist for the other programmes. As an independent regulatory body, the HPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HPC's standards. A separate report produced by the professional body, outline their decisions on the programmes' status.

Visit details

Name of HPC visitors and profession	Tim Pringle (Hearing aid dispenser) Richard Sykes (Hearing aid dispenser)
HPC executive officer(s) (in attendance)	Lewis Roberts
Proposed student numbers	14
First approved intake	1 September 2006
Effective date that programme approval reconfirmed from	September 2011
Chair	Rob Lowe University of Southampton
Secretary	Robert Heller (University College London)
Members of the joint panel	Amr el-Refaie (British Academy of Audiology) Lynette Blaney Refaie (British Academy of Audiology)

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Descriptions of the modules	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice placement handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
External examiners' reports from the last two years	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

During the visit the HPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme team	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Placements providers and educators/mentors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Students	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Learning resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for ongoing approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 29 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining 28 SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for ongoing approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors have also made a number of recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for ongoing approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

The visitors did not make any commendations on the programme. Commendations are observations of innovative best practice by a programme or education provider.

Conditions

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation, including advertising materials to clearly highlight that successful completion of the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) leads to eligibility to apply for registration as a Hearing aid dispenser with the HPC. The education provider must also revisit the programme documentation to ensure that applicants and students are given further information about the option of becoming a Hearing aid dispenser and what it entails. The education provider must finally clearly highlight the role of the HPC as the statutory regulator for Hearing aid dispensers.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find any reference to Hearing aid dispensers and the fact that successful completion of the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) leads to eligibility to apply for registration as a Hearing aid dispenser with the HPC. The visitors were concerned that the role of a Hearing aid dispenser was not clearly highlighted within the programme documentation and that potential applicants as well as students on the programme would be unaware of the options available to them. The visitors also noted little reference to the role of the HPC as the statutory regulator for Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors require the education provider to review the programme documentation in relation to information regarding the option of becoming a Hearing aid dispenser to ensure that applicants have the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme.

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation, including advertising materials to ensure that details of the length, structure, location, management and associated costs of the clinical competency certificate is clearly highlighted to applicants to ensure that they can make an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to ascertain details outlining the length, structure, location, management and associated costs of the clinical competency certificate. The visitors expressed concerns that potential applicants to the programme would not be able make an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme because the documentation lacked clear information about the clinical competency certificate. The visitors require the education provider to revisit programme documentation, including advertising materials to ensure that details of the length, structure, location, management and associated costs of the clinical competency certificate is clearly highlighted.

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must clearly state within the programme documentation and advertising materials that the stand alone award of MSc Audiological Science does not confer eligibility to apply to the HPC Register and only successful completion of both the MSc in Audiological Science and the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) will lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register as a Hearing aid dispenser (MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC)).

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team that highlighted that students were able to exit with the award of MSc Audiological Science after twelve months. The visitors expressed concern that applicants to the programme may not be clear about the options available to them. The visitors noted that the documentation does not clearly state that any student wishing to be eligible to apply to the HPC Register as a Hearing aid dispenser must complete the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) in addition to the MSc Audiological Science. The visitors were concerned that this lack of information may lead to applicants and students believing that completion of the MSc Audiological Science confers eligibility to apply to the HPC Register as Hearing aid dispenser. The visitors require the education provider to amend the programme documentation, including advertising materials to clearly state within the programme documentation and advertising materials that the award of MSc Audiological Science does not confer eligibility to apply to the HPC Register and only successful completion of both the MSc in Audiological Science and the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) - (MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC)) will lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register as a Hearing aid dispenser.

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit all programme documentation, including advertising materials, to ensure that the programme title is clearly stated as MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted inconsistencies throughout the documentation in the way the education provider referred to the programme award title. At the time of the visit the HPC approved programme title was MSc Audiology (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence); however within the documentation the visitors' noted the education provider also referred to the programme as 'MSc Audiological Science (with clinical competency certificate)'. The education provider has informed the HPC that the current programme title is MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors

require the education provider to revisit the programme documentation to ensure that the award title is consistently referred to throughout the documentation. The visitors note that referring to the programme with different titles could be misleading to applicants and students and therefore require all programme documentation, including advertising materials, to be amended to ensure consistency and clarity.

2.2 The admissions procedures must apply selection and entry criteria, including evidence of a good command of reading, writing and spoken English.

Condition: The education provider must revisit all programme documentation, including advertising materials for the programme, to clearly and consistently articulate the International English Language Testing System (IELTS) standard or equivalent required for entry on to the programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to determine the IELTS level for entry on to the programme. At the visit the programme team stated that the level was 6.5. The visitors require the IELTS entry level to the programme to be clearly stated in the programme documentation and advertising materials. If students enter the programme with an IELTS score of 6.5 the visitors also require evidence of how the programme team ensures at the point of registration the applicant will attain a score of IELTS 7.0, with no element below 6.5 and therefore ensure that standard of proficiency 1b.3 is met.

- **1b.3** – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.

3.1 The programme must have a secure place in the education provider's business plan.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) has a secure place in the education provider's business plan.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the clinical competency certificate. The visitors were therefore not able to make a judgement on the security of the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme. The visitors require evidence that the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) has a secure place in the education provider's business plan. The visitors require evidence that demonstrates that the education provider is committed to providing enough resources to deliver the programme, evidence that the risks and threats to the programme are currently very limited and evidence that the programme has a future.

3.2 The programme must be effectively managed.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) is effectively managed.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the clinical competency certificate. The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme is effectively managed. The visitors require evidence of the programme management structure, details of the lines of responsibility and the links to the management of practice placement providers, highlighting the roles and responsibilities of everyone involved paying particular reference to the management of the clinical competency certificate.

3.3 The programme must have regular monitoring and evaluation systems in place.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence of regular monitoring evaluation systems for the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted monitoring and evaluation systems in place for the MSc Audiological Science. However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme has regular monitoring and evaluation systems in place. The visitors therefore require evidence of regular monitoring evaluation systems for the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

3.11 There must be adequate and accessible facilities to support the welfare and wellbeing of students in all settings.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that there are adequate and accessible facilities to support the welfare and wellbeing for students undertaking the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted the facilities in place to support the welfare and wellbeing of students undertaking the MSc Audiological Science programme. However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme ensures that students in all settings have access to adequate and accessible facilities that support the welfare and wellbeing of students. The visitors therefore require evidence that there are adequate and accessible facilities to support the welfare and wellbeing for students undertaking the clinical competency certificate in all settings.

3.12 There must be a system of academic and pastoral student support in place.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that there is a system of academic and pastoral support in place for students undertaking the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted a system of academic and pastoral support in place for students undertaking the MSc Audiological Science programme. However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme ensures that students undertaking the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) have access to a system of academic and pastoral support. The visitors therefore require evidence that there is a system of academic and pastoral support in place for students undertaking the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

3.14 Where students participate as service users in practical and clinical teaching, appropriate protocols must be used to obtain their consent.

Condition: The education provider must ensure that a system is in place for gaining students informed consent before they participate as service users in practical teaching.

Reason: The visitors noted through discussion with the programme team, consent was obtained verbally from students when participating as service users in clinical and practical teaching. There was no formal information regarding consent protocols in place, how records were maintained to indicate consent had been obtained or how situations where students declined from participation were managed. In light of this, the visitors were not satisfied the programme gained informed consent from students or could appropriately manage situations where students declined to participate in the practical and clinical teaching. The visitors therefore require the education provider to implement formal protocols for obtaining consent from students (such as a consent form to be signed prior to commencing the programme) and for managing situations where students decline from participating in practical and clinical teaching (such as alternative learning arrangements).

3.15 Throughout the course of the programme, the education provider must have identified where attendance is mandatory and must have associated monitoring mechanisms in place.

Condition: The education provider must identify where attendance is mandatory throughout the course of the programme and evidence associated monitoring mechanisms.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted where attendance is mandatory and evidence of attendance monitoring mechanisms for students undertaking the MSc Audiological Science programme.

However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the clinical competency certificate. The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme has attendance monitoring mechanisms in place and whether the education provider had identified where attendance is mandatory. The visitors therefore require evidence that outlines where attendance is mandatory throughout the course of the programme and evidence of associated monitoring mechanisms for students undertaking the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1, 2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2.

- **1a.6 be able to practise as an autonomous professional, exercising their own professional judgement**
 - be able to assess a situation, determine the nature and severity of the problem and call upon the required knowledge and experience to deal with the problem
 - be able to initiate resolution of problems and be able to exercise personal initiative
 - know the limits of their practice and when to seek advice or refer to another professional
 - recognise that they are personally responsible for and must be able to justify their decisions
- **1a.7 recognise the need for effective self-management of workload and be able to practise accordingly**
- **1a.8 understand the obligation to maintain fitness to practise**
 - understand the need to practise safely and effectively within their scope of practice
 - understand the need to maintain high standards of personal conduct
 - understand the importance of maintaining their own health
 - understand both the need to keep skills and knowledge up to date and the importance of career-long learning
- **1b.1 be able to work, where appropriate, in partnership with other professionals, support staff, service users, and their relatives and carers**
 - understand the need to build and sustain professional relationships as both an independent practitioner and collaboratively as a member of a team
 - understand the need to engage service users and carers in planning and evaluating diagnostics, treatments and interventions to meet their needs and goals
 - be able to make appropriate referrals

- **1b.3 be able to demonstrate effective and appropriate skills in communicating information, advice, instruction and professional opinion to colleagues, service users, their relatives and carer**
 - 1b.3 – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.
 - be able to explain the financial implications of suitable hearing aid systems
 - be able to recognise anxiety and stress in service users, and recognise the potential impact upon communication
 - understand the difficulties in communication experienced by those with impaired hearing and be aware of appropriate steps to overcome communication barriers
 - recognise the need to seek external assistance in situations where communication is ineffective for whatever reason.
- **1b.4 understand the need for effective communication throughout the care of the service user**
 - recognise the need to use appropriate interpersonal and communication skills to facilitate effective care for those with impaired hearing
 - understand the need to empower service users to manage their aural health and related issues
 - recognise the need to provide advice on self treatment where appropriate
- **2a.1 be able to gather appropriate information**
 - be able to undertake and record appropriate case histories
 - understand the need to make and keep full and accurate records of assessment results, hearing aid prescriptions and actual settings, rehabilitation plans and outcomes
- **2a.2 be able to select and use appropriate assessment techniques**
 - be able to undertake and record a thorough, sensitive and detailed assessment, using appropriate techniques and equipment
 - be able to check that equipment is functioning accurately and with specifications and to take appropriate action in the case of faulty functioning and operation
- **2a.3 be able to undertake or arrange investigations as appropriate**
- **2a.4 be able to analyse and critically evaluate information collected**
 - be able to interpret data arising from case history, physical examination and hearing assessments
- **2b.2 be able to draw on appropriate knowledge and skills in order to make professional judgements**
 - be able to change their practice as needed to take account of new developments
 - be able to demonstrate a level of skill in the use of information technology appropriate to their practice
- **2b.3 be able to formulate specific and appropriate management plans including the setting of timescales**
 - understand the requirement to adapt practice to meet the needs of different client groups distinguished by, for example, physical, physiological, environmental, cultural or socio-economic factors

- be able to formulate and provide appropriate advice regarding hearing aids and associated technologies and their use to facilitate informed choices by service users
- **2b.4 be able to conduct appropriate diagnostic or monitoring procedures, treatment, therapy or other actions safely and skilfully**
 - understand the need to maintain the safety of both patients, clients and users and those involved in their care
 - ensure that the environment in which service users are seen is appropriate for the assessment, service, care and attention given
 - be able to safely use appropriate techniques and equipment to assess hearing loss and the physical condition of the ear
 - be able to select and evaluate the most appropriate hearing aid system and performance settings and/or associated technologies for service users
 - be able to plan, implement and manage appropriate rehabilitation programmes for service users to optimise outcomes
 - be able to safely and competently take impressions of the ear
 - be able to safely and competently programme and physically fit hearing aids
 - understand the need to provide service users with access to continuing care, maintenance and support
- **2b.5 be able to maintain records appropriately**
 - be able to keep accurate, legible records and recognise the need to handle these records and all other information in accordance with applicable legislation, protocols and guidelines
 - understand the need to use only accepted terminology in making records
- **2c.1 be able to monitor and review the ongoing effectiveness of planned activity and modify it accordingly**
 - be able to gather information, including qualitative and quantitative data, that helps to evaluate the responses of service users to their care
 - be able to evaluate intervention plans using recognised outcome measures and revise the plans as necessary in conjunction with the service user
 - recognise the need to monitor and evaluate the quality of practice and the value of contributing to the generation of data for quality assurance and improvement programmes
 - be able to make reasoned decisions to initiate, continue, modify or cease treatment or the use of techniques or procedures, and record the decisions and reasoning appropriately
 - be able to recognise those conditions of circumstances that require the involvement of other professionals and give the appropriate advice to service users
- **2c.2 be able to audit, reflect on and review practice**
 - 2c.2 – be aware of emerging technologies and new developments in hearing assistance.

Reason: From a review of the programme document and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to determine where in the curriculum the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1,

2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2. Within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors note that the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) is in place to ensure that students develop clinical and professional competencies. Without information relating to the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) the visitors were not able to determine if the standards of proficiency related to clinical and professional competencies were met.

The visitors also noted that the education provider provided a standards of proficiency mapping document for Clinical scientists, and not Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors were therefore not clearly signposted to the standards of proficiency specific to Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors require the education provider to provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency listed above and therefore that those who successfully complete the programme meet the profession specific standards of proficiency for Hearing aid dispensers.

4.3 Integration of theory and practice must be central to the curriculum.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that integration of theory and practice are central to the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) curriculum.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) curriculum integrates theory and practice. The visitors therefore require evidence in the curriculum that theory and practice are combined within both theoretical and practical parts of the programme.

4.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

Condition: The education provider must review the programme documentation to refer to the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics and provide evidence of how these are taught throughout the programme.

Reason: In the documentation submitted the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics were not referred to. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates that the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics are taught within the programme to ensure that students understand the standards and when they apply. The visitors therefore require further evidence before this standard is met.

4.6 The delivery of the programme must support and develop autonomous and reflective thinking.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that delivery of the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme supports and develops autonomous and reflective thinking.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the delivery of the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme supports and develops autonomous and reflective thinking. The visitors therefore require evidence outlining how the delivery of the programme supports and develops autonomous and reflective thinking.

5.1 Practice placements must be integral to the programme.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that practice placements are integral to the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether practice placements are integral to the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme. The visitors require further evidence that outlines how the structure of the programme shows that practice placements are an important part of the programme. Evidence might include how the education provider supports and encourages practice placement staff to take part in developing programmes, how the practice placement learning outcomes and progression are in line with the rest of the programme; and the reason for the placement structure.

5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the number, duration and range of practice placements is appropriate in supporting the delivery of the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency. The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the number, duration and range of practice placements is appropriate in supporting the delivery of the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes. The visitors require further evidence that outlines the reasons for the number, length and range of placement and demonstrate that they are appropriate to the design of the curriculum.

5.3 The practice placement settings must provide a safe and supportive environment.

Condition: The education provider must provide further evidence of the audit tool used to approve and monitor placement environments which ensures the provision of a safe and supportive environment.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the procedure in place to check and monitor the quality of a practice placement. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors require further evidence of the auditing process and the guidelines in place to ensure that the education provider can make a judgement on whether placements are of good quality and provide safe and supportive environments.

5.4 The education provider must maintain a thorough and effective system for approving and monitoring all placements.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to effectively monitor placements.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that placements are approved and monitored in a thorough and effective way. Protocols might include evidence of policies and processes for approving placements and examples of how these are put into practice, details of systems for on-going monitoring and assessing placement providers, an explanation of how feedback from students is collected, analysed and acted on, details of how feedback is gained from practice placement educators and co-ordinators and evidence that shows the education provider ensures that there are clear and easy methods for communication between the parties. Evidence might also include details of how feedback is used to inform processes with copies of policies or details of processes provided for dealing with placement providers where difficulties arise.

5.5 The placement providers must have equality and diversity policies in relation to students, together with an indication of how these will be implemented and monitored.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that placement providers have equality and diversity policies in relation to students.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to that placement providers have equality and diversity policies in relation to students.

5.6 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that placement providers have an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that placement providers have an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

5.7 Practice placement educators must have relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that practice placement educators have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that practice placement educators have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

5.8 Practice placement educators must undertake appropriate practice placement educator training.

Condition: The education provider must clearly articulate the mechanism they use to ensure that practice placement educators undertake appropriate practice placement educator training in advance of receiving students and how they decide when practice placement educators require refresher training.

Reason: From the documents submitted and from discussions with the programme team and students the visitors noted that the education provider does offer practice placement educator training to practice placement educators. However, from discussions with the students the visitors noted that not all practice placement educators had received practice placement educator training. The programme team confirmed to the visitors that practice placement educator training was not mandatory. The visitors require further evidence to demonstrate that all practice placement educators undertake appropriate practice placement educator training in advance of receiving students. The visitors require clarification on how the education provider records and monitors the training of new practice placement educators and information on how it is determined if a practice placement educator needs refresher training and how this is articulated to the relevant parties. Therefore the visitors require further evidence to demonstrate that this standard is being met.

5.10 There must be regular and effective collaboration between the education provider and the practice placement provider.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that regular and effective collaboration is in place between the education provider and practice placement provider with reference to the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether regular and effective collaboration is in place between the education provider and practice placement provider with reference to the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme. The visitors require further evidence that outlines how the education provider works regularly with practice placement providers and educators, and demonstrate that joint work and communication happens in both directions with specific reference to the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

5.11 Students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators must be fully prepared for placement which will include information about an understanding of:

- **the learning outcomes to be achieved;**
- **the timings and the duration of any placement experience and associated records to be maintained;**
- **expectations of professional conduct;**
- **the assessment procedures including the implications of, and any action to be taken in the case of, failure to progress; and**
- **communication and lines of responsibility.**

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators are fully prepared for placement in terms of the learning outcomes to be achieved, the timings and duration of any placement experience, expectations of professional conduct, the assessment procedures and the communication and lines of responsibility within the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators are fully prepared for placement. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates that students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators are fully prepared for placement in terms of the learning outcomes to be achieved, the timings and duration of any placement experience, expectations of professional conduct, the assessment procedures and the communication and lines of responsibility within the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

5.12 Learning, teaching and supervision must encourage safe and effective practice, independent learning and professional conduct.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning, teaching and supervision encourages safe and effective practice, independent learning and professional conduct.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether learning, teaching and supervision encourages safe and effective practice, independent learning and professional conduct. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates how the placements prepare students for entry to the Hearing aid dispenser profession.

6.1 The assessment strategy and design must ensure that the student who successfully completes the programme has met the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the assessment strategy and design ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1, 2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2.

- **1a.6 be able to practise as an autonomous professional, exercising their own professional judgement**
 - be able to assess a situation, determine the nature and severity of the problem and call upon the required knowledge and experience to deal with the problem
 - be able to initiate resolution of problems and be able to exercise personal initiative
 - know the limits of their practice and when to seek advice or refer to another professional
 - recognise that they are personally responsible for and must be able to justify their decisions
- **1a.7 recognise the need for effective self-management of workload and be able to practise accordingly**
- **1a.8 understand the obligation to maintain fitness to practise**
 - understand the need to practise safely and effectively within their scope of practice
 - understand the need to maintain high standards of personal conduct
 - understand the importance of maintaining their own health
 - understand both the need to keep skills and knowledge up to date and the importance of career-long learning
- understand the need to build and sustain professional relationships as both an independent practitioner and collaboratively as a member of a team

- understand the need to engage service users and carers in planning and evaluating diagnostics, treatments and interventions to meet their needs and goals
 - be able to make appropriate referrals
- **1b.1 be able to work, where appropriate, in partnership with other professionals, support staff, service users, and their relatives and carers**
- **1b.3 be able to demonstrate effective and appropriate skills in communicating information, advice, instruction and professional opinion to colleagues, service users, their relatives and carer**
 - 1b.3 – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.
 - be able to explain the financial implications of suitable hearing aid systems
 - be able to recognise anxiety and stress in service users, and recognise the potential impact upon communication
 - understand the difficulties in communication experienced by those with impaired hearing and be aware of appropriate steps to overcome communication barriers
 - recognise the need to seek external assistance in situations where communication is ineffective for whatever reason.
- **1b.4 understand the need for effective communication throughout the care of the service user**
 - recognise the need to use appropriate interpersonal and communication skills to facilitate effective care for those with impaired hearing
 - understand the need to empower service users to manage their aural health and related issues
 - recognise the need to provide advice on self treatment where appropriate
- **2a.1 be able to gather appropriate information**
 - be able to undertake and record appropriate case histories
 - understand the need to make and keep full and accurate records of assessment results, hearing aid prescriptions and actual settings, rehabilitation plans and outcomes
- **2a.2 be able to select and use appropriate assessment techniques**
 - be able to undertake and record a thorough, sensitive and detailed assessment, using appropriate techniques and equipment
 - be able to check that equipment is functioning accurately and with specifications and to take appropriate action in the case of faulty functioning and operation
- **2a.3 be able to undertake or arrange investigations as appropriate**
- **2a.4 be able to analyse and critically evaluate information collected**
 - be able to interpret data arising from case history, physical examination and hearing assessments
- **2b.2 be able to draw on appropriate knowledge and skills in order to make professional judgements**
 - be able to change their practice as needed to take account of new developments
 - be able to demonstrate a level of skill in the use of information technology appropriate to their practice

- **2b.3 be able to formulate specific and appropriate management plans including the setting of timescales**
 - understand the requirement to adapt practice to meet the needs of different client groups distinguished by, for example, physical, physiological, environmental, cultural or socio-economic factors
 - be able to formulate and provide appropriate advice regarding hearing aids and associated technologies and their use to facilitate informed choices by service users
- **2b.4 be able to conduct appropriate diagnostic or monitoring procedures, treatment, therapy or other actions safely and skilfully**
 - understand the need to maintain the safety of both patients, clients and users and those involved in their care
 - ensure that the environment in which service users are seen is appropriate for the assessment, service, care and attention given
 - be able to safely use appropriate techniques and equipment to assess hearing loss and the physical condition of the ear
 - be able to select and evaluate the most appropriate hearing aid system and performance settings and/or associated technologies for service users
 - be able to plan, implement and manage appropriate rehabilitation programmes for service users to optimise outcomes
 - be able to safely and competently take impressions of the ear
 - be able to safely and competently programme and physically fit hearing aids
 - understand the need to provide service users with access to continuing care, maintenance and support
- **2b.5 be able to maintain records appropriately**
 - be able to keep accurate, legible records and recognise the need to handle these records and all other information in accordance with applicable legislation, protocols and guidelines
 - understand the need to use only accepted terminology in making records
- **2c.1 be able to monitor and review the ongoing effectiveness of planned activity and modify it accordingly**
 - be able to gather information, including qualitative and quantitative data, that helps to evaluate the responses of service users to their care
 - be able to evaluate intervention plans using recognised outcome measures and revise the plans as necessary in conjunction with the service user
 - recognise the need to monitor and evaluate the quality of practice and the value of contributing to the generation of data for quality assurance and improvement programmes
 - be able to make reasoned decisions to initiate, continue, modify or cease treatment or the use of techniques or procedures, and record the decisions and reasoning appropriately
 - be able to recognise those conditions of circumstances that require the involvement of other professionals and give the appropriate advice to service users
- **2c.2 be able to audit, reflect on and review practice**
 - 2c.2 – be aware of emerging technologies and new developments in hearing assistance.

Reason: From a review of the programme document and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to determine how the assessment strategy and design ensures that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1, 2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2. Within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). Without information relating to the clinical competency certificate the visitors were not able to determine if the standards of proficiency related to clinical and professional competencies were assessed.

The visitors also noted that the education provider provided a standards of proficiency mapping document for Clinical scientists, and not Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors were therefore not clearly signposted to the standards of proficiency specific to Hearing aid dispensers and therefore were not able to see how the assessment strategy and design ensured that the relevant profession specific learning outcomes were assessed. The visitors require the education provider to provide evidence that demonstrates that the assessment strategy and design ensures that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency listed above and that those who successfully complete the programme meet the profession specific standards of proficiency for Hearing aid dispensers.

6.3 Professional aspects of practice must be integral to the assessment procedures in both the education setting and practice placement setting.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that professional aspects of practice are integral to the assessment procedures in both the education setting and practice placement setting within the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether professional aspects of practice are integral to the assessment procedures in both the education setting and practice placement setting. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates how the assessment procedures assesses professional issues.

6.4 Assessment methods must be employed that measure the learning outcomes.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that assessment methods are employed that measure the learning outcomes within the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were

therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether assessment methods are employed that measure the learning outcomes within the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates how the assessment methods measure the learning outcomes within the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) element of the programme.

6.5 The measurement of student performance must be objective and ensure fitness to practise.

Condition: The education provider must provide details of the training offered to clinical assessors to ensure that the measurement of student performance is objective and ensures fitness to practice.

Reason: From discussions with the programme team the visitors note that the education provider has trained up a number of senior practice placement educators to represent the education provider as clinical assessors. The visitors note that the clinical assessors are responsible for signing off a number of core proficiencies whilst students are on placement. The visitors require details of the training offered to clinical assessors to ensure that the measurement of student performance is objective and ensures fitness to practice.

6.9 Assessment regulations must clearly specify requirements for an aegrotat award not to provide eligibility for admission to the Register.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation to clearly articulate the policy on aegrotat awards to state that they do not provide eligibility for inclusion onto the Register, and demonstrate how this information is clearly communicated to the students.

Reason: From the documentation provided the visitors found it difficult to determine the assessment regulations for the programme and how these are conveyed to students so that it is clear that aegrotat awards would not enable students to be eligible to apply to the Register. The visitors therefore require further evidence to ensure that this standard is being met.

Recommendations

3.6 Subject areas must be taught by staff with relevant specialist expertise and knowledge.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider revisiting the mechanisms in place to ensure that specialist visiting lecturers have relevant specialist expertise and up to date knowledge and to guarantee the quality of their teaching.

Reason: The visitors noted that specialist visiting lecturers are frequently integrated into the delivery of the programme. The visitors also noted in discussions with the programme team that the role of the module coordinator is a key safeguard in monitoring the quality of specialist visiting lecturers and that specialist visiting lecturers are subject to peer review on a bi-annual cycle. The visitors recommend that the programme team may want to revisit these quality mechanisms to ensure that a specialist visiting lecturer is peer reviewed in the first session they deliver and that any teaching material is signed off to ensure that it is relevant and up to date.

3.7 A programme for staff development must be in place to ensure continuing professional and research development.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider reviewing the mechanisms in place that monitor the continuing professional and research development requirements of its practice placement educators and formulate a more strategic and holistic approach to providing opportunities.

Reason: From discussions with practice placement educators and the programme team the visitors noted that the education provider does offer some continuing professional development opportunities to its practice placement educators. However the visitors also noted discussions with practice placement educators where it was stated that these opportunities were often ad hoc in nature. The practice placement educators also noted that they were not always consulted on their continuing professional development requirements. The visitors recommend that the education provider may want to review the way it consults with its practice placement educators. A review will ensure that practice placement educators continuing professional and research development requirements are clearly communicated to the education provider and allow a more strategic planning approach to be adopted, ensuring as many practice placement educators as possible are able to access development opportunities.

5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Recommendation: The education provider may wish to consider introducing an independent sector placement setting to help ensure students gain access to a wider range of learning experiences.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation and from discussions with the programme team, the visitors are satisfied that the number, duration and

range of practice placements are appropriate and support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes. The visitors wish to encourage the programme team to consider facilitating an independent sector placement for students so they can gain access to a wider range of learning experiences.

5.9 Practice placement educators must be appropriately registered, unless other arrangements are agreed.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider developing a system that ensures that all practice placement educators within private practice placements are appropriately registered with the HPC.

Reason: The visitors note that the education provider does not currently use private practice placements within the programme. The visitors did however note discussions with the programme team where they discussed the possibility of using private practice placements in the future. If this is the case the visitors recommend that the education provider may want to consider setting up protocols that ensure that they check, monitor and record the HPC registration details of practice placement educators within private practice placement.

Tim Pringle
Richard Sykes

Visitors' report

Name of education provider	University College London
Programme name	Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC)
Mode of delivery	Full time
Relevant part of HPC Register	Hearing aid dispensers
Date of visit	14 – 15 April 2011

Contents

Contents	1
Executive summary	2
Introduction.....	3
Visit details	3
Sources of evidence	4
Recommended outcome	5
Conditions.....	6
Recommendations.....	25

Executive summary

The Health Professions Council (HPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HPC is a health regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HPC currently regulates 15 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title 'Hearing aid dispenser' must be registered with us. The HPC keep a register of health professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme. The education provider has until 14 June 2011 to provide observations on this report. This is independent of meeting any conditions. The report and any observations received will be considered by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 7 July 2011. At this meeting, the Committee will accept the visitors' recommended outcome, including the conditions. If necessary, the Committee may decide to vary the conditions.

The education provider is due to redraft and resubmit documentary evidence in response to the conditions outlined in this report by 16 January 2012. The visitors will consider this response and make a separate recommendation to the Committee on the approval of the programme. It is anticipated that this recommendation will be made to the Committee on 22 February 2012.

Introduction

The HPC visited the programme at the education provider as it was a new programme which was seeking HPC approval for the first time. This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was part of a joint event. The professional body considered their accreditation of the programme. The visit also considered the following programmes – MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) (formerly - MSc Audiology (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence)) and BSc (Hons) Audiology. The professional body and the HPC formed a joint panel, with an independent chair and secretary, supplied by the education provider. Whilst the joint panel participated in collaborative scrutiny of all the programmes and dialogue throughout the visit; this report covers the HPC's recommendations on this programme only. A separate report exist for the other programmes. As an independent regulatory body, the HPC's recommended outcome is independent and impartial and based solely on the HPC's standards. A separate report produced by the professional body, outline their decisions on the programmes' status.

Visit details

Name of HPC visitors and profession	Tim Pringle (Hearing aid dispenser) Richard Sykes (Hearing aid dispenser)
HPC executive officer(s) (in attendance)	Lewis Roberts
Proposed student numbers	14 Students enrol onto MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) per cohort. Education provider anticipates that between 0 – 2 exit with the award of Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) per cohort.
Proposed start date of programme approval	1 September 2011
Chair	Rob Lowe (University of Southampton)
Secretary	Robert Heller (University College London)
Members of the joint panel	Amr el-Refaie (British Academy of Audiology) Lynette Blaney Refaie (British Academy of Audiology)

Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Descriptions of the modules	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Practice placement handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Student handbook	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
External examiners' reports from the last two years	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

During the visit the HPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Programme team	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Placements providers and educators/mentors	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Students	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Learning resources	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

The visitors agreed to recommend to the Education and Training Committee that a number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the programme can be approved.

The visitors agreed that 29 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining 28 SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors have also made a number of recommendations for the programme.

Recommendations are observations on the programme or education provider which do not need to be met before the programme is recommended for approval. Recommendations are normally set to encourage further enhancements to the programme and are normally set when it is felt that the particular standard of education and training has been met at, or just above the threshold level.

The visitors did not make any commendations on the programme. Commendations are observations of innovative best practice by a programme or education provider.

Conditions

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation, including advertising materials to clearly highlight that successful completion of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) leads to eligibility to apply for registration as a Hearing aid dispenser with the HPC. The education provider must also revisit the programme documentation to ensure that applicants and students are given further information about the option of becoming a Hearing aid dispenser and what it entails. The education provider must finally clearly highlight the role of the HPC as the statutory regulator for Hearing aid dispensers.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find any reference to Hearing aid dispensers and the fact that successful completion of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) leads to eligibility to apply for registration as a Hearing aid dispenser with the HPC. The visitors were concerned that the role of a Hearing aid dispenser was not clearly highlighted within the programme documentation and that potential applicants as well as students on the programme would be unaware of the options available to them. The visitors also noted little reference to the role of the HPC as the statutory regulator for Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors require the education provider to review the programme documentation in relation to information regarding the option of becoming a Hearing aid dispenser to ensure that applicants have the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme.

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation, including advertising materials to ensure that details of the length, structure, location, management and associated costs of the clinical competency certificate is clearly highlighted to applicants to ensure that they can make an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to ascertain details outlining the length, structure, location, management and associated costs of the clinical competency certificate. The visitors expressed concerns that potential applicants to the programme would not be able make an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme because the documentation lacked clear information about the clinical competency certificate. The visitors require the education provider to revisit programme documentation, including advertising materials to ensure that details of the length, structure, location, management

and associated costs of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) is clearly highlighted.

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must clearly state within the programme documentation and advertising materials that the stand alone award of Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science does not confer eligibility to apply to the HPC Register and only successful completion of both the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science and the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) will lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register as a Hearing aid dispenser Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team that highlighted that students were able to exit with the award of Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science. The visitors expressed concern that applicants to the programme may not be clear about the options available to them. The visitors noted that the documentation does not clearly state that any student wishing to be eligible to apply to the HPC Register as a Hearing aid dispenser must complete the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) in addition to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science. The visitors were concerned that this lack of information may lead to applicants and students believing that completion of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science confers eligibility to apply to the HPC Register as Hearing aid dispenser. The visitors require the education provider to amend the programme documentation, including advertising materials to clearly state within the programme documentation and advertising materials that the award of Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science does not confer eligibility to apply to the HPC Register and only successful completion of both the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science and the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) will lead to eligibility to apply to the HPC Register as a Hearing aid dispenser (Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC)).

2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

Condition: The education provider must revisit all programme documentation, including advertising materials, to ensure that the programme title is consistently stated.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted inconsistencies throughout the documentation in the way the education provider referred to the programme award title. The visitors' noted the education provider referred to the programme as 'PgDip Audiology (with clinical competency certificate)' as well as 'PgDip Audiological Science (with clinical competency certificate)'. The education provider has informed the HPC that the current

programme title is Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors require the education provider to revisit the programme documentation to ensure that the award title is consistently referred to throughout the documentation. The visitors note that referring to the programme with different titles could be misleading to applicants and students and therefore require all programme documentation, including advertising materials, to be amended to ensure consistency and clarity.

2.2 The admissions procedures must apply selection and entry criteria, including evidence of a good command of reading, writing and spoken English.

Condition: The education provider must revisit all programme documentation, including advertising materials for the programme, to clearly and consistently articulate the International English Language Testing System (IELTS) standard or equivalent required for entry on to the programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to determine the IELTS level for entry on to the programme. At the visit the programme team stated that the level was 6.5. The visitors require the IELTS entry level to the programme to be clearly stated in the programme documentation and advertising materials. If students enter the programme with an IELTS score of 6.5 the visitors also require evidence of how the programme team ensures at the point of registration the applicant will attain a score of IELTS 7.0, with no element below 6.5 and therefore ensure that standard of proficiency 1b.3 is met.

- **1b.3** – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.

3.1 The programme must have a secure place in the education provider's business plan.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) has a secure place in the education provider's business plan.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a judgement on the security of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme. The visitors require evidence that the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) has a secure place in the education provider's business plan. The visitors require evidence that demonstrates that the education provider is committed to providing enough resources to deliver the programme, evidence that the risks and threats to the programme are currently very limited and evidence that the programme has a future.

3.2 The programme must be effectively managed.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) is effectively managed.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme is effectively managed. The visitors require evidence of the programme management structure, details of the lines of responsibility and the links to the management of practice placement providers, highlighting the roles and responsibilities of everyone involved paying particular reference to the management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

3.3 The programme must have regular monitoring and evaluation systems in place.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence of regular monitoring evaluation systems for the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted monitoring and evaluation systems in place for the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science programme. However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme has regular monitoring and evaluation systems in place. The visitors therefore require evidence of regular monitoring evaluation systems for the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

3.11 There must be adequate and accessible facilities to support the welfare and wellbeing of students in all settings.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that there are adequate and accessible facilities to support the welfare and wellbeing for students undertaking the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted the facilities in place to support the welfare and wellbeing of students undertaking the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science programme. However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme ensures that students in all settings have access to adequate and accessible facilities that support the welfare and wellbeing of students. The visitors therefore require evidence that

there are adequate and accessible facilities to support the welfare and wellbeing for students undertaking the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) in all settings.

3.12 There must be a system of academic and pastoral student support in place.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that there is a system of academic and pastoral support in place for students undertaking the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted a system of academic and pastoral support in place for students undertaking the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science programme. However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme ensures that students undertaking the clinical competency certificate have access to a system of academic and pastoral support. The visitors therefore require evidence that there is a system of academic and pastoral support in place for students undertaking the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

3.14 Where students participate as service users in practical and clinical teaching, appropriate protocols must be used to obtain their consent.

Condition: The education provider must ensure that a system is in place for gaining students informed consent before they participate as service users in practical teaching.

Reason: The visitors noted through discussion with the programme team, consent was obtained verbally from students when participating as service users in clinical and practical teaching. There was no formal information regarding consent protocols in place, how records were maintained to indicate consent had been obtained or how situations where students declined from participation were managed. In light of this, the visitors were not satisfied the programme gained informed consent from students or could appropriately manage situations where students declined to participate in the practical and clinical teaching. The visitors therefore require the education provider to implement formal protocols for obtaining consent from students (such as a consent form to be signed prior to commencing the programme) and for managing situations where students decline from participating in practical and clinical teaching (such as alternative learning arrangements).

3.15 Throughout the course of the programme, the education provider must have identified where attendance is mandatory and must have associated monitoring mechanisms in place.

Condition: The education provider must identify where attendance is mandatory throughout the course of the programme and evidence associated monitoring mechanisms.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors noted where attendance is mandatory and evidence of attendance monitoring mechanisms for students undertaking the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science programme. However, within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme has attendance monitoring mechanisms in place and whether the education provider had identified where attendance is mandatory. The visitors therefore require evidence that outlines where attendance is mandatory throughout the course of the programme and evidence of associated monitoring mechanisms for students undertaking the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

4.1 The learning outcomes must ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1, 2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2.

- **1a.6 be able to practise as an autonomous professional, exercising their own professional judgement**
 - be able to assess a situation, determine the nature and severity of the problem and call upon the required knowledge and experience to deal with the problem
 - be able to initiate resolution of problems and be able to exercise personal initiative
 - know the limits of their practice and when to seek advice or refer to another professional
 - recognise that they are personally responsible for and must be able to justify their decisions
- **1a.7 recognise the need for effective self-management of workload and be able to practise accordingly**
- **1a.8 understand the obligation to maintain fitness to practise**
 - understand the need to practise safely and effectively within their scope of practice
 - understand the need to maintain high standards of personal conduct
 - understand the importance of maintaining their own health
 - understand both the need to keep skills and knowledge up to date and the importance of career-long learning

- understand the need to build and sustain professional relationships as both an independent practitioner and collaboratively as a member of a team
- understand the need to engage service users and carers in planning and evaluating diagnostics, treatments and interventions to meet their needs and goals
- be able to make appropriate referrals
- **1b.1 be able to work, where appropriate, in partnership with other professionals, support staff, service users, and their relatives and carers**
- **1b.3 be able to demonstrate effective and appropriate skills in communicating information, advice, instruction and professional opinion to colleagues, service users, their relatives and carer**
 - 1b.3 – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.
 - be able to explain the financial implications of suitable hearing aid systems
 - be able to recognise anxiety and stress in service users, and recognise the potential impact upon communication
 - understand the difficulties in communication experienced by those with impaired hearing and be aware of appropriate steps to overcome communication barriers
 - recognise the need to seek external assistance in situations where communication is ineffective for whatever reason.
- **1b.4 understand the need for effective communication throughout the care of the service user**
 - recognise the need to use appropriate interpersonal and communication skills to facilitate effective care for those with impaired hearing
 - understand the need to empower service users to manage their aural health and related issues
 - recognise the need to provide advice on self treatment where appropriate
- **2a.1 be able to gather appropriate information**
 - be able to undertake and record appropriate case histories
 - understand the need to make and keep full and accurate records of assessment results, hearing aid prescriptions and actual settings, rehabilitation plans and outcomes
- **2a.2 be able to select and use appropriate assessment techniques**
 - be able to undertake and record a thorough, sensitive and detailed assessment, using appropriate techniques and equipment
 - be able to check that equipment is functioning accurately and with specifications and to take appropriate action in the case of faulty functioning and operation
- **2a.3 be able to undertake or arrange investigations as appropriate**
- **2a.4 be able to analyse and critically evaluate information collected**
 - be able to interpret data arising from case history, physical examination and hearing assessments
- **2b.2 be able to draw on appropriate knowledge and skills in order to make professional judgements**

- be able to change their practice as needed to take account of new developments
- be able to demonstrate a level of skill in the use of information technology appropriate to their practice
- **2b.3 be able to formulate specific and appropriate management plans including the setting of timescales**
 - understand the requirement to adapt practice to meet the needs of different client groups distinguished by, for example, physical, physiological, environmental, cultural or socio-economic factors
 - be able to formulate and provide appropriate advice regarding hearing aids and associated technologies and their use to facilitate informed choices by service users
- **2b.4 be able to conduct appropriate diagnostic or monitoring procedures, treatment, therapy or other actions safely and skilfully**
 - understand the need to maintain the safety of both patients, clients and users and those involved in their care
 - ensure that the environment in which service users are seen is appropriate for the assessment, service, care and attention given
 - be able to safely use appropriate techniques and equipment to assess hearing loss and the physical condition of the ear
 - be able to select and evaluate the most appropriate hearing aid system and performance settings and/or associated technologies for service users
 - be able to plan, implement and manage appropriate rehabilitation programmes for service users to optimise outcomes
 - be able to safely and competently take impressions of the ear
 - be able to safely and competently programme and physically fit hearing aids
 - understand the need to provide service users with access to continuing care, maintenance and support
- **2b.5 be able to maintain records appropriately**
 - be able to keep accurate, legible records and recognise the need to handle these records and all other information in accordance with applicable legislation, protocols and guidelines
 - understand the need to use only accepted terminology in making records
- **2c.1 be able to monitor and review the ongoing effectiveness of planned activity and modify it accordingly**
 - be able to gather information, including qualitative and quantitative data, that helps to evaluate the responses of service users to their care
 - be able to evaluate intervention plans using recognised outcome measures and revise the plans as necessary in conjunction with the service user
 - recognise the need to monitor and evaluate the quality of practice and the value of contributing to the generation of data for quality assurance and improvement programmes
 - be able to make reasoned decisions to initiate, continue, modify or cease treatment or the use of techniques or procedures, and record the decisions and reasoning appropriately

- be able to recognise those conditions of circumstances that require the involvement of other professionals and give the appropriate advice to service users
- **2c.2 be able to audit, reflect on and review practice**
 - 2c.2 – be aware of emerging technologies and new developments in hearing assistance.

Reason: From a review of the programme document and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to determine where in the curriculum the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1, 2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2. Within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors note that the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) is in place to ensure that students develop clinical and professional competencies. Without information relating to the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) certificate the visitors were not able to determine if the standards of proficiency related to clinical and professional competencies were met.

The visitors also noted that the education provider provided a standards of proficiency mapping document for Clinical scientists, and not Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors were therefore not clearly signposted to the standards of proficiency specific to Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors require the education provider to provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning outcomes ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency listed above and therefore that those who successfully complete the programme meet the profession specific standards of proficiency for Hearing aid dispensers.

4.3 Integration of theory and practice must be central to the curriculum.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that integration of theory and practice are central to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) curriculum.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) curriculum integrates theory and practice. The visitors therefore require evidence in the curriculum that theory and practice are combined within both theoretical and practical parts of the programme.

4.5 The curriculum must make sure that students understand the implications of the HPC's standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

Condition: The education provider must review the programme documentation to refer to the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics and provide evidence of how these are taught throughout the programme.

Reason: In the documentation submitted the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics were not referred to. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates that the HPC Standards of conduct, performance and ethics are taught within the programme to ensure that students understand the standards and when they apply. The visitors therefore require further evidence before this standard is met.

4.6 The delivery of the programme must support and develop autonomous and reflective thinking.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that delivery of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme supports and develops autonomous and reflective thinking.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the delivery of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme supports and develops autonomous and reflective thinking. The visitors therefore require evidence outlining how the delivery of the programme supports and develops autonomous and reflective thinking.

5.1 Practice placements must be integral to the programme.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that practice placements are integral to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether practice placements are integral to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme. The visitors require further evidence that outlines how the structure of the programme shows that practice placements are an important part of the programme. Evidence might include how the education provider supports and encourages practice placement staff to take part in developing programmes, how the practice placement learning outcomes and progression are in line with the rest of the programme; and the reason for the placement structure.

5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the number, duration and range of practice placements is appropriate in supporting the delivery of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether the number, duration and range of practice placements is appropriate in supporting the delivery of the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes. The visitors require further evidence that outlines the reasons for the number, length and range of placement and demonstrate that they are appropriate to the design of the curriculum.

5.3 The practice placement settings must provide a safe and supportive environment.

Condition: The education provider must provide further evidence of the audit tool used to approve and monitor placement environments which ensures the provision of a safe and supportive environment.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the procedure in place to check and monitor the quality of a practice placement. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors require further evidence of the auditing process and the guidelines in place to ensure that the education provider can make a judgement on whether placements are of good quality and provide safe and supportive environments.

5.4 The education provider must maintain a thorough and effective system for approving and monitoring all placements.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to effectively monitor placements.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that placements are approved and monitored in a thorough and effective way. Protocols might include evidence of policies and processes for approving placements and examples of how these are put into practice, details of systems for on-going monitoring and assessing placement providers, an explanation of how feedback from students is collected, analysed and acted on, details of how feedback is gained from practice placement educators and coordinators and evidence that shows the education provider ensures that there are clear and easy methods for communication between the parties. Evidence might also include details of how feedback is used to inform processes with copies of

policies or details of processes provided for dealing with placement providers where difficulties arise.

5.5 The placement providers must have equality and diversity policies in relation to students, together with an indication of how these will be implemented and monitored.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that placement providers have equality and diversity policies in relation to students.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to that placement providers have equality and diversity policies in relation to students.

5.6 There must be an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that placement providers have an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that placement providers have an adequate number of appropriately qualified and experienced staff at the practice placement setting.

5.7 Practice placement educators must have relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence outlining the systems used to ensure that practice placement educators have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

Reason: The visitors noted discussions with the programme team outlining the audit procedure in place for approving and monitoring placements. The visitors were not however provided with documentary evidence to support these discussions. The visitors therefore require clear written protocols that outline the systems in place to ensure that practice placement educators have the relevant knowledge, skills and experience.

5.8 Practice placement educators must undertake appropriate practice placement educator training.

Condition: The education provider must clearly articulate the mechanism they use to ensure that practice placement educators undertake appropriate practice

placement educator training in advance of receiving students and how they decide when practice placement educators require refresher training.

Reason: From the documents submitted and from discussions with the programme team and students the visitors noted that the education provider does offer practice placement educator training to practice placement educators. However, from discussions with the students the visitors noted that not all practice placement educators had received practice placement educator training. The programme team confirmed to the visitors that practice placement educator training was not mandatory. The visitors require further evidence to demonstrate that all practice placement educators undertake appropriate practice placement educator training in advance of receiving students. The visitors require clarification on how the education provider records and monitors the training of new practice placement educators and information on how it is determined if a practice placement educator needs refresher training and how this is articulated to the relevant parties. Therefore the visitors require further evidence to demonstrate that this standard is being met.

5.10 There must be regular and effective collaboration between the education provider and the practice placement provider.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that regular and effective collaboration is in place between the education provider and practice placement provider with reference to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether regular and effective collaboration is in place between the education provider and practice placement provider with reference to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme. The visitors require further evidence that outlines how the education provider works regularly with practice placement providers and educators, and demonstrate that joint work and communication happens in both directions with specific reference to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

5.11 Students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators must be fully prepared for placement which will include information about an understanding of:

- **the learning outcomes to be achieved;**
- **the timings and the duration of any placement experience and associated records to be maintained;**
- **expectations of professional conduct;**
- **the assessment procedures including the implications of, and any action to be taken in the case of, failure to progress; and**
- **communication and lines of responsibility.**

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators are

fully prepared for placement in terms of the learning outcomes to be achieved, the timings and duration of any placement experience, expectations of professional conduct, the assessment procedures and the communication and lines of responsibility within the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators are fully prepared for placement. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates that students, practice placement providers and practice placement educators are fully prepared for placement in terms of the learning outcomes to be achieved, the timings and duration of any placement experience, expectations of professional conduct, the assessment procedures and the communication and lines of responsibility within the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

5.12 Learning, teaching and supervision must encourage safe and effective practice, independent learning and professional conduct.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the learning, teaching and supervision encourages safe and effective practice, independent learning and professional conduct.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the clinical competency certificate. The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether learning, teaching and supervision encourages safe and effective practice, independent learning and professional conduct. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates how the placements prepare students for entry to the Hearing aid dispenser profession.

6.1 The assessment strategy and design must ensure that the student who successfully completes the programme has met the standards of proficiency for their part of the Register.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that the assessment strategy and design ensure that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1, 2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2.

- **1a.6 be able to practise as an autonomous professional, exercising their own professional judgement**
 - be able to assess a situation, determine the nature and severity of the problem and call upon the required knowledge and experience to deal with the problem
 - be able to initiate resolution of problems and be able to exercise personal initiative

- know the limits of their practice and when to seek advice or refer to another professional
- recognise that they are personally responsible for and must be able to justify their decisions
- **1a.7 recognise the need for effective self-management of workload and be able to practise accordingly**
- **1a.8 understand the obligation to maintain fitness to practise**
 - understand the need to practise safely and effectively within their scope of practice
 - understand the need to maintain high standards of personal conduct
 - understand the importance of maintaining their own health
 - understand both the need to keep skills and knowledge up to date and the importance of career-long learning
- understand the need to build and sustain professional relationships as both an independent practitioner and collaboratively as a member of a team
- understand the need to engage service users and carers in planning and evaluating diagnostics, treatments and interventions to meet their needs and goals
- be able to make appropriate referrals
- **1b.1 be able to work, where appropriate, in partnership with other professionals, support staff, service users, and their relatives and carers**
- **1b.3 be able to demonstrate effective and appropriate skills in communicating information, advice, instruction and professional opinion to colleagues, service users, their relatives and carer**
 - 1b.3 – be able to communicate in English to the standard equivalent to level 7 of the international English Language Testing System, with no element below 6.5.
 - be able to explain the financial implications of suitable hearing aid systems
 - be able to recognise anxiety and stress in service users, and recognise the potential impact upon communication
 - understand the difficulties in communication experienced by those with impaired hearing and be aware of appropriate steps to overcome communication barriers
 - recognise the need to seek external assistance in situations where communication is ineffective for whatever reason.
- **1b.4 understand the need for effective communication throughout the care of the service user**
 - recognise the need to use appropriate interpersonal and communication skills to facilitate effective care for those with impaired hearing
 - understand the need to empower service users to manage their aural health and related issues
 - recognise the need to provide advice on self treatment where appropriate
- **2a.1 be able to gather appropriate information**
 - be able to undertake and record appropriate case histories

- understand the need to make and keep full and accurate records of assessment results, hearing aid prescriptions and actual settings, rehabilitation plans and outcomes
- **2a.2 be able to select and use appropriate assessment techniques**
 - be able to undertake and record a thorough, sensitive and detailed assessment, using appropriate techniques and equipment
 - be able to check that equipment is functioning accurately and with specifications and to take appropriate action in the case of faulty functioning and operation
- **2a.3 be able to undertake or arrange investigations as appropriate**
- **2a.4 be able to analyse and critically evaluate information collected**
 - be able to interpret data arising from case history, physical examination and hearing assessments
- **2b.2 be able to draw on appropriate knowledge and skills in order to make professional judgements**
 - be able to change their practice as needed to take account of new developments
 - be able to demonstrate a level of skill in the use of information technology appropriate to their practice
- **2b.3 be able to formulate specific and appropriate management plans including the setting of timescales**
 - understand the requirement to adapt practice to meet the needs of different client groups distinguished by, for example, physical, physiological, environmental, cultural or socio-economic factors
 - be able to formulate and provide appropriate advice regarding hearing aids and associated technologies and their use to facilitate informed choices by service users
- **2b.4 be able to conduct appropriate diagnostic or monitoring procedures, treatment, therapy or other actions safely and skilfully**
 - understand the need to maintain the safety of both patients, clients and users and those involved in their care
 - ensure that the environment in which service users are seen is appropriate for the assessment, service, care and attention given
 - be able to safely use appropriate techniques and equipment to assess hearing loss and the physical condition of the ear
 - be able to select and evaluate the most appropriate hearing aid system and performance settings and/or associated technologies for service users
 - be able to plan, implement and manage appropriate rehabilitation programmes for service users to optimise outcomes
 - be able to safely and competently take impressions of the ear
 - be able to safely and competently programme and physically fit hearing aids
 - understand the need to provide service users with access to continuing care, maintenance and support
- **2b.5 be able to maintain records appropriately**
 - be able to keep accurate, legible records and recognise the need to handle these records and all other information in accordance with applicable legislation, protocols and guidelines
 - understand the need to use only accepted terminology in making records

- **2c.1 be able to monitor and review the ongoing effectiveness of planned activity and modify it accordingly**
 - be able to gather information, including qualitative and quantitative data, that helps to evaluate the responses of service users to their care
 - be able to evaluate intervention plans using recognised outcome measures and revise the plans as necessary in conjunction with the service user
 - recognise the need to monitor and evaluate the quality of practice and the value of contributing to the generation of data for quality assurance and improvement programmes
 - be able to make reasoned decisions to initiate, continue, modify or cease treatment or the use of techniques or procedures, and record the decisions and reasoning appropriately
 - be able to recognise those conditions of circumstances that require the involvement of other professionals and give the appropriate advice to service users
- **2c.2 be able to audit, reflect on and review practice**
 - 2c.2 – be aware of emerging technologies and new developments in hearing assistance.

Reason: From a review of the programme document and discussions with the programme team the visitors were unable to determine how the assessment strategy and design ensures that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency 1a.6, 1a.7, 1a.8, 1b.1, 1b.3, 1b.4, 2a.1, 2a.2, 2a.3, 2a.4, 2b.2, 2b.3, 2b.4, 2b.5, 2c.1 and 2c.2. Within the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC). Without information relating to the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) the visitors were not able to determine if the standards of proficiency related to clinical and professional competencies were assessed.

The visitors also noted that the education provider provided a standards of proficiency mapping document for Clinical scientists, and not Hearing aid dispensers. The visitors were therefore not clearly signposted to the standards of proficiency specific to Hearing aid dispensers and therefore were not able to see how the assessment strategy and design ensured that the relevant profession specific learning outcomes were assessed. The visitors require the education provider to provide evidence that demonstrates that the assessment strategy and design ensures that those who successfully complete the programme meet standards of proficiency listed above and that those who successfully complete the programme meet the profession specific standards of proficiency for Hearing aid dispensers.

6.3 Professional aspects of practice must be integral to the assessment procedures in both the education setting and practice placement setting.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that professional aspects of practice are integral to the assessment procedures in both the education setting and practice placement setting within the

Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the clinical competency certificate. The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether professional aspects of practice are integral to the assessment procedures in both the education setting and practice placement setting. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates how the assessment procedures assesses professional issues.

6.4 Assessment methods must be employed that measure the learning outcomes.

Condition: The education provider must provide evidence that demonstrates that assessment methods are employed that measure the learning outcomes within the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation the visitors were unable to find sufficient information about the length, structure, location and management of the Certificate in Clinical Competency. The visitors were therefore not able to make a clear judgement on whether assessment methods are employed that measure the learning outcomes within the Certificate in Clinical Competency. The visitors require further evidence that demonstrates how the assessment methods measure the learning outcomes within the Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) element of the programme.

6.5 The measurement of student performance must be objective and ensure fitness to practise.

Condition: The education provider must provide details of the training offered to clinical assessors to ensure that the measurement of student performance is objective and ensures fitness to practice.

Reason: From discussions with the programme team the visitors note that the education provider has trained up a number of senior practice placement educators to represent the education provider as clinical assessors. The visitors note that the clinical assessors are responsible for signing off a number of core proficiencies whilst students are on placement. The visitors require details of the training offered to clinical assessors to ensure that the measurement of student performance is objective and ensures fitness to practice.

6.9 Assessment regulations must clearly specify requirements for an aegrotat award not to provide eligibility for admission to the Register.

Condition: The education provider must revisit the programme documentation to clearly articulate the policy on aegrotat awards to state that they do not provide eligibility for inclusion onto the Register, and demonstrate how this information is clearly communicated to the students.

Reason: From the documentation provided the visitors found it difficult to determine the assessment regulations for the programme and how these are conveyed to students so that it is clear that aegrotat awards would not enable students to be eligible to apply to the Register. The visitors therefore require further evidence to ensure that this standard is being met.

Recommendations

3.6 Subject areas must be taught by staff with relevant specialist expertise and knowledge.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider revisiting the mechanisms in place to ensure that specialist visiting lecturers have relevant specialist expertise and up to date knowledge and to guarantee the quality of their teaching.

Reason: The visitors noted that specialist visiting lecturers are frequently integrated into the delivery of the programme. The visitors also noted in discussions with the programme team that the role of the module coordinator is a key safeguard in monitoring the quality of specialist visiting lecturers and that specialist visiting lecturers are subject to peer review on a bi-annual cycle. The visitors recommend that the programme team may want to revisit these quality mechanisms to ensure that a specialist visiting lecturer is peer reviewed in the first session they deliver and that any teaching material is signed off to ensure that it is relevant and up to date.

3.7 A programme for staff development must be in place to ensure continuing professional and research development.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider reviewing the mechanisms in place that monitor the continuing professional and research development requirements of its practice placement educators and formulate a more strategic and holistic approach to providing opportunities.

Reason: From discussions with practice placement educators and the programme team the visitors noted that the education provider does offer some continuing professional development opportunities to its practice placement educators. However the visitors also noted discussions with practice placement educators where it was stated that these opportunities were often ad hoc in nature. The practice placement educators also noted that they were not always consulted on their continuing professional development requirements. The visitors recommend that the education provider may want to review the way it consults with its practice placement educators. A review will ensure that practice placement educators continuing professional and research development requirements are clearly communicated to the education provider and allow a more strategic planning approach to be adopted, ensuring as many practice placement educators as possible are able to access development opportunities.

5.2 The number, duration and range of practice placements must be appropriate to support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes.

Recommendation: The education provider may wish to consider introducing an independent sector placement setting to help ensure students gain access to a wider range of learning experiences.

Reason: From a review of the programme documentation and from discussions with the programme team, the visitors are satisfied that the number, duration and

range of practice placements are appropriate and support the delivery of the programme and the achievement of the learning outcomes. The visitors wish to encourage the programme team to consider facilitating an independent sector placement for students so they can gain access to a wider range of learning experiences.

5.9 Practice placement educators must be appropriately registered, unless other arrangements are agreed.

Recommendation: The education provider should consider developing a system that ensures that all practice placement educators within private practice placements are appropriately registered with the HPC.

Reason: The visitors note that the education provider does not currently use private practice placements within the programme. The visitors did however note discussions with the programme team where they discussed the possibility of using private practice placements in the future. If this is the case the visitors recommend that the education provider may want to consider setting up protocols that ensure that they check, monitor and record the HPC registration details of practice placement educators within private practice placement.

Tim Pringle
Richard Sykes



[To:](#)
Cc:
Bcc:
Subject:
From: Brendon Edmonds/hpc - Monday 27/06/2011 12:15

From: "Heller, Robert" <robert.heller@ucl.ac.uk>
To: "Lewis.Roberts@hpc-uk.org" <Lewis.Roberts@hpc-uk.org>
Cc: "Mcalpine, David" <d.mcalpine@ucl.ac.uk>, "Osama.Ammar@hpc-uk.org" <Osama.Ammar@hpc-uk.org>
Date: 24/06/2011 16:15
Subject: RE: HPC approval - UCL Ear Institute (additional information)

Dear Lewis,

Thank you for your email. I am sending the following response on behalf of the UCL Ear Institute, having consulted with the relevant members of academic staff.

We wish to make the following formal observations to notify the ETC of extenuating circumstances in relation to the reports on the programmes leading to the awards of:

BSc (Hons) Audiology
MSc Audiological Science with CCC
PG Diploma Audiological Science with CCC

- 1) The primary focus of all the programmes being considered is to provide training in Audiology to meet the needs of the NHS, specifically those that have been identified by NHS London. (Please note that the BSc Audiology is fully funded by NHS London). Within this, the appropriate education and training of students in Hearing Aid Dispensing is recognised to be a critical secondary outcome.
- 2) At the present time the entire structure of education and training in Audiology is in a state of flux. This is due to the rapid and ambitious implementation of Modernising Scientific Careers by the Department of Health. This is having a significant on-going impact on the programmes being considered (for instance, at present the last intake to the BSc Audiology programme in its current form will be in September 2011). As a consequence, all of the programmes being considered will need to be reviewed to ensure that they meet the identified needs of Audiology in London and the UK whilst at the same time fitting within the new, as yet not fully confirmed, education and training frameworks.
- 3) Having carefully considered the reports prepared by the HPC, the UCL Ear Institute has confirmed that we will seek to meet the majority of the conditions by the end of September 2011, this being the start of the new academic year and the time that many course documents, which are printed annually, are revised. We confirm that all conditions relating to the syllabus taught to students will be in place by September 2011, the start of the academic year. Further details of these can be found in the document forwarded to you on Friday 17th June.
- 4) However, the UCL Ear Institute believes that certain issues raised within the HPC reports, specifically in relation to Clinical Placements both in the CCC and the BSc, will most benefit from a more comprehensive review. These

aspects of the programmes directly involve a large number of additional stakeholders including NHS London and a significant number of other NHS bodies all of which are, at the present time, themselves undergoing radical changes (which are independent of those taking place within education and training in Audiology). Aspects of this review may also be informed by the impact of Modernising Scientific Careers. The UCL Ear Institute is committed to working closely with all stakeholders in this review. Such changes must also comply with procedures and timelines set by UCL. Consequently, we do not feel that it is realistic to both undertake such a review and implement the subsequent changes with the due diligence such processes will require before the given deadline. Furthermore, we believe that a too rapid rate of change could be to the detriment of the programmes and, consequently, to Audiology services within London.

5) The UCL Ear Institute notes that some of the conditions outlined in the reports do not, within the context of the programmes seem to represent a necessary and efficient use of limited resources. To take one example, the HEI is required to confirm that all placement providers have a diversity policy in place. All the Clinical Placement providers used within the programmes are within the NHS and would therefore have such policies out of legal necessity. Within this context, we believe that there is an argument that requiring this to be reconfirmed could represent an inappropriate and excessively bureaucratic use of public resources.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information.

Best regards,

Robert

=====
Robert Heller
Senior Teaching Administrator
UCL Ear Institute
330-332 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8EE

Tel.: +44 (0)20 7679 8966 (ext. 28966)
Fax.: +44 (0)20 7837 9279

-----Original Message-----

From: Lewis.Roberts@hpc-uk.org [mailto:Lewis.Roberts@hpc-uk.org]
Sent: 22 June 2011 13:09
To: Heller, Robert
Cc: Mcalpine, David; Osama.Ammar@hpc-uk.org
Subject: Re: HPC approval - UCL Ear Institute (additional information)

Dear Robert

Thank you for your compliance in providing the information that was requested. I am now able to attach the reports that will go to Education and Training Panel (ETP) on 7 July 2011. The reports have been updated in line with the information you have provided and it is these reports that you should use as your most up to date point of reference.

I must however stress that the date you have provided to meet conditions is well outside of our normal operational parameters. Given the nature of the range of conditions set, including compliance with Standard's of Proficiency in both delivery and assessment, Panel may decide that 16 January 2012 to

meet conditions is not appropriate. Such a long deadline is rarely put in place unless extreme mitigating circumstances are presented. The Panel may also consider a range of options including potentially suspension of further intakes until the conditions around the standards of proficiency are met.

With reference to the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC), as you are aware the HPC have no records of this programme from the transfer from the HAC and previously discussed, we consider this to be a new programme within our processes. As this programme is seeking approval for the first time, Panel will reject 16 January 2012 as the deadline is outside of our process, as all standards must be met before approval can be granted.

Without observations (formal extenuating circumstances) Panel will only be able to make a decision on the information they have been presented with. The Panel may consider a deadline of January 2012 to hold too much risk in terms of ensuring individuals who complete programmes are safe and effective to practise in line with the HPC's role to ensuring public protection.

I have copied in my colleague Osama Ammar, who is the Head of Education Development at HPC as I am away from the office on a programme visit until Tuesday 28 June. Osama will be happy to speak to you in my absence and is available on the email address above or 0207 840 9764. I must stress the importance of engaging with the HPC standards and processes, as Panel may bring in to question your ability to meet our standards and this may impact on the reputation of your organisation.

I look forward to any further correspondence and/or observations

Kindest regards

Lewis

Lewis Roberts
Education Officer
Health Professions Council
Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London, SE11 4BU www.hpc-uk.org

tel +44 (0)20 7840 9713
fax +44 (0)20 7820 9684
mob 07798631457
email lewis.roberts@hpc-uk.org

Follow us on Facebook, LinkedIn and Twitter To sign up to the HPC e-newsletter, please email newsletter@hpc-uk.org

Please consider the environment before printing this email

(See attached file: 20110622cEDURPTVisitors' report - UCL - PgDip HAD FT.docx) (See attached file: 20110622gEDURPTVisitors' report - UCL - BSc (Hons) HAD FT.docx) (See attached file: 20110622iEDURPTVisitors' report - UCL - MSc HAD FT.docx)

From: "Heller, Robert" <robert.heller@ucl.ac.uk>
To: "Lewis.Roberts@hpc-uk.org" <Lewis.Roberts@hpc-uk.org>
Cc: "Mcalpine, David" <d.mcalpine@ucl.ac.uk>
Date: 21/06/2011 18:21
Subject: HPC approval - UCL Ear Institute (additional information)

Dear Mr Roberts,

Further to my previous email (sent at 17.32 today) and following on from our telephone conversation I can confirm that:

The UCL Ear Institute agrees to respond to conditions detailed in the reports for the following programmes by providing documentation/evidence confirming the actions taken to meet the conditions by 16th January 2012.

The reason for stipulating this date is that it may be most appropriate to meet some of the conditions by implementing changes that other aspects of the programmes that are not covered by the reports / HPC approval for HADs or which may involve other stakeholder organisations. Therefore, at the present time, we do not have all the required information to that would be required to confirm a robust earlier deadline. If, for any reason, we become aware of information that makes it clear that this deadline is unrealistic in respect of any specific conditions, we will immediately contact you to formally discuss the most appropriate course of action.

Please note, however, that the UCL Ear Institute fully intends to and expects that a majority of conditions detailed in the reports, where there have been no formal observations, will be met prior to this date.

The programmes to which this refers those leading to the awards of:

BSc (Hons) Audiology
MSc Audiological Science with Certificate of Clinical Competency (CCC)
Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate of Clinical Competency (CCC)

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you require any additional information.

Best regards,

Robert

=====
Robert Heller
Senior Teaching Administrator
UCL Ear Institute
330-332 Gray's Inn Road
London WC1X 8EE

Tel.: +44 (0)20 7679 8966 (ext. 28966)
Fax.: +44 (0)20 7837 9279

by MessageLabs.

HPC E-mail Disclaimer:

The material transmitted in this email is intended only for the person or legal entity to whom it is addressed and may contain confidential and privileged information. It may not be used or disclosed except for the purpose for which it has been sent. If you are not the addressee or have received this email in error please notify the sender and do not read, print, re-transmit, store or act in reliance on the material in this email.

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star Internet. The service is powered by MessageLabs.

This e-mail has been scanned for all viruses by Star. The service is powered by MessageLabs.
