

Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (SCQF Level 9)
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 7 July 2011

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Jeff Lucas Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: Panel Chair



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (SCQF Level 10)
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 7 July 2011

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Jeff Lucas Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed: ...

2008-03-12

Date

Ver.

Dept/Cmte ETC Doc Type RPT 13

Record of decision for Education Panel visitors report approval

Status Draft OD: None Int. Aud. Public RD: None



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	Non-Medical Prescribing (SCQF Level 11)
Education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 7 July 2011

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass

Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	University Certificate of Postgraduate Professional Development: Non medical Prescribing
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 7 July 2011

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass

Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

2008-03-12

Date

Ver.

Dept/Crnte ETC

Оос Туре SPT

Record of decision for Education Panel visitors report approval.

Status Oraft DD. None Int. Aud. Public RD None



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	University Certificate of Professional Development Non-Medical Prescribing
Education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 7 July 2011

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass Jeff Lucas Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Panel Chai



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	University of Cumbria
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 7 July 2011

Panel:

John Donaghy (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass

Jeff Lucas Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:

Panel Chai



Programmes which have been subject to major change and for which continuing approval is recommended

Programme name	FD in Pre Hospital Unscheduled and Emergency Care
Education provider	University of Worcester
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 7 July 2011

Panel:

Jeff Lucas (Chair) Mary Clark-Glass

Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.

Signed:

.... Panel Chair

Date 2008-03-12 Ver.

Dept/Cmte ETC Doc Type RPT Title

Record of decision for Education Panel visitors report approval

Status Draft UD. None Int. Aud. Public RD: None