

# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	Bristol, University of	
Programme name	Doctorate of Educational Psychology	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decisionThursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratine

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been
met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

#### Signed:



# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	British Psychological Society	
Programme name	Qualification in Counselling Psychology	
Mode of delivery	Flexible	
Date of decision Thursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratine

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

#### Signed:



# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University	
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy	
Mode of delivery	le of delivery Full time	
Date of decisionThursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratine

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:



# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	London Metropolitan University	
Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology	
Mode of delivery	elivery Part Time	
Date of decision Thursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratne

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

#### , Panel Chair

**Date Ver** 2008-03-12 a



# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	London Metropolitan University	
Programme name	Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decision Thursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratne

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

#### Signed:

# health professions council

# **Education and Training Committee Panel**

# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	St George's, University of London	
Programme name	Foundation Science Degree in Paramedic Science	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision Thursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratine

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

# Signed:

# health professions council

# **Education and Training Committee Panel**

# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	St George's, University of London	
Programme name	Foundation Science Degree in Paramedic Science	
Mode of delivery	Full Time	
Date of decisionThursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratine

### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

# Signed:



# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	Wales Institute Cardiff, University of	
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science	
Mode of delivery	Part Time	
Date of decision Thursday 16 September 2010		

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratne

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

#### Signed:



# Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Education provider	Wales Institute Cardiff, University of	
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science	
Mode of delivery	Mode of delivery Full Time	
Date of decision	Thursday 16 September 2010	

Panel:	Helen Davis (Chair)	Stuart Mackay
	Jeff Lucas	Jeff Seneviratine

#### **Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision	
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.	
Reasons	
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.	

#### Signed: