

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Education provider	British Psychological Society
Programme name	Qualification in Counselling Psychology
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
<p>That the Visitors' report should be amended:</p> <p>(i) to replace the first condition against SET 2.1 with a recommendation; and</p> <p>(ii) to remove the reference to academic quality in the condition against SET 3.7.</p> <p>The Education Department should contact the Education Provider to provide clarification on:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The differences between SETs 2.1 and 2.6; • The evidence required to meet SET 3.2; • The evidence required to meet SETs 3.2, 3.3, 3.7, 3.12 and 5.4

- The terms “placement” and “placement practitioner”.

The remainder of the visitors’ report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the condition against SET 2.1 went beyond the requirement of the standard. The reference to Academic Quality removed as the position did not exist.

The Panel is satisfied that the remainder of conditions in the Visitors’ report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed and that the Executive should contact the Education provider to provide further clarity.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Education provider	University of Salford
Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	FT and PT
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
<p>That the Visitors' report should be amended:</p> <p>(i) to remove "including the non-traditional overseas placement that may be used by students during the final placement" from the Standard of Education and Training (SET) 5.9.</p> <p>(ii) to remove "non- traditional" from the condition against SET 6.6.</p> <p>The remainder of the visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted</p>
Reasons

(i) The Panel felt that the inclusion of this statement in the reason was not necessary to the condition and therefore removed it.

(ii) The Panel felt that inclusion of 'non-traditional' in the condition did not match the reason and was therefore not necessary.

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

can be confirmed.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Education provider	Teesside University
Programme name	MSc Rehabilitation (Physiotherapy)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
<p>That the Visitors' report should be amended as follows:</p> <p>(i) removal of condition against the Standard of Education and Training (SET) 3.7.</p> <p>(ii) amendment of the reason against standard of Education 3.6 to replace "Occupational Therapy " with "Physiotherapy".</p> <p>The remainder of the visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.</p>
Reasons
<p>The Panel is satisfied that the condition against SET 3.7 went beyond the requirement of the standard. The reference to Occupational Therapy in SET 3.6</p>

was factually inaccurate.

The remaining conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Education provider	Teesside University
Programme name	Pg Dip Rehabilitation (Occupation Therapy)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
 Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report should be amended as follows: removal of condition against the Standard of Education and Training (SET) 3.7. The remainder of the visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the condition against SET 3.7 went beyond the requirement of the standard. The remaining conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the

programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Education provider	Teeside University
Programme name	Pg Dip Rehabilitation (Physiotherapy)
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	Thursday 20 May 2010

Panel: **Eileen Thornton (Chair)** **Penny Renwick**
 Jeff Lucas **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
<p>That the Visitors' report should be amended as follows:</p> <p>(i) removal of condition against the Standard of Education and Training (SET) 3.7.</p> <p>(ii) amendment of the reason against standard of Education 3.6 to replace "Occupational Therapy " with "Physiotherapy".</p> <p>The remainder of the visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.</p>
Reasons
<p>The Panel is satisfied that the condition against SET 3.7 went beyond the</p>

requirement of the standard. The reference to Occupational Therapy in SET 3.6 was factually inaccurate.

The remaining conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed: **Eileen Thornton, Panel Chair**