Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	University of East Anglia
Name of education provider	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)

Helen Davis John Harper Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Glyndwr University
Name of education provider	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: **Diane Waller (Chair)**

> **Helen Davis** John Harper **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

visitors report approval

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Glyndwr University
Name of education provider	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: **Diane Waller (Chair)**

> **Helen Davis** John Harper **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

visitors report approval

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	Leeds Metropolitan University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: **Diane Waller (Chair)**

> **Helen Davis** John Harper **Annie Turner**

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

visitors report approval

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Non-medical Prescribing (v300) (PG Level)
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel:

Diane Waller (Chair) Helen Davis

John Harper Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required. The panel noted that the education provider intended to appoint an external examiner from the RSPGB.

Signed:

Diane Waller, Chair of the Panel

Doc Type

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Non-medical Prescribing (v300) (Level 3)
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel:

Diane Waller (Chair) Helen Davis

John Harper Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required. The panel noted that the education provider intended to appoint an external examiner from the RSPGB.

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)

Helen Davis John Harper Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Name of education provider	St George's, University of London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)

Helen Davis John Harper Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Theraputic Radiography
Name of education provider	St George's, University of London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)

Helen Davis John Harper Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Foundation Degree Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	22 September 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair)

Helen Davis John Harper Annie Turner

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: