Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes in respect of which ongoing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Clinical Psychology
Education provider	University of Edinburgh
Mode of delivery	Flexible & Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 29 October 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair) Helen Davis John Donaghy Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to reconfirm ongoing approval subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC visitors' report.

The visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision	
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should have ongoing approval reconfirmed subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012.	

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the most appropriate way to assess the programme against the standards of education and training is through the approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012.

Signed:

, Panel Chair

Date Ve 2009-10-23 b

Ver. Dept/Cmte Doc b ETC RPT

Doc Type Title RPT Decision notion

Title Decision notice for mid cycle Int. Aud. Public RD: None

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes in respect of which ongoing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Applied Educational Psychology
Education provider	Newcastle University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 29 October 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair) Helen Davis John Donaghy Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to reconfirm ongoing approval subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC visitors' report.

The visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision	
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should have ongoing approval reconfirmed subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012.	

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the most appropriate way to assess the programme against the standards of education and training is through the approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012.

Signed:

, Panel Chair

Date Ve 2009-10-23 b

Ver. Dept/Cmte Doc b ETC RPT

Doc Type Title RPT Decision notion

Title Decision notice for mid cycle Int. Aud. Public RD: None

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes in respect of which ongoing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Applied Educational Psychology
Education provider	University of Nottingham
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 29 October 2009

Panel: Diane Waller (Chair) Helen Davis John Donaghy Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to reconfirm ongoing approval subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC visitors' report.

The visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision	
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should have ongoing approval reconfirmed subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012.	

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the most appropriate way to assess the programme against the standards of education and training is through the approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012.

Signed:

, Panel Chair

Date Ve 2009-10-23 b

Ver. Dept/Cmte Doc b ETC RPT

Doc Type Title RPT Decision not

Title Decision notice for mid cycle

Education and Training Committee Panel

Practitioner psychologist programmes in respect of which ongoing approval is recommended

Programme name	Doctorate in Educational, Child and Adolescent Psychology
Education provider	Queen's University of Belfast
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	Thursday 29 October 2009

Panel:	Diane Waller (Chair)	Pei
	Helen Davis	Joy
	John Donaghy	

Penny Renwick Joy Tweed

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to reconfirm ongoing approval subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC visitors' report.

The visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision
That the visitors' report should be accepted and the programme should have ongoing approval reconfirmed subject to the scheduled approval visit in 2011/2012.
Reasons

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the most appropriate way to assess the programme against the standards of education and training is through the approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012.

Signed:

, Panel Chair

Date Ve 2009-10-23 b Doc Type Title RPT Decision notice