

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Birmingham City University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time; and Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Radiotherapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Birmingham City University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time; and Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Speech &amp; Language Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Birmingham City University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time; and Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>DipHE Operating Department Practice</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Birmingham City University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Non-medical Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Birmingham City University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time; and Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

**Health Professions Council  
Education and Training Committee Panel**

**Major change - ongoing approval**

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals (Non Medical Prescribing)</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Bournemouth University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

**Guidance for Panel Chairs**

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Non-Medical Prescribing (Undergraduate Level)</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Cumbria</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>MSc Speech &amp; Language Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Essex</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time accelerated</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**



## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Greenwich</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography &amp; Imaging</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Hertfordshire</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Hertfordshire</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Radiotherapy &amp; Oncology</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Hertfordshire</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>MA Integrative Arts Psychotherapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Institute of Arts in Therapy &amp; Education</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Pg Dip Occupational Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>London South Bank University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>FD Paramedic Emergency Care</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Oxford Brookes University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time; Mixed mode; Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Queen Margaret University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**



## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Pg Dip Occupational Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Queen Margaret University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Salford</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Extending Independent Supplementary Prescribing for Non Medical Prescribers</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of York</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>29 July 2009</b>

**Panel :**  
**Alan Mount, Chair**  
**John Donaghy**  
**Jeff Seneviratne**  
**Eileen Thornton**  
**Diane Waller**

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**