

## Education and Training Committee 29 July 2009

#### **Actions List**

## **Executive Summary and Recommendations**

#### Introduction

Attached is a table providing a progress report on the decisions as agreed at the last public meeting of this committee.

#### Decision

The Committee is requested to note the document. No decision is required.

#### **Background information**

Please refer to previous papers and minutes for the background to decisions.

#### **Resource implications**

None

#### Financial implications

None

### **Background papers**

None

#### **Appendices**

None

#### Date of paper

14 July 2009

# **Matters arising**

## **Education and Training Committee – public meeting**

## 11 June 2009

	Action point	For the	Action by	Comments
	(and location in the minutes)	attention of		
1	Practitioner psychologists — Threshold level of qualification for entry to the Register: Committee therefore agreed to recommend to the Council that the threshold level of qualification should be set as follows for practitioner psychologists:  - Doctorate for clinical psychologists - Doctorate for counselling psychologists, or equivalent - Doctorate for educational psychologists, or equivalent - Masters degree for forensic psychologists (with the award of the British Psychological Society qualification in forensic psychology, or equivalent) - Masters degree for health psychologists (with the award of the British Psychological Society qualification in health psychology, or equivalent) - Masters degree for occupational psychologists (with the award of the British Psychological Society qualification in occupational psychology, or equivalent) - Masters degree for sport and exercise psychologists (with the award of the British Psychological Society qualification in sport and exercise psychology, or equivalent)	MG	11 June 2009	Actioned.  Council on 11 June 2009 concurred with the decision of the that the threshold level of qualification for entry to the Register for Practitioner Psychologists be set at the following level, with the addition of the word "professional" for the first three domains, i.e.  Professional doctorate for some domains, with other arrangements to reflect the different entry routes in the remaining domains

PPR

2	Practitioner psychologists – List of approved programmes: The Committee • granted open ended approval to the programmes outlined in appendix 1 to the minutes (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS and any subsequent editing); • approved the programmes for the historical periods outlined in appendix 2 to the minutes (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS and any subsequent editing); • confirmed that the programmes outlined in appendix 2 to the minutes were no longer approved (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS and any subsequent editing); • approved the combination routes for the historical periods outlined in appendix 3 to these minutes (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS, any subsequent editing and legal advice). • confirmed that the combination routes outlined in appendix 3 to the minutes were no longer approved (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS, any subsequent editing and legal advice); • agreed to publish the appropriate lists of approved programmes; and • agreed that the above decisions would become effective from the date of the register opening (1 July 2009)  (10.4)	AG	22 September 2009	
3	Practitioner psychologists – approval and monitoring processes: Committee  • approved the mechanism outlined in the paper for considering the outcome of currently unconcluded BPS accreditation visits (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS and any subsequent editing);  • approved the approval visit schedule outlined in the paper (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS and any subsequent editing);  • approved the annual monitoring	AG	Ongoing to 2012	

	requirements outlined in the paper (subject to receiving the final data from the BPS and any subsequent editing); • agreed that the above decisions become effective from the date of the register opening (1 July 2009); • agreed that the above decisions should be communicated to education providers; • asked the Executive to implement the approval visit schedule with a degree of flexibility, ensuring that each visit is confirmed on a case by case basis; and • asked the Executive to periodically update the Committee on the progress of work in this area.			
4	Guidance on conduct and ethics for students – Key decisions: Committee: • recommended the publication of the consultation responses document on the website, subject to the amendments above; and • recommended the text of the consultation responses document and guidance to the Council, subject to amendments, minor editing changes and legal scrutiny.	CU	6 July 2009	Actioned. The consultation responses document and guidance were approved by Council on 6 July 2009.
5	Consultation on registration cycle for hearing aid dispensers: Committee • recommended to the Council that it should consult on setting the registration cycle for hearing aid dispensers; and • recommended the text of the consultation document to the Council (subject to minor editing amendments).	CU	6 July 2009	Actioned. Both recommendations were approved by Council on 6 July 2009.
6	Ronin Protective and Paramedical Services South Africa: Committee agreed that:  • the programme was a franchised and collaborative programme delivered outside of the UK by a non-UK education provider.	OA/ MJS	30 June 2009	Actioned.

	The Committee felt that there was no			
	guarantee that placements took place in the			
	UK. In addition, the Committee agreed that the appeal by SWAST and Ronin had not			
	fully answered the HPC's requests for			
	information;			
	• the IHCD paramedic award delivered by			
	Ronin and SWAST should not be regarded			
	as an approved programme, to the extent that it had ever been approved by HPC.			
	The Committee agreed that the evidence			
	did not seem to meet the requirements of a			
	UK education provider delivering the programme in whole or in part outside of			
	the UK and therefore could not be			
	considered as an approved programme, in			
	accordance with the Committee's decision			
	<ul><li>in March 2008;</li><li>the Chief Executive and Registrar should</li></ul>			
	write to the Health Professions Council of			
	South Africa to explain HPC's decision and			
	reasons; and			
	<ul> <li>the Executive should write to SWAST and Ronin to explain HPC's decision and</li> </ul>			
	reasons.			
7	(14.5)	OA	22 Contombor	
/	Isle of Wight NHS Primary Care Trust – IHCD Paramedic Award	OA	22 September 2009	
	The Committee agreed that the Trust's			
	chief executive should be informed that the Committee was of the opinion that, based			
	upon the evidence before it, the programme			
	would not meet the standards of education			
	and training and that the Trust should be			
	invited to submit any observations it might wish to make on the reasons set out above.			
	(15.8)			
8	Education and Training Committee scheme	LH	1 July 2009	Actioned.
	of delegation: Committee agreed the scheme of			
	delegation, in order that it could form part of			
	the Council Code of Governance.			
	(16.3)			

9	Review of the renewal and final renewal forms: Committee recommended that the Council approve changes to the renewal and final renewal forms.  (17.3)	CH	6 July 2009	Actioned. The forms were approved by Council on 6 July 2009.
10	Withdrawal of approval from historic programmes: Committee agreed that the programmes listed in appendix 4 to the minutes should have their ongoing approval status withdrawn.  (18.4)	OA	22 September 2009	