Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Radiography (Diagnostic) Incorporating DipHE Medical Imaging Practice
Name of education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	25 September 2008

Panel: Eileen Thornton, Chair

> Helen Davis John Donaghy Sheila Drayton Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.	
Decision:	
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.	
Reasons	
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major	

approval visit is required.

change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Fd Health and Social Care (Paramedic Science)
Name of education provider	Birmingham City University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 September 2008

Panel: Eileen Thornton, Chair

> Helen Davis John Donaghy Sheila Drayton Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision: That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved. Reasons The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

approval visit is required.

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Dietetics
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 September 2008

Panel: Eileen Thornton, Chair

> Helen Davis John Donaghy Sheila Drayton Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Access and Supply Pharmacology (A & S POMs)
Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	25 September 2008

Panel: Eileen Thornton, Chair

> Helen Davis John Donaghy Sheila Drayton Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Decision: That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

visitors report approval

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 September 2008

Eileen Thornton, Chair Panel:

> Helen Davis John Donaghy Sheila Drayton Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 September 2008

Panel: Eileen Thornton, Chair

> Helen Davis John Donaghy Sheila Drayton Gill Pearson

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:

That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.

Reasons

The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Eileen Thornton (Chairman of the Panel)

Status

Draft