

The Health Professions Council

Chief Executive and Registrar: Mr Marc Seale

Park House
184 Kennington Park Road
London SE11 4BU
Telephone: +44 (0)20 7840 9710
Fax: +44 (0)20 7840 9807
e-mail: colin.bendall@hpc-uk.org

Minutes of the Education and Training Panel held on **Monday 18 August 2008**
at Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London, SE11 4BU.

Present: Mr A Mount (Chairman)
Ms E Ellis
Professor T Hazell
Professor C Lloyd
Mrs B Stuart

In attendance:

Mr O Ammar, Education Manager
Mr C Bendall, Secretary to the Panel
Ms A Creighton, Head of Education
Ms K Lock, Education Officer
Ms T Samuel-Smith, Education Manager
Ms E Simeoni, Education Officer
Ms M Stewart, Team Administrator

Item 1.08/48 Apologies for absence

1.1 There were no apologies for absence.

Item 2.08/49 Declarations of conflicts of interest

2.1 Members of the Panel had no conflicts of interest to declare in connection with the programmes on the agenda.

Item 3.08/50 Programme approval

3.1 The Panel reached decisions in respect of programme approval, which are indicated in the appendix to these minutes.

Item 4.08/51 Annual monitoring

4.1 The Panel reached decisions in respect of programmes which had undergone the annual monitoring process, as indicated in the appendix to these minutes.

Item 5.08/52 Major changes

5.1 The Panel reached decisions in respect of programmes which had undergone major and changes, as indicated in the appendix to these minutes.

**Chairman
Date**

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2008-08-11	a	ETC	MIN	Minutes Education and Training Panel 18 August 2008	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Name of education provider	University of Bradford
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Name of education provider	University of Bradford
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Dietetics
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part time in service
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	Diploma of Higher Education Operating Department Practice
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted, subject to an amendment that the commendation on page 12 should be amended to refer to the 'Association of Perioperative Practitioners'.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	Diploma of Higher Education Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	Certificate of Higher Education in Emergency Medical Care (incorporating the IHCD paramedic award)
Name of education provider	East of England Ambulance NHS Trust
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	Pg Dip Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Radiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Orthoptics
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Part time (In service)
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Name of education provider	University of Portsmouth
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Name of education provider	University of Portsmouth
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	Foundation Degree in Professional Development in Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Staffordshire University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval is recommended subject to conditions

Name of programme	Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Staffordshire University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.

Reasons

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:**(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	Foundation Degree in Health Sciences
Name of education provider	Open University
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
The Visitors' report should be amended as follows: The condition against SET 6.5 should be deleted and replaced with a recommendation and reason to be worded as follows: 'Recommendation: The education provider should submit as part of annual

monitoring the interim reports for S210 and S2xx.

Reason: The visitors noted that the external assessor provides an interim report six to nine months before the course is presented to ensure effective mechanisms are in place to assure appropriate standards in the assessment of students. The visitors felt that these mechanisms were sufficient to meet SET 6.5 to assure appropriate standards in the assessment. As these reports will not be available until 2009/10 it is recommended that this is seen as part of the annual monitoring process.'

Reasons

The Education and Training Panel held on Thursday 29 May 2008 agreed that the visitors should be asked to provide clarification on the reasons for the condition which had been set against SET 6.5. The visitors have now recommended that the condition should be replaced by a recommendation and have recommended the wording set out above.

The Panel is not satisfied that the condition against SET 6.5 in the visitors' report needs to be met before the programme can be approved. They believe there is evidence that the SET has been met.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	Foundation Degree in Paramedic Sciences
Name of education provider	Open University
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
The Visitors' report should be amended as follows: The condition against SET 6.5 should be deleted and replaced with a recommendation to be worded as follows:

'Recommendation: The education provider should submit as part of annual monitoring the interim reports for S210 and S2xx.

Reason: The visitors noted that the external assessor provides an interim report six to nine months before the course is presented to ensure effective mechanisms are in place to assure appropriate standards in the assessment of students. The visitors felt that these mechanisms were sufficient to meet SET 6.5 to assure appropriate standards in the assessment. As these reports will not be available until 2009/10 it is recommended that this is seen as part of the annual monitoring process.'

Reasons

The Education and Training Panel held on Thursday 29 May 2008 agreed that the visitors should be asked to provide clarification on the reasons for the condition which had been set against SET 6.5. The visitors have now recommended that the condition should be replaced by a recommendation and have recommended the wording which is set out above.

The Panel is not satisfied that the condition against SET 6.5 in the visitors' report needs to be met before the programme can be approved. They believe there is evidence that the SET has been met.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Prosthetics and Orthotics
Name of education provider	University of Strathclyde
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
<p>1. The Visitors' report should be amended as follows:</p> <p>The documentation deadline for the conditions against standards of education and training (SETs) 5.4, 5.5, 5.7.1, 5.7.3, 5.7.4, 5.8.3, 5.12, 6.1 and 6.6 should be set as December 2008. The executive should negotiate with the education provider to agree an exact date in</p>

December 2008.

The documentation deadline for the remaining conditions should be set as Friday 22 August 2008.

2. The Executive should ensure the education provider is aware that the conditions against SETs 5.7.3, 6.1 and 6.6 relate to professional conduct and fitness to practice.
3. The Executive should clarify the process for producing visitors' reports to the education provider.

Reasons

1. The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

The Panel is satisfied that the programme has previously been approved by the HPC and that there is no evidence that individuals who have completed the programme are unfit to practice. The Panel is therefore satisfied that the nature of the conditions to be met in December pose a minimal risk to the protection of the public. The Panel also felt that a longer deadline to meet these conditions would provide the education provider with sufficient time to collaborate with practice placement providers.

2. The Panel felt that the education provider might not have understood that the conditions against SETs 5.7.3, 6.1 and 6.6 relate to wider issues than the education providers focus on interpersonal skills in their observations.
3. The Panel felt that the Executive should respond to the observation that two reports were produced following the visit when the outcome for the BSc (Hons) Prosthetics and Orthotics and MSc Prosthetics and Orthotics programmes was the same.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	MSci Prosthetics and Orthotics
Name of education provider	University of Strathclyde
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
<p>1. The Visitors' report should be amended as follows:</p> <p>The documentation deadline for the conditions against standards of education and training (SETs) 5.4, 5.5, 5.7.1, 5.7.3, 5.7.4, 5.8.3, 5.12, 6.1 and 6.6 should be set as December 2008. The executive should negotiate with the education provider to agree an exact date in</p>

December 2008.

The documentation deadline for the remaining conditions should be set as Friday 22 August 2008.

2. The Executive should ensure the education provider is aware that the conditions against SETs 5.7.3, 6.1 and 6.6 relate to professional conduct and fitness to practice.
3. The Executive should clarify the process for producing visitors' reports to the education provider.

Reasons

1. The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved.

The Panel is satisfied that the nature of the conditions to be met in December pose a minimal risk to the protection of the public. The Panel also felt that a longer deadline to meet these conditions would provide the education provider with sufficient time to collaborate with practice placement providers.

2. The Panel felt that the education provider might not have understood that the conditions against SETs 5.7.3, 6.1 and 6.6 relate to wider issues than the education providers focus on interpersonal skills in their observations.
3. The Panel felt that the Executive should respond to the observation that two reports were produced following the visit when the outcome for the BSc (Hons) Prosthetics and Orthotics and MSc Prosthetics and Orthotics programmes was the same.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	IHCD Paramedic award
Name of education provider	Welsh Ambulance Service Trust
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
<p>1. The Visitors' report should be amended as follows:</p> <p>The documentation deadline for the conditions against standards of education and training (SETs) 4.1, 4.2, 4.3, 5.5, 6.1, 6.2, 6.3, 6.4 and 6.7.1 should be extended to December 2008. The executive should negotiate with the education provider to agree an exact date in</p>

December 2008.

The documentation deadline for the remaining conditions should remain as Thursday 31 July 2008.

2. The Executive should provide written clarification to the education provider about the condition against SET 5.7.3.

Reasons

1. The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

The Panel is satisfied that the programme has previously been approved by the HPC and that there is no evidence that individuals who have previously completed the programme are unfit to practise. The Panel is therefore satisfied that the nature of the conditions to be met in December pose a minimal risk to the protection of the public. The Panel also felt that a longer deadline to meet these conditions would provide the education provider with sufficient time to collaborate with the awarding/validating body.

2. The Panel believes that the reason within the Visitors' report for the condition against SET 5.7.3 is clear. However, to ensure that the education provider does not misunderstand the condition, they feel that written clarification should be provided by the Executive, with a copy to the visitors.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Name of education provider	University of Bradford
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Name of education provider	University of Bradford
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Central Lancashire
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Central Lancashire
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Dietetics
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Part time (in service)
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Date
2008-03-12

Ver.
a

Dept/Cmte
ETC

Doc Type
RPT

Title
Record of decision for Education Panel
visitors report approval

Status
Draft
DD: None

Int. Aud.
Public
RD: None

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	Diploma of Higher Education Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Coventry University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	Pg Dip Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	MA Drama Therapy
Name of education provider	Iron Mill Institute Exeter
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Radiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Orthoptics
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	The University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	The University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	The University of Northampton
Mode of delivery	Part time (In service)
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	Foundation Degree in Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Open University
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes previously recommended for approval subject to conditions and the conditions have now been met

Name of programme	Dip HE Paramedic Science
Name of education provider	Swansea University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme, which was previously recommended for approval subject to conditions, should be approved, as the conditions have been met.
Reasons
The visitors are now satisfied that the conditions in the visitors' report have been met and the programme therefore meets the standards of education and training.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes which have subject to annual monitoring audit and for which continuing approval is recommended

Date of decision: 18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree to continuing approval of a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' reports and any other documentary evidence.

The Visitors' reports are only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programmes on the attached list have successfully completed an annual monitoring audit and continue to meet the standards of education and training. The programmes should continue to be approved
Reasons
The visitors' reports indicate that the visitors are satisfied that the programmes continue to meet the standards of education and training.
List of programmes

Education Provider	Programme Name	Mode of Delivery
Anglia Ruskin University	MA Music Therapy	Full Time
Colchester Institute	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy	Full Time Accelerated
Colchester Institute	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy	Part Time

Colchester Institute	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy	Part Time
Edge Hill University	Non-Medical Prescribing	Part Time
Glasgow Caledonian University	BSc (Hons) Podiatry	Full Time
Glasgow Caledonian University	Local Analgesia with Nail Surgery for Podiatrists	Part Time
Guildhall School of Music and Drama	MA Music Therapy	Mixed Mode
Queen Margaret University	MSc Music Therapy (Nordoff Robbins)	Full Time
Queen Margaret University	MSc Music Therapy (Nordoff Robbins)	Part Time
The Central School of Speech & Drama	MA Drama and Movement Therapy	Full Time
The Robert Gordon University	MSc Physiotherapy	Full Time
University of Wolverhampton	Non Medical Prescribing Programme	Part Time

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes for which annual monitoring declarations have been received and for which the Panel is asked to confirm continuing approval

Date of decision: 18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree to ongoing approval of a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of documentary evidence.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:		
That the programmes on the attached list have submitted an annual monitoring declaration and that the programmes should continue to be approved.		
Reasons		
The programmes listed below have successfully completed an annual monitoring declaration.		
List of programmes		
Education Provider	Programme Name	Mode of Delivery
University College London	BSc (Hons) Speech Sciences	Full Time
University College London	MSc Speech & Language Sciences	Full Time
University of Hull	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Sciences	Part Time

University of Hull	M Biomed Sci	Full Time
University of Manchester	BSc (Hons) Speech & Language Therapy	Full Time

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Non-medical Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Name of education provider	Birmingham City University
Mode of delivery	Full time and Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Non-medical Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Name of education provider	Birmingham City University
Mode of delivery	Full time and Part time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: _____ **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Emergency Care
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Part time and mixed mode
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc Paramedic Emergency Care
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Part time and mixed mode
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Foundation Degree Paramedic Emergency Care
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Foundation Degree Paramedic Emergency Care (formerly Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Emergency Care)
Name of education provider	Oxford Brookes University
Mode of delivery	Part time & Mixed mode
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: **(Chairman of the Panel)**

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	MSc Physiotherapy (Pre-registration)
Name of education provider	Queen Margaret University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals
Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	DipHE Operating Department Practice
Name of education provider	University of Teesside
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	MA Music Therapy
Name of education provider	University of the West of England, Bristol
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	18 August 2008

Panel : Alan Mount, Chair
Elizabeth Ellis
Tony Hazell
Carol Lloyd
Barbara Stuart

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed:

(Chairman of the Panel)