

Education and Training Panel – 29 May 2008

University of Plymouth - Correction Paper

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

At the Education and Training Panel held on Wednesday 26 March 2008, the Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Science (Community Emergency Health) full and part time programmes at the University of Plymouth were approved following an approval visit.

Unfortunately, while the title of the programmes were correct on the visitors' reports, there was an inaccuracy in the title of the programmes listed on the cover sheet and therefore in the titles which were subsequently approved.

The title of the programmes should have read 'Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Studies (Community Emergency Health)' and not 'Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Science (Community Emergency Health).

Decision

The Panel is asked to retract the decision made at the Education and Training Panel held on 26 March 2008 and approve the Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Studies (Community Emergency Health) full and part time programmes at the University of Plymouth.

Background information

Agenda of Education and Training Panel held on 26 March 2008 Minutes of Education and Training Panel held on 26 March 2008

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

Appendices

Cover sheet and visitors report

Date of paper

22 April 2008



Education and Training Panel –26 March 2008

Programme Approval

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

The visitors have confirmed that the conditions relating to the following programmes' approval have been met. The visitors are now satisfied that the programme meets the standards of education and training and wish to recommend approval. The attached visitors' reports have been updated to reflect that the conditions have been met.

Education provider	Programme name	Delivery mode
University of Plymouth	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practitioner	Full time
	(Community Emergency Health)	
University of Plymouth	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practitioner	Part time
	(Community Emergency Health)	
University of Plymouth	Diploma in Higher Education	Full time
	Paramedic Science (Community	
	Emergency Health)	
University of Plymouth	Diploma in Higher Education	Part time
	Paramedic Science (Community	
	Emergency Health)	
University of Plymouth	Graduate Diploma Paramedic	Full time
	Practitioner (Community Emergency	
	Health)	
University of Plymouth	Graduate Diploma Paramedic	Part time
	Practitioner (Community Emergency	
	Health)	
University of the West	Foundation Degree Paramedic	Full time
of England, Bristol	Science	

Decision

The panel is asked to approve the above named programmes, in line with the visitors' recommendations that the programmes now meet the standards of education and training.

Background information

None

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

AppendicesVisitors' Reports (3)

Date of paper 14 March 2008

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2008-03-14	а	APV	PPR	Programme Approval Cover Paper	Draft	Public
					DD: None	RD: None



Health Professions Council

Visitors' report

Name of advantion provider	University of Dlymouth	
Name of education provider	University of Plymouth	
Name and titles of programme(s)	BSc (Hons) Paramedic Practitioner	
	(Community Emergency Health)	
	DipHE Paramedic Studies (Community Emergency Health)	
AL L. C. L. C.		
Mode of delivery (FT/PT)	Both PT and FT	
Date of visit	25 th and 26 th October 2007	
Proposed date of approval to commence	September 2008	
Name of HPC visitors attending	Bob Fellows – Paramedic	
(including member type and professional	Gordon Pollard - Paramedic	
area)		
HPC executive officer(s) (in attendance)	Katherine Lock	
Joint panel members in attendance	Alan Hooper, Subject Forum Chair for Health	
(name and delegation):	and Social Care - Chair	
	Lisa Lamb – Senior Quality Administrator – Secretary	
	Ruth Clemow, Associate dean, Health and Social Work	
	Bernhard Haas, Deputy Head of of School of Health Professions, Health and Social Work	
	Nicholas Johns, Lecturer in Criminal Justice	
	Roland Furber, Chief Executive, British paramedic Association	
	Dave Barton, Academic External Advisor	
	Daranee Boon, A&E Consultant, Plymouth Hospitals NHS Trust, Professional External Advisor	
	Tim Lynch, Chief Executive Officer, Great Western Ambulance Service	

Scope of visit (please tick)

New programme	
Major change to existing programme	
Visit initiated through Annual Monitoring	
New Profession	

Confirmation of meetings held

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior personnel of provider with responsibility for resources for the programme			

Programme team	\boxtimes				
Placements providers and educators	\boxtimes				
Students (current or past as appropriate)	\boxtimes				
Confirmation of facilities inspected					
	Yes	No	N/A		
Library learning centre					
IT facilities					
Specialist teaching accommodation	\boxtimes				
Confirmation that particular requirements/specific instructions (if any) of the Education and Training Committee that have been explored e.g. specific aspects arising from annual monitoring reports.					
Requirement (please insert detail)	Yes	No	N/A		
1		Ш	Ш		
2					
2	40 acro	oss all le	evels		

The following summarises the key outcomes of the approval event and provides reasons for the decision.

CONDITIONS

SET 2 Programme admissions

The admission procedures must:

2.2.2 apply selection and entry criteria, including criminal convictions checks;

Condition: The programme team must design a process in order to carry out CRB checks after the first three months of enrolling onto the programme for international students.

Reason: The programme team currently do not carry out criminal bureau checks on international students as they need to have been in the country for three months before this can be carried out. They do, however, ask the potential student to submit a letter from the local police in the country stating they have no criminal convictions, but it was felt that this was not rigorous enough.

SET 5. Practice placements standards

- 5.7 Students and practice placement educators must be fully prepared for placement which will include information about and understanding of the following:
- 5.7.1 the learning outcomes to be achieved;
- 5.7.2 timings and the duration of any placement experience and associated records to be maintained:
- 5.7.3 expectations of professional conduct;
- 5.7.4 the assessment procedures including the implications of, and any action to be taken in the case of failure; and
- 5.7.5 communication and lines of responsibility.

Condition: The programme team must submit a copy of the mentor training course programme.

Reason: Throughout discussion in various meetings there was a clear understanding of the role and training of the mentor, but it was not clear how this would be put into practice. They explained that the mentors undertake a module; in order to ascertain all the above standards are covered. The visitors wish to see an outline of this module.

Unless other arrangements are agreed, practice placement educators: 5.8.3 undertake appropriate practice placement educator training.

Condition: The programme team must submit the DVD already sent to mentors on other health care programmes for training purposes.

Reason: The programme team explained that there is a DVD available to the nursing and ODP programme mentors and at the moment they are in the process of adapting this to meet the needs of paramedic mentors and use this to assist them in their training. In order to see what they are planning to send mentors and the level of training this supplies we would like to see a copy of the DVD to decide its validity.

5.9 There must be collaboration between the education provider and practice placement providers.

Condition: The programme team must provide further evidence of the agreement between placement providers and the programme team, outlining responsibilities for clinical placements.

Reason: It was evident through the meetings that there was a clear lines of communication between the placements and university. However the documentation implied that there would be one paramedic as mentor for each student for the length of the programme and there was no agreement in place between the two parties to ensure lasting commitment.

Studies

RECOMMENDATIONS

SET 6. Assessment standards

6.4 The measurement of student performance and progression must be an integral part of the wider process of monitoring and evaluation, and use objective criteria.

Recommendation: The programme team should consider a review of the portfolio to measure student progression at the end of the first academic year of running an approved programme

Reason: Although the programme includes the completion of a portfolio, it is not used as a method of assessment. Students pass a list of competencies but there is no measurement of how the student has progressed from year to year.

Assessment regulations clearly specify requirements:

6.7.5 for the appointment of at least one external examiner from the relevant part of the HPC Register, unless other arrangements are agreed.

Recommendation: The programme team are recommended to allocate the role of the external examiner to a paramedic.

Reason: The programme team are yet to appoint the external examiner, the documentation assured the reader that the role is going to be filled with a paramedic, but through conversations in meetings this had to be clarified. It was recommended that the programme team should continue with this decision and a paramedic is placed in this role as this is a new programme.

The nature and quality of instruction and facilities meets the standards of education and training.

We recommend to the Education and Training Committee of the HPC that they approve this programme, subject to any conditions being met.

Visitors' signatures:

Bob Fellows

Gordon Pollard

Date: 13th November 2007