## The Scottish Government Regulation of Healthcare Support Workers A Scottish Pilot on behalf of the UK

Audrey Cowie Professional Adviser – Regulation and Workforce Standards Scottish Government Health Directorates 26 March 2008

## The Scottish Government Background

- 2004 consultation England & Wales; and in Scotland
- May June 2005 National (Scotland) Strategy Group of key stakeholders (including HCSWs) - > preferred option
- July 2005 request for evidence to Foster Review Advisory Group ....

## The Scottish Government Background

- Agreement that Scotland leads on behalf of UK (testing of preferred model in Scotland)
- October 2005 4-country Steering Group set up -> still steering! [Lay Chair, RCN, UNISON, Reg Bodies, CHRE, lay reviewers, independent sector, SSSC...]
- SG Working Group developed standards and codes, prepared for public consultation, etc
- Planned pilot

## The Scottish Government Key influences

#### **Reviews of regulation by UK Department of Health**

- 2 reports (medical and non-medical reviews) significant influence across UK
- The regulation of the non-medical healthcare professions (July 2006), the "Foster Review" of particular influence
- Publication of UK Government White Paper (February 2007):
  - Govt in England will consider outputs from Scottish pilot
  - Govt will consider whether there is demand for statutory regulation for levels 3 & 4 against criteria
- Definition of "Healthcare Support Worker" important in Scottish pilot

### The Scottish Government Pilot project on behalf of the UK – description of preferred option

- Develop a model of "employer-led" regulation for HCSWs (with national consistency) built on the premise of patient safety / public protection
- Hold a centralised list / "register"
- Negotiate nationally agreed standards for
  - safe recruitment and induction,
  - code of conduct for HCSWs,
  - code of practice for employers

#### The Scottish Government Actions since 2005

- Consultation on standards and codes 31 May 31 August 2006
- Overwhelming support for principle of public protection
- Positive response to standards
- Call for mandatory status
- Plain English Society input
- Scottish Ministerial launch of standards and pilot
   November 2006
- Piloting of model of standards and listing -January 2007-> December 2008

### The Scottish Government Standards – issues addressed prior to consultation

- Need for compatibility with / linkage to:
  - KSF dimensions and e-KSF
  - Skills for Health NOSs / NWCs
  - PIN (Partnership Information Network) Personal Development Planning and Review (Scotland)
  - NHS Scotland core induction programme (pilot)
  - Existing provision of induction (local matching)
  - Over time SCQF (performance criteria for evidence of achievement)

### The Scottish Government Public Protection "standard statements" 1.

- Build 'customer' relationships
- Communicate appropriately with patients / others
- Work within confidentiality and legal frameworks
- Work in accordance with the equality, diversity, rights and responsibilities of patients
- Protect patients from harm and abuse
- 'Whistle-blow' in cases of harm and abuse

### The Scottish Government Public Protection "standard statements" 2.

- Personally develop knowledge and practice
- Reflect on practice to enhance knowledge
- Work within own limits
- Manage yourself as a resource
- Contribute to team work

### The Scottish Government Public Protection "standard statements" 3.

- Be personally fit at work
- Maintain Health and Safety at work
- Assess risk associated with work
- Report incidents at work

## The Scottish Government Code of Conduct

- Complementary to induction standards
- Focuses on "Working to Standard"
- Concepts covered:
  - Accountability, Awareness, Integrity, Advocacy, Sensitivity, Objectivity, Consideration & Respect, Consent, Confidentiality, Cooperation, Protection, Development, Alertness
- Well received to date

### The Scottish Government Potential use of Career Framework for HCSWs

- Levels 1 4 relevant
- Standards relate to all 4 levels public protection focus
- Not role specific clinical roles would build on the threshold standard (relevant to KSF outlines and development of national clinical standards)

S			MORE SENIOR STAFF - LEVEL 9	
	-		More senior staff with ultimate responsibility for clinical caseload decision-making and full on-call 9	
K		E	accountability.	
		Α	CONSULTANT PRACTITIONERS - LEVEL 8	
1	-	R	Staff working at very high level of clinical expertise and/or have responsibility for planning services.	
L.				
L		N		
S	-		ADVANCED PRACTITIONERS - LEVEL 7 Experienced clinical practitioners with high level of skill and theoretical knowledge. Will make high level 7	
		N	clinical decisions and manage own workload	
0				
&		G	SENIOR PRACTITIONER/SPECIALIST PRACTITIONER LEVEL 6	
	-	&	A higher degree of autonomy and responsibility than level 5 or managing one or more service in clinical <b>6</b>	
С		D	environment.	
0				
	-		PRACTITIONERS - LEVEL 5 Registered practitioners consolidating pre-registration experience and getting ready for a higher level of 5	
IVI		V	functioning	
Ρ		E		
Ε			ASSISTANT / ASSOCIATE PRACTITIONER – LEVEL 4 Studying for HND/Dip HE some work involving protocol based care under the supervision of a registered	
T			practitioner.	
		U		
E		P	SENIOR HEALTHCARE ASSISTANT/TECHNICIAN – LEVEL 3 Higher level of responsibility than support worker and studying for or attained S/NVQ 3/4 or NHC / Cert HE.	
N		Μ	Higher level of responsibility than support worker and studying for or attained 5/NVQ 3/4 or NHC / Cert HE.	
С		F		
			SUPPORT WORKERS – LEVEL 2 Frequently entitled 'Healthcare or Care Assistant', probably studying for or attained a vocational qualification	
		N	Frequently entitled 'Healthcare or Care Assistant', probably studying for or attained a vocational qualification (S/NVQ) at level 2.	
E				
S			SUPPORT WORKERS – LEVEL 1	
			e.g. domestic/support staff or those in roles that require very little formal education.	<u> </u>
			13	

# The Scottish Government Pilot

- Initially for a year from January '07 onwards timescale now extended to secure evidence base for policy decision
- In 3 NHS Board areas and one independent hospital in Scotland
- In NHSS; Mental Health, Children's and Older People's services
- <u>All HCSWs in chosen areas (clinical and nonclinical)</u>

## The Scottish Government Pilot implementation

- Nationally agreed assessment tool for achievement of standards
- SWISS (e-workforce database) held 'list'
- Compliance monitoring; self assessment by Boards and national monitoring of structures and processes – NHS Quality Improvement Scotland
- Communication!!!

## The Scottish Government Parallel Independent Evaluation Study

- Research tendering exercise Autumn 2006
- Contract awarded -> interviews of key informants and ongoing observation of implementation since
- Outputs will provide evidence to inform future policy decision – this is an area devolved to the Scottish Parliament

## The Scottish Government Questions for evaluation team

Numerous Qs - relating to:

- Implementation phase (infrastructures, etc)
- Operation of model
- Potential impact of model
- Overarching question:
  - "Does the model of *standards* and *listing* have the **potential** to enhance **public protection**?"

## The Scottish Government Progress with evaluation

- Limitations re what is allowed legally
- Interviews, case studies, one to ones, etc.
- Key informants; Chair of Steering Group, UNISON, Directors of nursing, HR, facilities, etc, etc
- Recruitment of HCSWs July 2007 until September 2008
- Extension of pilot to strengthen evidence base
- October 2008 stakeholder event in Edinburgh –> policy position

#### The Scottish Government Compare and Contrast Statutory RB v Employer-led

	Reg Body	Employer
Set standards (conduct, performance, ethics)	Set nationally (UK)	Set nationally (Scotland / UK?)
Fitness to	Employer	Employer
Practise	and national	(HR policies,
work	regulator	CG, SG)
Maintain	National	Occupational
professional	Regulator (at	list (being
register	a cost)	tested)

## Some relevant questions 1.

- Should the existing regulatory bodies regulate support workers? - > capacity / rationale / proportionality?
- Is the professional self-regulation model used by doctors, dentists, nurses, etc, an appropriate one for support workers? - > would criteria be met?
- Should there be an independent statutory regulator? > cost?
- Who should pay for this? > is it professional "self" regulation or "occupational listing"?
- What about those working across boundaries / in "hybrid" roles? Who should regulate?

## Some relevant questions 2.

- How best should the monitoring of standards be achieved? - > who has the right in statute?
- What training and on the job support is required? - > resource implications?
- Would a qualifications based register affect recruitment? – > how would less 'able' cope?
- What does public safety demand? > a threshold standard of performance?
- What is the risk associated with HCSW practice?
   -> supervision / context issues?

## Some relevant questions 3

- Aren't Clinical / Staff Governance and Patient Safety Strategies enough? What about elsewhere in UK?
- What links with "barring" lists?
- What is the role of the employer in patient safety?
- What are the links with the DH Working Group on "Extending Professional Regulation" – work on criteria, different models, risk, etc

The Scottish Government Example of criteria for statutory regulation (HPC) 1

- Discreet area of activity
- Defined body of knowledge
- Evidence-based practice
- Established professional body
- Voluntary register

## The Scottish Government Example of criteria for statutory regulation (HPC) 2

- Defined entry routes
- Independently assessed qualifications
- Standards conduct, performance, ethics
- Disciplinary procedures
- Commitment to continuing professional development

## The Scottish Government For more information

#### <u>audrey.cowie@scotland.gsi.gov.uk</u>

### **X** The Scottish Government

#### **QUESTIONS?**