

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Non-medical Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Birmingham City University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time and Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>London Metropolitan University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Paramedic Emergency Care</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Oxford Brookes University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time and mixed mode</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Oxford Brookes University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full Time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>BSc Paramedic Emergency Care</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Oxford Brookes University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time and mixed mode</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Foundation Degree Paramedic Emergency Care</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Oxford Brookes University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full Time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Foundation Degree Paramedic Emergency Care (formerly Diploma in Higher Education Paramedic Emergency Care)</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Oxford Brookes University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part time &amp; Mixed mode</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>MSc Physiotherapy (Pre-registration)</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>Queen Margaret University</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**



## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>Supplementary Prescribing for Allied Health Professionals</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Huddersfield</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part Time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>DipHE Operating Department Practice</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of Teesside</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Full Time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :**  
Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**

## Health Professions Council

### Education and Training Committee Panel

#### Major change - ongoing approval

<b>Name of programme</b>	<b>MA Music Therapy</b>
<b>Name of education provider</b>	<b>University of the West of England, Bristol</b>
<b>Mode of delivery</b>	<b>Part Time</b>
<b>Date of decision</b>	<b>18 August 2008</b>

**Panel :** Alan Mount, Chair  
Elizabeth Ellis  
Tony Hazell  
Carol Lloyd  
Barbara Stuart

#### Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

<b>Decision:</b>
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
<b>Reasons</b>
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

**Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)**