

Education and Training Panel – 4 December 2007

Minor/Major Changes (2)

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

The major/minor change process requires education providers to notify the HPC of any major changes to approved programmes. The process aims to recognise changes which may impact significantly on the provision of a programme and on the standards of education and training and standards of proficiency and determine the action that the HPC should take.

Visitors have assessed the changes to the programmes listed below, by correspondence. The visitors' reports are attached.

The visitors are satisfied that although the changes are major, the programme(s) continue to meet the standards of education & training, so there is no requirement for an approvals visit.

Education Provider	Programme Name	Delivery Mode
University of Brighton	Clinical Pharmacology	PT

Decision

The Panel is asked to approve the above named programme(s) as having undergone major change and that the programme(s) continue to meet the HPC's standards of education and training.

Background information

None

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

Appendices

Visitors' reports (1)

Date of paper

22 November 2007



Visitors' Report Major/Minor Change

Section One: Programme details

Name of education provider	University of Brighton	
Name of awarding body (if different from above)		
Programme title(s)	Clinical Pharmacology	
Mode of delivery	Part time	
Name and profession of HPC Visitor(s)	B Ellis and J Pickard - Podiatrists	

Please list the documents submitted by the education provider, in support of the major/minor change form:

M level Module specification Information for applicants Admission criteria Set 2 Mapping Internal validation documents for M level

Please provide a summary of the proposed changes below.

Re-packaging of undergraduate module to M level with associated changes to assessment and inclusion of curriculum material required to meet new exemptions order. The module is designed for delivery to registrants currently not holding POMs certification.

Section Two: Recommendation of the Visitor(s)

Visitors' are asked to select one of the following recommendations -

The programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the Standards of Education and Training, so no approval visit is required. Upon successful completion, students continue to meet the standards of proficiency.

(If you have comments to make, which may be useful when considering future annual monitoring and/or minor/major change submissions, please list these on the following page)

Visitors' signatures:

Name: Brian Ellis

Signature: Brian Ellis

Date: 22nd November 2007

Name: James Pickard Signature: Jim Pickard

Date: 22nd November 2007