Council

Minutes of the 98th meeting of the Health and Care Professions Council as follows:-

Date: Wednesday 13 May 2015

Time: 2pm

Venue: The Council Chamber, Health and Care Professions Council, Park

House,

184 Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Present: Anna van der Gaag (Chair)

Elaine Buckley Stephen Cohen Sonya Lam Eileen Mullan Joanna Mussen Robert Templeton

Joy Tweed Nicola Wood

Stephen Wordsworth Richard Kennett

In attendance:

Georgia Akuffo-Kumih, HR Business Partner John Barwick, Acting Director of Fitness to Practise

Edward Foster, Stakeholder Communications Officer

Guy Gaskins, Director of Information Technology

Andy Gillies, Director of Finance

Abigail Gorringe, Director of Education

Michael Guthrie, Director of Policy and Standards

Richard Houghton, Head of Registration (Item 1 only)

Hayley Jarvis, Media and PR Officer

Jonathan Jones, Stakeholder Communications Manager

Jacqueline Ladds, Director of Communications

Louise Lake, Director of Council and Committee Services

Jamie Phung, Apprentice Registration Advisor (Item 1 only)

Greg Ross-Sampson, Director of Operations

Marc Seale, Chief Executive and Registrar

Public Agenda - Part 1

Item 1.15/90 Chair's welcome and introduction

- 1.1. The Chair welcomed Council members and those seated in the public gallery to the 98th meeting of Council.
- 1.2. On behalf of the Council, the Chair congratulated Jamie Phung, Apprentice Registration Advisor, for achieving his NVQ level 3 earlier than anticipated and which was carried out alongside working at the HCPC.

Item 2.15/91 Apologies for absence

2.1 Apologies for absence were received from Graham Towl.

Item 3.15/92 Approval of Agenda

3.1 The Council approved the agenda.

Item 4.15/93 Declaration of Members' interests

4.1 There were no interests declared.

Item 5.15/94 Minutes of the Council meeting of 25 and 26 March 2015 (report ref:-HCPC54/15)

- 5.1 The Council considered the minutes of the 97th meeting of the Health and Care Professions Council.
- 5.2 The Council noted that a request for some information in relation to equality and diversity activity within the registrations department had been made and would be submitted to a future Council meeting. The Director of Council and Committee Services undertook to amend the minutes accordingly.
- 5.3 Further to minute 16.1, bullet three of the minutes of 26 March 2015: "Concern was expressed that Council is being asked to approve the work plans rather than to have regard to them in agreeing the related papers such as the annual budget and registrant forecast figures", it was agreed that this should be raised as part of a wider discussion on governance at the October away day.
- 5.4 The Council agreed the minutes, subject to the amendment detailed under 5.2.

Item 6.15/95 Matters Arising (report ref:-HCPC55/15)

6.1 The Council noted the matters arising from the meetings held on 25 and 26 March.

Item 7.15/96 Chair's report (report ref:-HCPC56/15)

- 7.1 The Council received a report from the Chair.
- 7.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - In relation to the meeting with the Commission on Education and Training for Patient Safety (CETPS), the Council noted that this group are looking at ways that education and training needs to change in order to raise awareness of patient safety. The group was comprised of mainly
 - medical and nursing representatives. There was no representation from social work. The focus of the group currently was on finding ways of promoting 'human factors' i.e. professionalism in our context. The group had commissioned a literature review and were planning to produce a report and recommendations later this year
 - In response to a question about whether there was ever any consideration of the 'airline industry model', the Council noted that there continued to be strong influence from this sector, led by Sir Liam Donaldson (former chief Medical Officer) who, amongst others, continues to drive this agenda. However, it was also noted that there were limits to its applicability in relation to health and social care particularly in terms of interpersonal and behavioural factors which impact on the delivery of care
 - The Council noted that the meetings with Mike Durkin, Director of Patient safety at NHS England and Jennifer Dixon, CE of the Health Foundation, were in relation to our new research on 'preventing small problems becoming bigger problems';
 - The meeting with the General Teaching Council for Scotland was at their request; they wished to observe a Fitness to Practise Hearing and understand more about our approach to independence in adjudication and the evolution of the HCPC including its governance;
 - In relation to the recruitment of the Chair, the Council noted that a submission had been made to the PSA on 30 April at the same time as the recommendation of the Panel to the Privy Council;
 - In response to a question about the impact of the General Election on HCPC, the Council noted that the direction of travel would be made clear after the Queen's Speech due to take place at the end of May.
- 7.3 The Council noted the report.

Item 8.15/97 Chief Executive's report (report ref:-HCPC57/15)

- 8.1 The Council received a report from the Chief Executive.
- 8.2 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The process for finalising the PSA performance review had changed and now the Chair and Chief Executive meet with the Chief Executive of the PSA once the report has been drafted and finalised. This meeting was due to take place on the 19 May;
 - The second stage audit for ISO27001 certification was due to take place 20-22 May;
 - The Executive Management Team were due to meet with officials from the Department of Health on Monday 19 May. However, government policy was not likely to be known until after the Queen's Speech had taken place at the end of May.
- 8.3 The Council noted the report.

Items for discussion/approval

Departmental Reports

Item 9.15/98 Fitness to Practise report (report ref:-HCPC58/15)

- 9.1 The Council received a report from the Executive.
- 9.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Fitness to Practise department. The report includes statistical information relating to case management and adjudication activity in March 2015 as well as length of time analysis.
- 9.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The length of time for cases to be heard was stable. However, this
 situation could quickly change and so work needed to be done around
 signposting since a number of queries received are not in fact fitness to
 practise queries. More information needs to be provided on the website
 about what constitutes an FtP query and where complainants need to
 direct their concerns if they don't relate to FtP;
 - A case advancement team was in place to deal with more complex cases;

- There was an article in the 'Times' in which a senior judge was urging the use of mediation to resolve disputes in health care contexts
- In relation to mediation, the Council noted that this work was ongoing. However, the numbers of cases where mediation would be an appropriate mechanism to resolve a dispute had not been as high as anticipated. However, the evidence suggests that once a case has been pursued through the mediation route, there is a high success rate;
- The Fitness to Practise department were currently running a pilot 'experience' study which involved sending out feedback forms to registrants and complainants following an Investigating Committee Panel or final hearing decision. It was planned to evaluate the pilot shortly which could in turn lead to sending out feedback forms on a continual basis.
- 9.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 10.15/99 Policy and Standards report (report ref:-HCPC59/15)

- 10.1 The Council received a report from the executive
- 10.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Policy and Standards department.
- 10.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - Any decision on the Public Health Specialists would need to be made by the new government;
 - Work was ongoing in relation to the Standards of Education and Training (SET) Review and Council would be asked to consider the Terms of Reference for the Professional Liaison Group at their meeting in June;
 - The HCPC would be responsible for managing any change to SET 1 for paramedics, but, particularly given the normative nature of the standard, changes in the level of paramedic education and training would be driven by commissioners, service providers and education providers;
 - That it was too early to say how proposals to replace the Human Rights Act with a Bill of Rights would impact on the work of the HCPC, if at all;
 - That the decision whether to proceed with the regulation of herbal practitioners would be a decision of the new government.
- 10.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 11.15/100 Operations report (report ref:-HCPC60/15)

- 11.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 11.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Operations department.
- 11.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The contract for 405 Kennington Road was signed on 5 May 2015;
 - In response to a question about how many registration appeals are unsuccessful and how many registrants do not pass their CPD audit, the Council noted that consideration would be given to reporting on registration appeals should the function move across to the Registration department which was subject for consideration later in the agenda. In relation to CPD audits, the Council noted that this figure was provided through the CPD audit reports
 - It was noted that the statistics set out on pages 6-8 needed highlighting and the Director undertook to look at how this could be done taking into consideration certain sensitivities.
- 11.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 12.15/101 Secretariat report (report ref:-HCPC61/15)

- 12.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 12.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Secretariat department.
- 12.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The Council noted the training options provided to members and those that required prior approval, the criteria for which had been previously agreed by Council. A concern was expressed that the criteria was not always applied consistently and so the suggestion was made that this be considered at the October away day;
 - The Council noted that a skills matrix was used in the appointment of Council members to ensure breadth of skills.
- 12.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 13.15/102 Education report (report ref:-HCPC62/15)

13.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.

- 13.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Education Department in February, March and April 2015. The update included statistical information and highlighted key work undertaken.
- 13.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The Council noted that a number of paramedic programmes appeared under pressure to increase the size and frequency of their cohorts to address workforce planning demands and consequently were proposing major changes and new pathways with minimal notice. As a result, this had increased the number of conditions placed against these programmes and recommendations for revisits;
 - Concern was expressed that whilst programme providers may be looking to increase the size of cohorts, the resources were not in place to support this;
 - A parallel was drawn between what had happened with social workers and what was currently happening with paramedics and concern was expressed that responses to a shortage of professionals over a long period can sometimes switch the focus from quality to quantity;
 - The Council noted that the onus was on the education provider to inform HCPC if they wished to increase their cohort and this would be done through the major change process;
 - There was a different approach to paramedic training in different parts of England with the Health Education England commissioning some training and ambulance trusts commissioning other training;
 - We were due to conclude the social work programme approval process and then a review would be carried out alongside a separate review of the Standards of Proficiency.
- 13.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 14.15/103 Information Technology report (report ref:-HCPC63/15)

- 14.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 14.2 The Council noted the main activities of the information Technology department.
- 14.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - In response to a question about whether a benefits realisation review was carried out after projects concluded, the Council noted that 'lessons learnt' reviews were carried out but it was down to individual

- departments and project leads to realise the benefits and match those against the business cases;
- There was some discussion about how the network would be extended to provide coverage for 405 Kennington Road and the Council noted that there would not be a physical cable joining properties.
- 14.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 15.15/104 Communications report (report ref:-HCPC64/15)

- 15.1 The Council received a paper from the Communications department.
- 15.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Communications Department since the last meeting of Council. It included statistical information and highlighted key work undertaken across the range of communication channels.
- 15.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - In response to a question about whether our communications are reactionary or proactive, the Council noted that generally we took a proactive approach with the exception of Fitness to Practise case queries where we took a reactive stance;
 - In relation to the attendance at events by professions statistics detailed on page 10, the Council noted that these figures were as expected.
 However, the Communications team would continue to consider whether there were any professions that needed reaching out to;
 - Feedback from recent events in Leamington Spa and Edinburgh had been very positive. Feedback would continue to be used to inform future events;
 - Following discussion at the previous Council meeting in relation to signposting on the website, the Council noted that a new version of the site was about to be deployed.
- 15.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 16.15/105 Finance report (report ref:-HCPC65/15)

- 16.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 16.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Finance Department since the March 2015 meeting of Council. It included statistical information and highlighted key work undertaken.

- 16.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The contract with Bircham Dyson Bell had now been signed;
 - •
 - A new Finance Business Partner would be starting in the Department in July. The role includes producing the monthly management accounts and supporting budget holders with financial analysis;
 - The Council noted a 2014-2015 operating surplus of £331k subject to audit. The upward property revaluation was based on indexation and is subject to review by a professional surveyor;
 - The cash balance at 31 March 2015 was £18M against a forecast figure of £14M. This was because the £18M actual balance included £3.9M of direct debit collections which were initiated before the year end, but the forecast had assumed that those receipts would be collected in April.;
 - Concern was expressed in relation to a surplus being made for the financial year 2014-2015 against a backdrop of a fee rise. In response, the Council noted that even with a fee rise, there was a projected deficit in both 2015-2016 and 2016-2017 because the cost base was increasing.
- 16.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Item 17.15/106 Human Resources report (report ref:-HCPC66/15)

- 17.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 17.2 The Council noted the main activities of the Human Resources department.
- 17.3 The Council noted that it was pleasing to see a high number of partner applications both in terms of the popularity of working with HCPC and also in respect of the HCPC having a large pool from which to select good quality candidates
- 17.4 The Council discussed and approved the paper.

Item 18.15/107 Chief Executive's Summary of key issues

18.1 The Chief Executive noted that it does not take long for the number of Fitness to Practise cases per case manager to increase which, in turn, leads to an increase in the length of time for cases to be heard. However, the Executive were continually monitoring cases per case manager and other indicators and taking corrective action where necessary.

Items to Note

The Council noted the following reports:	:-
--	----

Item 19.15/108 Report on regulation of herbal medicines and practitioners (report ref:-HCPC67/15)

Item 20.15/109 Reports from Council representatives at external meetings (report ref:-HCPC68/15)

Item 21.15/110 Minutes of the Education and Training Committee meeting held on Thursday 5 March 2015 (report ref:-HCPC69/15)

Item 22.15/111 Any other business

.

22.1 There were no additional items for consideration.

Chair:	 	
_		
Date:		

Council

Minutes of the 98th meeting of the Health and Care Professions Council as follows:-

Date: Thursday 14 May 2015

Time: 9.30am

Venue: The Council Chamber, Health and Care Professions Council, Park

House,

184 Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Present: Anna van der Gaag (Chair)

Elaine Buckley
Stephen Cohen
Richard Kennett
Sonya Lam
Eileen Mullan
Joanna Mussen
Robert Templeton
Graham Towl
Joy Tweed
Nicola Wood

Stephen Wordsworth

In attendance:

John Barwick, Acting Director of Fitness to Practise (Items 1-15) Jonathan Bracken, Solicitor to HCPC (Items 5-15)

Roy Dunn, Head of Business Process Improvement (Items 1-15)

Liz Craig, PA to the Director of Education (Items 1-15)

Brendon Edmonds, Head of Educational Development (Items 1-15)

Edward Foster, Stakeholder Communications Officer (Items 1-15)

Guy Gaskins, Director of Information Technology (Items 1-15)

Andy Gillies, Director of Finance (Items 1-15)

Michael Guthrie, Director of Policy and Standards (Items 1-15)

Grant Imlach, Media and PR Manager (Items 1-15)

Jonathan Jones, Stakeholder Communications Manager (Items 1-15)

Jacqueline Ladds, Director of Communications (Items 1-15)

Louise Lake, Director of Council and Committee Services

Greg Ross-Sampson, Director of Operations (Items 1-15)

Alan Shillabeer, Investigations Manager, FtP (Items 1-15)

Marc Seale, Chief Executive and Registrar Eve Seall, Head of Case Management (Items 1-15) Rebekah Tailor, Media and PR Officer (Items 1-15)

Public Agenda – Part 1

Item 1.15/112 Chair's welcome and introduction

1.1. The Chair welcomed Council members and those seated in the public gallery to the 98th meeting of Council.

Item 2.15/113 Apologies for absence

2.1 There were no apologies.

Item 3.15/114 Approval of Agenda

3.1 The Council approved the agenda.

Item 4.15/115 Declaration of Members' interests

- 4.1 Nicola Wood declared an interest in relation to item 9, 'Information Governance report' as she is a non-executive director of the Information Commissioner's Office Management Board.
- 4.2 Elaine Buckley, Sonya Lam, Robert Templeton, Graham Towl, Anna van der Gaag and Stephen Wordsworth (as registrant members of Council) declared an interest in relation to item 5, 'Outcomes of the consultation on HCPC fees'.

Items for discussion/approval

Strategy and Policy

Item 5.15/116 Outcomes of the consultation on HCPC fees (report ref:-HCPC70/15)

- 5.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 5.2 The Council noted that a consultation was held between 27 March 2015 and 6 May 2015 on proposals to increase the registration fees. The Council is required to consult the Education and Training Committee before it varies the registration fees and before it makes Rules in connection with the payment of fees. The Education and Training Committee noted the paper at their meeting held earlier that day.

- 5.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - Approximately 2400 consultation responses were received with the majority of the respondents unhappy at the proposal to increase the fee. However, this represents only a very small percentage of our total number of registrants;
 - In 2006, there were approximately 1150 respondents to a similar consultation. However, the size of the Register in 2006 was approximately 50% of the current registrant population. Furthermore, with the introduction of an online consultation tool, it is now easier for individuals to respond;
 - The change to the proposal to increase the restoration fee was welcomed;
 - That the word 'reluctantly' needed to be repeated in paragraph 5.3 under 'Our comments and decisions' to emphasise that we were proposing the fee increase out of necessity rather than choice;
 - Concern was expressed in relation to the consultation timeframe although Council noted the reason for this;
 - Whilst there was a reluctance to increase the fees, it was important to note that the HCPC registration and renewal fees remained the lowest compared to other healthcare regulators;
 - The fee rise was in large part a consequence of external factors beyond our control (i.e. the PSA levy, and increasing FTP caseload). However, it was also necessary to invest in our resources to ensure the sustainability of the organisation in order that we continue to deliver effective, efficient and cost-effective regulation;
 - It was important to ensure appropriate communications in relation to the fee rise. To that end, members of the Council and employees would be provided with a 'Fees FAQ';
 - That no commitment was being made in terms of future increases to the HCPC registration fees since the PSA had not provided assurances about increases to their levy.

5.4 The Council agreed:-

- (i) The fee levels as set out in the attached document effective (subject to necessary amendments to the relevant Rules) from 1 August 2015.
- (ii) The text of the consultation responses document (subject to minor editing amendments and any changes agreed by the Education and Training Committee and by the Council at this meeting).

(iii) To make the Rules in Appendix 1 by applying the Council seal.

Item 6.15/117 Review of Registration Appeals Process (report ref:-HCPC71/15)

- 6.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 6.2 The Council noted the handling of registration appeals over the three year period January 2012 December 2014 and how the Executive intended to transfer the day-to-day management of registration appeals from Fitness to Practise Department to the Registration Department, subject to Council approval.
- 6.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - Concern was expressed in terms of the number of Registration Appeal Hearings and the number of Council members that were eligible to Chair Registration Appeals;
 - Given that 47% of registration appeals were upheld, a review was welcomed since there should be a mechanism to allow these applicants onto the Register sooner;
 - It was hoped that the revised process would see applications dealt with before they reached a hearing and so this in turn should lead to a decrease in costs of hearings although greater resources may be required earlier in the process;
 - In response to a suggestion that 'virtual' panels could be held, the Council noted that telephone-based hearings were being explored in the hope that this could accelerate the process.
- 6.4 The Council discussed the paper and endorsed the approach set out.

Item 7.15/118 Revisions to the Standard of Acceptance for Allegations (report ref:-HCPC72/15)

- 7.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 7.2 The Council noted the key amendments to the 'The Standard of Acceptance for Allegations'. It was noted that the paper was not intended to raise the bar in terms of the standard of acceptance itself but instead provide greater clarity around the decision making process at this stage.
- 7.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - In response to a question about whether service users are consulted in terms of public-facing materials, the Council noted that the majority of documents went through the 'plain English' process. Furthermore, input

is sought as appropriate, for example, the Patients Association were involved in the review of closure letter templates;

- The suggestion was made that the word 'unrestricted' in the final paragraph of page 5 of 17, "raise doubts about whether they should be allowed to continue to practise, either on an unrestricted basis or at all" should be replaced with 'restricted';
- The Council noted that all Fitness to Practise documentation was subject to the HCPC's data retention policy. Should an allegation not meet the standard of acceptance, although it does not form part of a registrant's formal record, the intelligence would be taken into account should a further allegation be made. This information would be retained in line with the retention policy and the standard of acceptance also provided that cases received 5 years after events took place would not generally be pursued unless there were exceptional circumstances;
- With reference to page 9 of 17, concern was expressed at the overreliance on a Court to deal with a complaint made against an expert witness who is on the HCPC register. It was noted that unless there was an additional dimension to the complaint, it would not be a matter for the HCPC. The suggestion was made that the section be reordered to make that explicit at the start;
- There was concern over the use of the term 'credible evidence' since it would be difficult to define. In response, the Council noted that this together with the term 'reasonable person' was difficult to define. However, these are familiar descriptors within the legal context.
- 7.4 The Council approved the revised policy 'Standard of Acceptance for Allegations.'

Item 8.15/119 Risk Register (report ref:-HCPC73/15)

- 8.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 8.2 The Council noted the contents of the risk register which reflects current and recent levels of risk recognised by risk owners.
- 8.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - The Council noted the process for reviewing the risk register with risk owners keeping their relevant risks under continued review and the Executive Management Team reviewing the document as a whole on a regular basis with consideration of the Risk Register by the Audit Committee at alternate meetings. Furthermore, the Audit Committee receive a presentation at each meeting from a number of 'risk owners' and this is carried out on a cyclical basis;

- Concern was raised about those risks that run across different functions and whether there was a process for identifying these risks to ensure they are captured within the risk register. The Council noted that extracts from the risk register are appended to the annual work plans and so risks impacting on more than one function would appear in all relevant work plans in order to reduce the risk of anything 'slipping through the net'.
- 8.4 The Council noted the paper.

Item 9.15/120 Information Governance Report (report ref:-HCPC74/15)

- 9.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 9.2 The Council noted the Information Governance function within the Secretariat Department is responsible for the HCPC's ongoing compliance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 (FOIA), the Environmental Information Regulations 2004 (EIR) and the Data Protection Act 1998 (DPA). The Department also manages the HCPC's relationship with the Information Commissioner's Office (ICO), the information rights body and deals with information incidents that occur across the organisation.
- 9.3 During discussion, the following points were made:-
 - Employees, Council members and Partners were expected to undergo 'computer-based training' (CBT) in relation to data security and a new training programme was going to be deployed shortly;
 - The Council noted that when Fitness to Practise bundles are sent out, an email is sent to the intended recipients advising them that the bundle has been posted and asks them to contact the FTP administration team if they do not receive it. The Fitness to Practise department were looking at best practise in relation to data security and circulation of documentation;
 - It was important to ensure a manageable workload for employees to decrease the likelihood of data breaches occurring as a result of human error;
 - In response to a question about document destruction for partners in receipt of large bundles of paper, the Council noted that the HCPC do offer to cover the cost of a 'shredder'. In addition, a greater level of redaction was now applied to much of the paperwork and so there was less concern about any potential data breach;
 - Consideration was being given to sending out some paperwork electronically, for example registration appeals. This would minimise any issues in terms of data security;

- The Council would welcome regular updates in this area of work and a briefing from the Information Governance Manager.
- 9.4 The Council discussed the paper and noted the contents.

Corporate Governance

Item 10.15/121 Membership of the Education and Training Committee (report ref:-HCPC75/15)

- 10.1 The Council received a paper from the Executive.
- 10.2 The Council noted the proposed changes to the membership of the Education and Training Committee and, in addition, the change in approach to attendance at Education and Training Panel meetings.
- 10.3 The Council agreed:-
 - (i) The composition of the Education and Training Committee as follows:-

Education and Training
Elaine Buckley
Sonya Lam
Eileen Mullan
Joanna Mussen
Joy Tweed
Steve Wordsworth

(ii) All members of the Education and Training Committee would now be expected to attend all meetings of the Education and Training Panel.

Item 11.15/122 Minutes of the Audit Committee meeting held on 19 March 2015 (report ref:-HCPC76/15)

- 11.1 The Council considered the minutes of the 49th meeting of the Audit Committee.
- 11.2 The Chair of the Audit Committee updated the Council on various aspects of the minutes. In response to a question about the business of the Committee, the Council noted that this was within the Committee's Terms of Reference which were in the gift of Council. If Members felt that these needed to be reconsidered, this could be done as part of the governance discussion at the October away day.
- 11.3 The Council considered the minutes endorsed the recommendations contained within.

Item 12.15/123 Any other business

12.1 There were no additional items for consideration that day.

Item 13.15/124 Meeting evaluation

13.1 The Council noted that the papers were of a high standard.

Item 14.15/125 Date and time of next meeting

14.1 The next meeting of the Council would be held on Monday 29 June 2015 at 2pm and Tuesday 30 June 2015 at 9.30pm at Park House, SE11 4BU.

Item 15.15/126 Resolution

The Council adopted the following resolution:

'The Council hereby resolves that the remainder of the meeting shall be held in private, because the matters being discussed relate to the following;

- (a) information relating to a registrant, former registrant or application for registration;
- (b) information relating to an employee or office holder, former employee or applicant for any post or office;
- (c) the terms of, or expenditure under, a tender or contract for the purchase or supply of goods or services or the acquisition or disposal of property;
- (d) negotiations or consultation concerning labour relations between the Council and its employees;
- (e) any issue relating to legal proceedings which are being contemplated or instituted by or against the Council;
- (f) action being taken to prevent or detect crime to prosecute offenders;
- (g) the source of information given to the Council in confidence; or
- (h) any other matter which, in the opinion of the Chair, is confidential or the public disclosure of which would prejudice the effective discharge of the Council's functions.'

Item	Reason for Exclusion
16	С

Item 16.15/127 Minutes of the Private part of the Council meeting held on 26 March 2015 (report ref:-HCPC77/15)

- 16.1 The Council received the minutes of the private part of the Council meeting held on 26 March 2015.
- 16.2 The Council approved the minutes, subject to the deletion of Eileen Mullan from the list of attendees.

Chair:	
Date:	