

Council, 27 March 2014

Risk Register Update

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

The Risk Register is the HCPC document that identifies the risks that the Health & Care Professions Council is exposed to. The Risk Register is published twice yearly, February and September, following a review by the Risk Owners.

Decision

The Council is asked to discuss the detail and mitigations around HCPC's Top Risks. There is one risk remaining at High, post mitigation. There are 6 risks remaining at Medium level post mitigation.

Background information

The Audit Committee receive the risk register and periodically hear from all risk owners, to provide additional detail and feedback on their specific risks.

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

Appendices

Risk Register – January 2014.

Date of paper

16 March 2014

Risk Register

Marc Seale, Chief Executive & Registrar Report to Council, March 2014





Page 1 Cover

Jul 2013 Risk Assessment

Contents	Page
Contents page	2
Top 10 HCPC risks	3
Changes since last published	4
Strategic risks	5
Communications risks	7
Continuing Professional Development (CPD) risks now in Registrations risks	13
Corporate Governance risks	8
Data Security risks Education risks	23 11
Finance risks	19,20,21
Fitness to Practise risks	17,20,21
HR risks	15
Information Technology risks	9
Legal risks	16
Operations risks	6
Partner risks	10
Pensions risks	22
Policy & Standards risks	18
Project Management risks	12
Quality Management risks	13
Registration risks	14
Appendix i Glossary and Abbreviations	24
Appendix ii HCPC Risk Matrix	25
HCPC Risk Matrix terms detail	26

Page 2 Risk Contents

"Top 10" Risks (High & Medium after mitigation)

Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the **CURRENT RISK** Description ongoing risk) Mitigation I Mitigation II Mitigation III SCORE If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan High Interuption to electricity supply (pre-mit 16) Facilities Manager Relocate to other buildings on site Accurate and realistic 13.3 Tribunal exceptional costs (pre-mit 25) FTP Director Quality of operational processes Quality of legal advice Medium forecasting Quality of operational Dynamism and quality of 1.5 Loss of reputation (pre-mit 20) Chief Executive Quality of governance procedures Medium procedures Comms strategy Flood barrier protection to prevent 2.11 Basement flooding (pre-mit 16) Facilities Manager Medium Rapid increase in number of allegations and FTP Director Medium Accurate and realistic budgeting 13.4 Resource planning resultant legal costs (pre-mit 16) Financial distress of trade suppliers causes Financial monitoring of key suppliers 15.21 Finance Director Escrow agreements Alternative suppliers Medium loss of service (pre-mit 16) via Dun & Bradstreet Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of Consultation. Stds determined by Appropriate legal advice HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Chief Executive Medium PLG's. Agreement by Council. Guidance (pre-mit 15)

Risks listed in order of CURRENT RISK SCORE, then PRE_MITIGATION SCORE

Historic Risk Scores

Sept 2013 Risk	Feb 2013 Risk	Sept 2012 Risk	Feb 2012 Risk	July 2011 Risk	Feb 2011 Risk	Sept 2010 Risk	Feb 2010 Risk	Sept 2009 Risk
High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High
Medium	Medium	Medium	High	High	High	High	High	High
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	-
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	-
Medium	Medium	Medium						
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium
Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium

Changes since the previous iteration of HCPC's Risk Register

Category	Ref#	Description	Nature of change in this version
Strategic	1.2	Unexpected change in UK legislation	Reword mitigation II
Operational		Inability to communicate via post	Impact and likelihood lowered as online take up improves
	2.12	Significant disruption to UK transport network - external events	Description expanded to indicate where travel is occurring
Education	7.3	Inability to conduct visits or not submitting data	Lower likelihood 4 to 2
Quality Management	4 /	Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	New risk
Legal	12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HPO	Update description
Data Security	17	Data Security changed to Information Security	Rename title of risk group

Overview of Risk Management process

Throughout the year exisiting risks are continually monitored and assessed by Risk Owners against Likelihood, and Impact on HCPC, the effectiveness of mitigations and the levels of residual risk.

Future risks are also documented, evaluated and monitored against the same criteria.

Every six months these changes and additions to risks are updated in the risk register and formally documented by the Director of Operations or Head of Business Process Improvement, and the Top Ten Risks (High & Medium only after mitigation) are presented to the Audit Committee.

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Strategic

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
1	Strategic	1.1	HCPC fails to deliver SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill	Council	5	1	5	Delivery of HCPC Strategy	Publication of Annual Report	-	Low	Low
			Links to 7.1-7.4, 18.1, 8.1-8.3, 10.4, 10.5, 11.4, 15.9									
	Strategic	1.2	legislation	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Relationship with Government depts	Enviromental scanning	=	Low	Low
			Links to 2.2, 15.14									
	Strategic	1.3	Incompatible SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill and EU legislation	Chief Executive	1	3	3		Membership of Alliance of UK Health Regulators on Europe (lobby group)	-	Low	Low
	Strategic	1.4	Failure to maintain a relationship with PSA (formerly CHRE)	Chief Executive & Chair	5	1	5	HCPC Chair and Chief Executive relationship with PSA	Communications	-	Low	Low
	Strategic	1.5	Loss of reputation	Chief Executive & Chair	5	4	20	Quality of governance procedures		Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium
	Strategic	1.6	Failure to abide by current Equality & Diversity legislation	Chief Executive	4	2	8	Equality & Diversity scheme	templovees implimentation of scheme	Equality & Diversity working group	Low	Low

Page 5 Strategic Risks

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Operations

Ref Catagogov Ref e Description Description													
Operations 2-1 Department 2-2 Regol (Increase in Registrart Department Depar	Re	f Category	Ref#	Description	person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing	mitigations Jan	mitigations Jan	Impact x	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	Mitigation Jan	
Departations 2.5 Departations	2	Operations	2.1		Facilities Manager	4	2	8			-	Low	Low
Operations 2.3 Unacceptable service standards of prector of Operations 1.0 match working of		Operations	2.2	numbers	Chief Executive and EMT	3	5	15			-	Low	Low
Operations Operat				Links to 1.2, 13.4									
Departations. Lingstact & Liberithood of Copperations and postal services (e.g. Postal services (e.g. Postal postal postal services (e.g. Postal postal postal services (e.g. Postal postal postal postal postal services (e.g. Postal posta		Operations	2.3	Unacceptable service standards	Director of Operations	5	4	20				Low	Low
Inspect & Likelihood dropped from 4.4 Coperations Likelihood dropped from 4.4				Links to 9.1, 10.4									
Operations 2.5 leading to insellity to use Park House 1.5 leading to insellity to use Park House House 1.5 leading to insellity to use Park House House or rented 1.5 leading to insellity to expend House Insellity to use Park House Insellity to use P		Impact & Likelihood dropped from	2.4	postal services (e.g. Postal	Facilities Manager	3	3	9		Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan		Medium	Medium
Operations 2.6 employees Pacilities Manager 4 3 12 Origoing Space planning Additional premises purchase of rented - Low Low Links to 5.2 Links to 6.2 Li		Operations	2.5	leading to inability to use Park		4	5	20			-	Low	Low
Operations 2.7 Interruption to electricity supply Facilities Manager 4 4 4 16 Relocate to other buildings on site 0R Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan Plan		Operations	2.6	employees	Facilities Manager	4	3	12	Ongoing Space planning	Additional premises purchase or rented	-	Low	Low
Operations Operat		Operations	2.7		Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Relocate to other buildings on site		-	High	High
Operations 2.9 Interruption to water supply Facilities Manager 2 2 4 Reduce consumption with legislation Invoke DR plan if over 24 hrs Low Low Diverse routing for the physical telephone system failure causing protracted service outage Director of IT A 3 12 Support and maintenance contract for hardware and software of the ACD and PABX Dand PABX Dand PABX Dand PABX Department of the ACD and PABX Department of the ACD and PABX Department of the physical telephone lines from the two exchanges with different media types Operations Updated description Director of Operations, Updated description Sonow, rain, ash; civil unrest or industrial actor; disrupts planned external activities Department of the ACD and PABX Dand PABX		Operations	2.8	Interruption to gas supply	Facilities Manager	1	2	2	Temporary heaters to impacted areas			Low	Low
Telephone system failure causing protracted service outage Director of IT 4 3 12 Support and maintenance contract for hardware of the ACD and PABX Director of IT 4 3 12 Support and maintenance contract for hardware of the ACD and PABX ACD and PABX Elephone lines from the two exchanges with different media types Operations Updated description Operations Use of alternate networks Operations Health & Safety of employees Operations Operations Operations Operations Use of alternate networks Operations Health & Safety of employees Operations Operati		Operations	2.9	Interruption to water supply	Facilities Manager	2	2	4	Reduce consumption		Invoke DR plan if over 24 hrs	Low	Low
Operations. Updated description 2.12		Operations	2.10	Telephone system failure causing protracted service outage	Director of IT	4	3	12			telephone lines from the two exchanges with different media	Low	Low
Operations. Updated description 2.12 2.12		Operations	2.11	Basement flooding	Facilities Manager	4	4	16	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	-	=	Medium	Medium
Operations (former Health & Safety of employees y11.5) Health & Safety of employees y11.5) Health & Safety of employees Facilities Manager 5 4 20 Health & Safety Facilities and procedures H&S Assessments H&		Updated	2.12	transport network by environmental extremes e.g. snow, rain, ash; civil unrest or industrial acton; disrupts planned		3	2	6	Use of alternate networks		Recovery/Business Continuity	Low	Low
Links to 4.9, 6.3		Operations	(formerl	, , ,		5	4	20		H&S Assessments		Low	Low
				Links to 4.9, 6.3									

Page 6 Operations

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Communications

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
3	Communications	3.1	Failure to inform public Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy.	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically public information campaigns, multi media advetising, distribution of public information materials, and web.	-	Low	Low
	Communications	3.2	Loss of support from Key Stake holders including professional bodies, employers or government	Director of Comms	5	3	15	Delivery of communications strategy,		Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications	3.3	Links to 1.5 Inability to inform stakeholders	Director of Comms	4	1	4	Invoke Disaster Recovery Plan	Up to date Comms DR plan available		Low	Low
	Communications	3.3	following crisis	Director of Commis	4	ı	4	Illivoke Disaster Recovery Flair	Op to date Commis DR plan available	-	Low	LOW
	Communications		Failure to inform Registrants Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Delivery of communications strategy		Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
								_				
	Communications		Publication of material not approved for release	Director of Comms	4	2	8		Adherence to operational plans (Social Media planner)	-	Low	Low

Page 7 Communications

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Corporate Governance

												ļ	
F	lef	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2014	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
	4	Corporate Governance	4.1	Council inability to make decisions	Secretary to Council & Chair	3	1	3	Regular meetings, agendas and clear lines of accountability between Council and committees		Attendance by external professionals as required	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.2	Links to 4.4 Council members conflict of interest	Chair	4	4	16	Disclosure of members' interests to the Secretariat and ongoing Council & committee agenda item	Annual reminder to update Register of Interests	Member induction and training	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.3	Poor decision-making eg conflicting advice or conflicting advice and decisions	Chair	4	1	4	Well-researched & drafted decision papers, Clear lines of accountability and scheme of delegation	Chair's involvement in the induction and relevant training of members	Attendance by external professionals, as required.	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.4	Failure to meet Council/Committee quorums	Secretary to Council	4	3	12	Clear communication of expectations of Council members' duties upfront		Committee secretaries and chairs advised that inquorate meetings must not proceed	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.5	Links to 4.1 Members' poor performance	Chair	4	1	4	Appointment against competencies	Annual appraisal of Council members	Removal under Sch 1, Para 9(1)(f) of the HSWPO 2001	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.6	Poor performance by the Chair	Council	5	1	5	Appointment against competencies	Power to remove the Chair under Sch 1, Article 12(1) C of the HSWPO 2001	-	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.7	Poor performance by Chief Executive	Chair	5	1	5	Performance reviews and regular "one to ones" with the Chair	Contract of Employment	-	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.8	Improper financial incentives offered to Council members/employees	Chair and Chief Executive	4	2	8	Gifts & Inducements policy		Induction training re:adherence to Nolan principles & Bribery Act 2010	Low	Low
ĺ		Corporate Governance	4.9	Failure to ensure the Health & Safety of Council Members	Secretary to Council , Facilities Manager & Finance Director	4	2	8	Safety briefing at start of each Council or Committee meeting.	H&S information on Council Extranet	Personal Injury and Travel insurance	Low	Low
	,	Corporate Governance	4.10	Links to 6.3, 11.5 Member recruitment problem (with the requisite skills)	Chair	4	2	8	Maintenance of a detailed role description for these positional applicants on to HCPC or its committees	Use of skills matrix in recruitment exercise	Induction of panel members	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.11	Links to 6.1, 11.13 Expense claim abuse by members	Secretary to Council	4	2	8	Members Code of Conduct (public office)	Clear and comprehensive Council agreed policies posted on the Council member Extranet and made clear during induction	Budget holder review and authorisation procedures	Low	Low
Ī		Corporate Governance	4.12	Operationalise Section 60 legislation	Council	5	2	10	Scheme of delegation	MIS	EMT & CDT	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.13	Failure to comply with DPA 1998 or FOIA 2000, leading to ICO action	Secretary to Council	3	3	9	Legal advice	Clear ISO processes	-	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.14	Transition to smaller Council	Chair & Secretary to Council	3	2	6	Oversight by PSA		Core processes to continue unchanged	Low	Low
		Corporate Governance	4.15	Failure to adhere to the requirements of the Bribery Act 2010	Chair & Secretary to Council	4	2	8	Suite of policies and processes related to the Bribery Act	Oversight of HCPC processes that could be vulnerable to bribery, by EMT and Internal Audit	Compliant processes designed for HCPC as a matter of course	Low	Low

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Information Technology

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
5	ΙΤ	5.1	Software Virus damage	Director of IT	4	5	20	Anti-virus software deployed at several key points. Perimeter controls enabled.	Adherence to IT policy, procedures and training	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Low	Low
	IT	5.2	Links to 2.3, 10.2 Technology obsolescence, (Hard/SoftWare)	Director of IT	2	2	4	tochnology	Employ small core of mainstream technology with recognised support and maintenance agreements	Accurately record technology assets.	Low	Low
	IΤ	5.3	Links to 2.6, 10.2 Fraud committed through IT services	Director of IT	3	3	9	Appropriate and proportionate access restrictions to business data. System audit trails.	Regular, enforced strong password changes.	Regular externally run security tests.	Low	Low
			Links to 10.2 and 17.1									
	п	5.4		Director of IT	4	3	12		IT continuity plan is reviewed when a service changes or a new service is added	Appropriate and proportionate technical solutions are employed. IT technical staff appropriately trained.	Low	Low
	ІТ	5.5	Malicious damage from unauthorised access	Director of IT	4	2	8	Security is designed into the IT architecture, using external expert consultancy	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Periodic and systematic proactive security reviews of the infrastructure. Application of security patches in a timely manner. Physical access to the IT infrastructure restricted and controlled.	Low	Low
	ІТ	5.6	Data service disruption (via utility action)	Director of IT	5	1	5	Redundant services	Diverse routing of services where possible	Appropriate service levels with utility providers and IT continuity plan	Low	Low

Page 9 Information Technology

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
	Partners	6.2	Incorrect interpretation of law and/or SI's resulting in PSAHSE review	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	2	4	8	•	·	Regular appraisal system	Low	Low
	Partners	6.4	Partners poor performance	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	4	3	12	Regular training	Regular appraisal system	Partner Complaints Process &Partner Code of Conduct	Low	Low
	Partners	6.5	Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO in use of Partners	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	3	2			Daily Email notificaton of partner registrant lapse	-	Low	Low
	Partners	6.6	Adequate number and type of partner roles	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	2		Regular review of availability of existing pool of	Annual forecasting of future partner requirements to ensure that they are budgetted for.	Staggered partner agreements across professions for Panel Member and Panel Chair to ensure adequate supply in line with the eight year rule.	Low	Low
	Partners	6.7	User departments using non- active partners	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	3			Current partner lists available to user departments on shared drive.	-	Low	Low

Page 10 Partners

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Education

										•		
Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
7	Education	7.1	Failure to detect low education providers standards	Director of Education	4	2	8	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Regular training of employees and visitors	Memorandums of understandings with other regulators (e.g. CQC and Care Councils)	Low	Low
	Education	7.2	Links to 1.1, 4.3, 6.4 Education providers refusing visits or not submitting data	Director of Education	3	2	6	Legal powers (HSWPO 2001)	Delivery of Education Dpt supporting activities as documented in regular work	-	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1									
	Education	7.3	Inability to conduct visits and monitoring tasks	Director of Education	4	2	8	Adequate resourcing, training and visit scheduling	Approvals & monitoring processes	Temporary staff hire to backfill or clear work backlogs	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1, 6.1, 11.2 & 11.3									
	Education	7.4	, , ,	Chief Executive or Director of Education	5	2	10	,	Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Publications, Newsletters, website content, inclusion in consultations and relevant PLGs, consultations with education providers	Low	Low
1			Links to 1.1. 14.2					·				
	Education	7.5	Education database failure	Director of IT	3	2	6		In house and third party skills to support system	Included in future DR/BC tests	Low	Low
	Education		Loss or significant change to funding, commissioing and placement opportunities for approved programmes	Director of Education	3	2			Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Regular training of employees and visitors	Low	Low

Page 11 Education

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Project Management

R	ef	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the on-going risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Sept 2013
	В	Project Management	8.1	Fee change processes not operational by required date	Director of Finance Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	-	Low	Low
		Project Management	8.2	Failure to regulate a new profession or a post-registration qualification as stipulated by legislation	Project Lead Project Portfolio Manager	5	1	5	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Assess lessons to be learned from previous projects	Low	Low
		Project Management		Failure to deliver a system & process review of the Education department	Director of Education Project Portfolio Manager	3	2	6	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Ensure robust testing including load	Low	Low
		Project Management		Failure to deliver a system & w of the HR & Partners departments	Director of HR Project Portfolio Manager	3	2	6	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Project Initiation stage to pay particular attention to project scope and breadth/reach of project	Low	Low
		Project Management	8.15	Professional Indemnity Insurance. Unable to delivery statutory requirements	Director of Operations & Project Portfolio Manager	5	3	15	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Work with DH to ensure it is possible to deliver the requirement	Low	Low
		Project Management		Annotation of the Register. Failure to deliver statutory requirements	Director of Operations & Project Portfolio Manager	5	2	10	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
		Project Management		Organisation wide resourcing may impact project delivery	EMT & Project Portfolio Manager	3	4	12	Manage resources accordingly	Accept changes to planned delivery		Med	Med

Page 12 Project Management

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Quality Management

R	ef	Category	Ref#		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
	9	Quality Management	9.1	Loss of ISO 9001:2008 Certification	Director of Operations, Head of Business Improvement	4	3	12	Regular & internal audits	QMS standards applied across HCPC	Management buy - in	Low	Low
Г				Links to 2.3, 10.3									
		Quality Management		Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	ЕМТ	5	2	10			Extend ISO systems as required	Low	New

Page 13 Quality Management

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Registrations

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
10	Registration	10.1	Customer service failures	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	4	20	Accurate staffing level forecasts	Adequate staff resourcing & training	Supporting automation infrastructure eg call centre systems, NetRegulate system enhancements, registration restructure	Low	Low
	Registration	10.2	Links to 11.1, 11.2 Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate Registration system failure	Director of IT	5	3	15		Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements.	Annual IT Continuity tests	Low	Low
	Registration	10.3	Links to 5.1-5.3 and 17.1 Inability to detect fraudulent applications	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10		Policy and procedures supported by	Validation of submitted information, Education & ID checks	Low	Low
	Registration		Links to 9.1, 17.1 and 17.2 Backlogs of registration and applications	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	3	12	Continually refine model of accurate demand- forecasting, to predict employees required to prevent backlogs, and service failures	Process streamlining	Maintain required employee attendence and time keeping to service applicants and registrants	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1							redistratio		
	Registration	10.5	Mistake in the Registration process leading to liability for compensation to Registrant or Applicant	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Audits by Registration Management, system	£2.5K. Limit £1M. (Doesn't cover	Policy and procedures supported by ISO quality audits and process controls/checks	Low	Low
18	CPD	10.6 (18.1- 7.5)	CPD processes not effective	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	2	8		Appropriately trained members of the	Monitor and regulator feedback to the Education & Training Committee	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1	1								

Page 14 Registration

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

ш	R		
П	П		

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations Jan 2014	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
11	HR	11.1		Chair, Chief Executive and EMT	4	4	16		Departmental training (partial or full) and process documentation	-	Low	Low
	HR	11.2	High turnover of employees	HR Director	3	2	6	Remuneration and HR strategy	Regular performance reviews	Exit interview analysis	Low	Low
			Links to 11.3									
	HR	11.3	Inability to recruit suitable employees	HR Director	2	2	4	HR Strategy and adequate resourcing of the HR dept	Careful specification of recruitment adverts and interview panel selection	Hire skilled temporary staff in the interim	Low	Low
			Links to 4.10, 6.1, 11.2, 11.8									
	HR		Lack of technical and managerial skills to delivery the strategy	Chief Executive	4	3	12	HR strategy and goals and objectives (buy in the skills v staff upskilling on the job v training)	Training needs analysis & training delivery.	Some projects or work initiatives delayed or outsourced	Low	Low
			Links to 1.1									
	HR	11.6	High sick leave levels	ЕМТ	2	3	6		Return to work interviews and sick leave monitoring	Regular progess reviews	Low	Low
	HR	11.7	Employee and ex-employee litigation	HR Director	4	3	12	Regular one on one sessions between manager and employee and regular performance reviews.	HR legislation and HR disciplinary policies	Employee surveys, Exit Interviews	Low	Low
	HR	11.8	Employer/employee inappropriate behaviour Links to 11.3	HR Director	4	4	16	Whistle blowing policy, Code of Conduct & Behaviour	Other HR policy and procedures	Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low
	HR		Non compliance with Employment	HR Director	5	2	10	HR Strategy	Obtain legislation updates and legal advice	HR policies and Manager training	Low	Low

Page 15 HR

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Legal Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing nitigations Jan risk)

Risk owner (primary person responsible for mitigations Jan 2014 Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014 RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013 Ref# Category Description Mitigation I Mitigation II Mitigation III Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. 5 3 12 15 Appropriate legal advice sought Medium Legal Chief Executive Medium including Rules, Standards & Agreement by Council. Guidance Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2 Legal challenge to HCPC 12.2 Chief Executive 4 4 16 Legal advice and ISO Communications Low Low Legal

Page 16 Legal

^{12.2} copied from FTP section 13.2 on22/07/09

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Fitness to Practise

Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
Fitness to Practise	13.1		FTP Director	4	4	16	Contractual and SLA arrangements with legal services providers(s)		Quality of operational procedures	Low	Low
		Links to 13.4, 15.2									
Fitness to Practise	13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs	FTP Director	5	5	25	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium	Medium
Fitness to Practise		costs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium	Medium
		Links to 13.1									
Fitness to Practise	13.5	Witness non-attendance	FTP Director	4	2	8	Vulnerable witness provisions in the legislation	Witness support programme	Witness summons	Low	Low
Fitness to Practise	13.6	Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees	FTP Director	5	5	25	Advice sought from the Police	Adequate facilities security	Periodic use of security contractors and other steps	Low	Low
Fitness to Practise	13.7	High Number of Registration Appeals	FTP Director & Director of Operations, Head of Registrations	3	5	15	Training and selection of Registration Assessors, so reasoned decisions are generated	Quality of operational processes	-	Low	Low
Fitness to Practise	13.8	Backlog of FTP cases	FTP Director	3	4	12	Annual reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	Quality of operational processes	Low	Low
Fitness to Practise	13.9	Excessive cases per Case Manager workload	FTP Director	3	4	12	Annual reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	-	Low	Low
		13.2 moved to 12.2	1								
Fitness to Practise	13.10	following a Case Management	Director of IT	5	3	15			Annual IT continuity tests	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise Fitness to Practise	Fitness to Practise	Fitness to Practise	Category Ref # Description Person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk) Fitness to Practise Links to 13.4, 15.2 Fitness to Practise 13.3 Tribunal exceptional costs FTP Director Fitness to Practise 13.4 Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs Links to 13.1. Fitness to Practise 13.5 Witness non-attendance FTP Director Fitness to Practise 13.6 Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees Fitness to Practise 13.7 Appeals FTP Director Practise 13.8 Backlog of FTP cases FTP Director Fitness to Practise 13.8 Backlog of FTP cases FTP Director Fitness to Practise 13.9 Excessive cases per Case Manager workload 13.2 moved to 12.2 Fitness to Practise 13.10 Protracted service outage following a Case Management Director of IT	Category Ref # Description Person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk) Fitness to Practise Links to 13.4, 15.2 Fitness to Practise 13.3 Tribunal exceptional costs FTP Director 5 Fitness to Practise 13.4 Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs Links to 13.1 Fitness to Practise 13.5 Witness non-attendance FTP Director 4 Fitness to Practise 13.6 Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees Fitness to Practise 13.7 Appeals FTP Director 5 Fitness to Practise 13.8 Backlog of FTP cases FTP Director 3 Fitness to Practise 13.8 Backlog of FTP cases FTP Director 3 Fitness to Practise 13.9 Excessive cases per Case Manager workload 13.2 moved to 12.2 Fitness to Practise 13.10 Protracted service outage Fitness to 13.10 Protracted service outage following a Case Managerment Director of IT 5	Category Ref # Description Person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk) Fitness to Practise 13.1 Legal cost over-runs FTP Director 4 4 4 Fitness to Practise 13.3 Tribunal exceptional costs FTP Director 5 5 Fitness to Practise 13.4 Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs Links to 13.1 Fitness to Practise 13.5 Witness non-attendance FTP Director 4 4 2 Fitness to Practise 13.6 Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees Fitness to Practise 13.7 High Number of Registration Appeals FTP Director 5 5 Fitness to Practise 13.8 Backlog of FTP cases FTP Director 3 3 5 Fitness to Practise 13.8 Backlog of FTP cases FTP Director 3 4 4 Fitness to Practise 13.9 Protracted service outage following a Case Management Director of IT 5 3	Category Ref # Description Person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk) Fitness to Practise Links to 13.4, 15.2 Fitness to Practise 13.3 Tribunal exceptional costs FTP Director 5 5 5 25 Fitness to Practise 13.4 Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs Links to 13.1 Tribunal exceptional costs FTP Director 4 4 4 16 Fitness to Practise 13.5 Witness non-attendance FTP Director 4 4 7 16 Fitness to Practise 13.6 Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees FTP Director 5 5 5 25 Fitness to Practise 13.7 All Registration Appeals FTP Director 5 5 5 25 Fitness to Practise 13.8 Backlog of FTP cases FTP Director 3 3 4 12 Fitness to Practise 13.9 Excessive cases per Case Management Director of IT 5 3 3 15 Fitness to Practise 13.2 Protracted service outage following a Case Management Director of IT 5 5 3 15	Category Ref # Description Descripti	Practise 13.1 Legal cost over-runs FTP Director 4 4 16 Contractual and SLA arrangements with legal services providers(s) Court Costs	Prices to Practise 13.1 Links to 13.1	Parties to Practise 13.1 Legal cost over-runs FTP Director 4 4 4 16 Contractual and SLA arrangements with legal services providers(s) Tribunal exceptional costs FTP Director 5 5 5 25 Quality of operational processes Accurate and realistic forecasting Quality of legal advice Medium Practise 13.4 Report of Practise 13.5 Winness non-attendance FTP Director 4 4 2 8 Vulnerable witness provisions in the legislation Practise 13.5 Winness non-attendance FTP Director 4 4 2 8 Vulnerable witness provisions in the legislation Practise 13.6 Employee/Partner physical assaults by Hearing platendess are subject to a flegal assaults by Hearing platendess are subject to a flegal assaults by Hearing attendees FTP Director 3 3 4 12 Annual reforecasting budget processes Monthly management reporting Quality of operational processes Low Practise 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practise 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practise 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practise 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practise 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practise 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practise 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practice 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practice 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Maintenance and support contracts for Annual IT continuity tests Low Practice 13.5 Effective backup and recovery procedures Procedures Procedures Procedures Procedure Proced

Page 17 Fitness to Practise

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Policy & Standards

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jun 2013
14	Policy & Standards		Incorrect process followed to establish stds/guidance/policy eg no relevant Council decision	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8		Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Quality mgt system & processes	Low	Low
			Links to 12.1									
	Policy & Standards	14.2	Inappropriate stds/guidance published eg stds are set at inappropriate level, are too confusing or are conflicting	Council/committees	4	1	4			Consultation with stakeholders & legal advice sought	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards		Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards	14.4	Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HCPC)	EMT	3	1	3	EMT responsible for remaining up to date relationships with governemnt depts and agencies.	HCPC's 5 year planning process	Legal advice sought	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards	14.5	PLG member recruitment without requisite skills and knowledge	Policy & Stds Director HCPC Chair, Secretary to Council(?)	4	1	4	Skills and knowledge identified in work plan	Recruitment policy	Council Scrutiny of PLG result	Low	Low
			Lnks to 4.10									
	Policy & Standards	14.6	Loss of Corporate Memory	Policy & Stds Director	3	3	9		Appropriate hand over and succession planning	Department training	Low	New

Page 18 Policy & Standards

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

15

organisations

Links to 5.3

personnel

Links to 5.3

(custody issue)

15.11

15.12

Finance

Finance

Unauthorised payments to

Unauthorised removal of assets

inance Director

Facilities Manager

3

3

2

2

6

6

Finance Risk owner (primary person responsible for Risk Score = Impact before | Likelihood before RISK score after RISK score after assessing and managing the ongoing mitigations Jan mitigations Jan Impact x Mitigation Jan Mitigation Jun Category risk) 2014 2014 Likelihood Mitigation I Mitigation II Mitigation III 2014 2013 Description Annual and Five Year Plan Maintain an appropriate level of cash reserves forecasting of income (volume Insufficient cash to meet to meet ongoing needs and comply with the 5 1 5 15.1 Finance Director Finance Regular cash forecasts and reviews & fees) and costs. Fee rises Low Low Reserves policy. Effective management of ommitments and DoH grant applications as collections and payments processes. required. Links to 15.5, 15.6, 15.17, 16.1, Budget holder accountability for setting budgets and managing them. Timely monthly reporting Legal cost insurance for FTP Six month reforecasts with spending plan Unexpected rise in operating EMT 3 3 15.2 1 Finance and regular budget holder reviews held. cases. Capped FTP legal case Low Low evisions as feasible and appropriate. expenses Finance & Resources Committee review of the nonthly variances year to date. Link to 13.1 Effective project specification including creating Creation of a project capex contingency Finance & Resources decision points. Effective project management oudget. Project exception reports Committee review of the Finance 15.3 Major Project Cost Over-runs Project Lead / EMT 4 2 8 Low Low and timely project progress reporting (financial including revised funding proposal is project spendng variances to and non financial). presented to EMT for approval. Links to 8 1-8 4 Links to 15.17 ffective weekly payment process xtensive use of preferred Iffective cash-flow forecasting. Registrant Inability to pay creditors Finance Director 5 2 10 nanagement with regular review of aged suppliers with bank account Low Low Finance creditors policy compliance. editors listing and supplier statements etails loaded into Sage Links to 15.1 Collection via Direct Debit instruction for Prompt actioning of rejected Finance Inability to collect from debtors Finance Director 5 2 10 Registrant debtors policy compliance Low Low approximately 80% of renewal fees value DD's. Periodic reviews. Links to 15.1 ight procedures to retrieve sensitive Daily credit card payment reconciliation's in Registrant Credit Card record paper records from archive, rationalise Compliance with credit card Finance 15.7 Finance Director 3 1 3 Finance dept - Streamline to Netregulate and Low Low fraud/theft ecords kept and retain sensitive current record storage standards. ank statements ear records with security tagging Links to 5.3 Receipt of fee income as per Netregulate processes & controls in place Monthly revenue reconciliation's betwee 15.8 3 3 9 Finance Finance Director Low Low collection schedule charging & receipts) including person cover Netregulate and SAGE systems Use of spending prioritisation Mismatch between Council goals Close and regular communication between the Adequate quantification of the budgetary criteria during the budget 4 2 8 15.9 Chief Executive Finance Low Low & approved financial budgets xecutive, Council and its Committees. mplications of proposed new initiatives process with capex contingend amount held in reserve Links to 1.1 Requirement for the relevant approved PO's Maintenance of the aproved purchase Professional Indemnity & fidelity and invoices to support payments to preferred rder and invoice signatory list. PRS PO's Unauthorised payments to 6 Finance 15.10 inance Director 3 2 (fraud) insurance for first £100k Low Low

Page 19 Finance

and one off suppliers. Regular audits.

Effective expense claim and payroll

authorisation processes. Segregation of duties

T asset labeling & asset logging (issuance to

Segregation of duties

ave system pre-set approval routes.

Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.

Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.

udits. Whistleblowing policy

ixed Asset register itemising assets. Job

exit procedures (to recover HCPC laptops, (fraud) insurance for first £100k

blackberries, mobile phones etc). Regular of loss. Computer asset

Professional Indemnity & fidelit

(fraud) insurance for first £100k

Professional Indemnity & fidelit

surance

Low

Low

Low

Low

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Finance

R	ef	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jun 2013
Ë		Finance		Mis-signing of cheques (forgery)	Finance Director	4	1	4	Minimial use of manual chqs. Segregation of duties (preparation and signing). Two signatories required on all cheques.	Photocopies of one off supplier cheques held on file. Monthly bank reconciliations. Whistleblowing policy.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss	Low	Low
		Finance	15.14	Links to 5.3 Non compliance with FReM reporting	Finance Director	3	1	3	Periodic reviews of HM Treasury and NAO information updates. Technical updates from NAO. Clarifications sought, as required.	Employee training	Auditor feedback early in Annual Report preparation process.	Low	Low
F		Finance		Links to 1.2									
		Finance	15.15	Qualified opinion received by the	Finance Director	5	1	5	Timely accrual postings supported by source documentation. Internal control compliance (regularity of spending). Audit findings compliance.	FReM compliance & timely expert valuations eg investment funds, land and buildings	Reliable financial systems. Income, Expense & Balance Sheet Reconciliation's. Matching Sage TB to Mgt Accs & Mgt Accs to Statutory Financial Statements	Low	Low
		Finance	15.16	Late submission of the Annual Report, beyond sector standards	Secretary to Council	3	1	3	Upfront agreement on the Year End and Annual Report reporting process dates. Committee approval of the Audit Plan(s).	Effective process management	-	Low	Low
				Links to 15.1									
		Finance	15.18	PAYE/NI compliance	Finance Director	3	2	6	Effective payroll process management at 3rd party. Payroll system tax deductions set up using valid tax codes. Tax provisions made and tax returns filed on a timely basis. Real Time Information ensures tax codes are up-to-date.	Signed disclosure forms indicating tax category status for all Council and Committee members. Professional tax advice sought, including status of CCM's and partners	Tax Provisions maintained for PAYE/III payable relating to Council and Committee members. PAYE Settlement Agreement in place with HMRC relating to Category One Council and Committee members.	Low	Low
		Finance	15.19	Corporation tax compliance (tax due on investment income only)	Finance Director	3	1	3	Preparation and filing of the Corporation Tax return (CT600 form) by thrid party following determination of Corporate tax liability during Annual Report process.	Professional tax advice sought e.g. Corporate Tax Return preparation (including capital allowance claims) and filing.	-	Low	Low
		Finance	15.20	Bank insolvency or fraud	Finance Director	5	2	10	Funds diversification - cash spread across three UK banks, with at least a Standard and Poor AA minus credit rating	FSA insurance for proven financial loss of up to £50k of funds held per UK financial institution.	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £100k of loss by supplier acting on HCPC's behalf.	Low	Low
		Finance	15.21	Financial distress of trade suppliers causes loss of service	Finance Director	4	4	16	Financial monitoring of key suppliers	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers	Medium	Medium
		Finance	15.22	Payroll process delay or failure	Finance Director	5	2	10	Outsourced to third party. Agreed monthly payroll process timetable (with slack built in). If process delayed, payment may be made by CHAPS (same day payment), cash or cheque.	Hard copy records held securely. Restricted system access.		Low	Low
		Finance	15.23	PSA full cost recovery model places excessive pressure on HCPC April 2013 onwards	Chief Executive & Finance Director	4	3	12	Communicate with PSA to understand potential models for cost recovery and feed back on impact on HCPC	Responded to forthcoming DH Consultation on Levy Methodology	Budget for projected amount at appropriate time.	Low	Low
F	1			Model not yet finalised by DH or P	PSA .								
									1		L		

Page 20 Finance

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

												Fina	nce
F	Ref	Category	Ref#		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jun 2013
		Finance	15.24	Failure to adhere to Procurement and Tendering requirements	Finance Director	4	2				New suppliers process as "backstop" to failure.	Low	Low

Page 21 Finance

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

				S	

Ref	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
16	Pensions	16.2	Non compliance with pensions legislation	Finance Director	4	2	8	Notional membership by six scheme members to avoid triggering s75 liability before scheme closure (Capita flexiplan only)		Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low
	Pensions	16.3	Links to 15.1, 15.5 Capita Flexiplan funding liability resulting from scheme valuation deficiency	Finance Director	4	4	16	If an employer shortfall crystalises, finance the HCPC liability from money market deposits. Scheme assets are under professional funds management involving diversification until conversion into beneficiary annuities.	actuarial valuation of the fund to identify whether pension assets will cover pension liabilities. Make a financial provision where a shortfall is indicated and the HCPC's portion of the shortfall is	Monitor actions of the Employers' Consultative Group in working with the scheme trustees and administrators to wind up the Flexiplan scheme. Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low

Page 22 Pensions

RISK ASSESSMENT January 2014

Information Security

Re	Category	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations Jan 2014	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2014	RISK score after Mitigation Jul 2013
17	, Information Security	17.1	Electronic data is removed inappropriately by an employee	Director of IT	5	3	15	Employment contract includes Data Protection and Confidentiality Agreement	Adequate access control procedures maintained. System audit trails.	Laptop encryption. Remote access to our infrastructure using a VPN . Documented file encryption procedure	Low	Low
	Information Security	17.2	Links to 5.3 Document & Paper record Data Security	EMT; Head of Business Improvement	5	3	15	each dept. Use of shredder machines for confidential record destruction in some depts	Data Protection agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Dept files stored onsite in locked cabinets. Training where appropriate (Employees & Partners)		Low	Low
	Information Security	17.3	Links to 15,7 Loss of electronic data	EMT, Director of IT and Director of Operations	5	3	15	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods. Training where appropriate Employees & (Partners)	Data Processor agreements signed by the relevant suppliers.	Low	Low
	Information Security	17.4	Data received from third parties	Director of Ops, and Director of FTP	5	2	10	restricted no of FTP employees to electronic	Registrant payments taken in compliance with Payment Card Industry (PCI) Security standards ie with quarterly PCI testing.		Low	Low
	Information Security	17.5	Loss of physical data despatched to and held by third parties for the delivery of their services	Director of Ops and Hd of Business Process Improv	5	3	15		Use of transit cases for archive boxes sen for scanning or copying and sign out procedures.	-	Low	Low
	Information Security	17.6	Loss of Registrant personal data by the registration system (NetRegulate) application suppor provider in the performance of their support services (specific risk).		5	3	15	restricted to only that which is necessary for the	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.	Data processor side letter specifying obligations and granting a limited indemnity.	Low	Low

Page 23 Information Security

Appendix i

Glossary & Abbreviations

Term Meaning

AGM Annual General Meeting

CDT Cross Directorate Team (formerly HCPC's Middle Management Group)

CPD Continuing Professional Development

EEA European Economic Area, = European Economic Union, plus Norway, Iceland, plus for our purposes Switzerland

EMT HCPC's Executive Management Team

EU European Economic Union (formerly known as the "Common Market")

Europa Quality Print Supplier of print and mailing services to HCPC

FReM Financial Reporting Manual

FTP Fitness to Practise GP Grandparenting

HSWPO Health and Social Work Professions Order (2001)

HR Human Resources

HW Abbreviation for computer hardware

Impact The result of a particular event, threat or opportunity occurring. Scored between 1 least effect on HCPC and 5 maximum effect on HCPC.

ISO International Standards Organisation (the global governing body for the Quality standards used by HCPC)

ISO 9001:2008 The ISO Quality Management Standard used by HCPC.

IT Information Technology

Likelihood Used to mean Probability of the event or issue occurring within the next 12 months

MIS Management Information System MOU Memorandum of Understanding

NetRegulate The bespoke computer application used to manage the application, registration and renewal processes, and publish the online register

OIC Order in Council

OJEU Official journal of the European Union

Onboarding The process of bringing a new profession into statuatory regulation from HCPC's viewpoint

OPS Operations

PSA Formerly (CHRE), renamed Professional Standards Authority for Health and Social Care in the 2012 legislation.

PLG Professional Liason Group

Probability Likelihood, chance of occurring. Not the "mathematical" probability. Scored between 1 least likely and 5 most likely to occur within the next year.

QMS Quality Management System, used to record and publish HCPC's agreed management processes
Risk An uncertain event/s that could occur and have an impact on the achievement of objectives

Risk Owner The person or entity that has been given the authority to manage a particular risk and is accountable for doing so.

Risk Score Likelihood x Impact or Probability x Significance

SI Statutory Instrument
Significance Broadly similar to Impact

SSFS Scheme Specific Funding Standard, a set of standards relating to pensions services

STD Standards

SW Abbreviation for computer software

VPN Virtual Private Network, a method of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet

Appendix ii

IMPACT

HCPC RISK	MATRIX
-----------	--------

Public Protection	Financial	Reputation						_
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Catastrophic 5 Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Catastrophic 5 Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship	5	10	15	20	25	
Significant 4 A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Significant 4 Unfunded pressures greater than £50,000	Significant 4 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.	4	8	12	16	20	
Moderate 3 A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Moderate 3 Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn	3	6	9	12	15	
Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Minor 2 Unfunded pressures over £2,000	Minor 2 Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.	2	4	6	8	10	
Insignificant 1 A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Insignificant 1 Unfunded pressures over £1,000	Insignificant 1 Event that will lead to public criticism by externa stakeholders as anticipated.	1	2	3	4	5	
KEY			Negligible1	Rare 2	Unlikely 3	Possible 4	Probable 5	1
>11 High Risk: Urgent action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative sooner rather than later.	Strategic
6-10 Medium Risk: Some action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	Likely to happen in the life- cycle of the programme or project.	Likely to occur in the life- cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	Programme / Project
<5 Low Risk: Ongoing monitoring required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Does not happen often - once every six months.	May well happen on a monthly basis.	May well happen on a weekly basis.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.	Operational

LIKELIHOOD

Page 25 App ii HPC Risk Matrix

Public Protection	Financial	Reputation	
Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship	
Significant 4	Significant 4	Significant 4	
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £50,000	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.	
Moderate 3	Moderate 3	Moderate 3	
A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £8,000	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn	
Minor 2	Minor 2	Minor 2	
A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Unfunded pressures over £2,000	Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.	
Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1	
A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Unfunded pressures over £1,000	Event that will lead to public criticism by external stakeholders as anticipated.	

LIKELIHOOD AREAS

Strategic	Programme / Project	Operational		
Probable 5	Probable 5	Probable 5		
"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day. Possible 4 May well happen on a weekly basis.		
Possible 4	Possible 4			
Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	Likely to happen in the life-cycle of the programme or project.			
Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3		
May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	May well happen on a monthly basis.		
Rare 2	Rare 2	Rare 2		
Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	Does not happen often - once every six months.		
Negligible1	Negligible1	Negligible1		
Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.		

Page 26 App ii Risk Matrix defins

LIKELIHOOD