

Health Professions Council

11 September 2007

## Reports from Council Representatives at External Meetings

### Executive summary and recommendations

#### **Introduction**

The attached feedback forms have been received from the following members of Council, reporting back from meetings at which they have represented the HPC;

Annie Turner  
Jackie Pearce

#### **Decision**

The Council is requested to note the document. No decision is required.

#### **Background information**

None

#### **Resource implications**

None

#### **Financial implications**

None

#### **Appendices**

None

#### **Date of paper**

29 August 2007

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2007-08-29	a	SEC	AGD	Reportsfromexternalmeetingsexecsummary	Final DD: None	Public RD: None

## FEEDBACK SHEET TO BE COMPLETED AFTER THE MEETING

<b>Name of Council Member</b>	<b>Annie Turner</b>
<b>Title of Conference/Meeting</b>	<b>Council of the College of Occupational Therapists</b>
<b>Date of Conference</b>	<b>June 2007</b>
<b>Approximate number of people at the conference/meeting</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>Issues of Relevance to HPC</b>	
<p>I presented an update report relating to the activities of HPC since the last COT Council meeting. The main topic of debate related to fees and issues around the White Paper.</p> <p>Generally Council accepted that fee increase was necessary and that the process of consulting and informing members had been well handled.</p> <p>In relation to the White paper there was, as expected, a great deal of discussion around the composition of the new HPC council. After some lively debate Council appeared to recognise the need for change and the principles behind the new system. There was much debate about the role and function of Council and the remit of committees and PLGs etc. I assured Council that they would be kept informed of progress in debates. I am sure the issue will be debated again.</p> <p>Issues of registration and revalidation were also topics of lively debate.</p> <p>Council also debated the reorganisation of university fees and the COT's role in the professional approval of pre-registration programmes.</p>	
<b>Key Decisions Taken</b>	
<p>Decisions were made in relation to the format of the new arrangements for student and university fees payable to COT in their role of professional body/WFOT approval of pre-registration programmes.</p> <p>I feel it is vital that HPC maintains a good working relationship with professional bodies around this topic, recognising and facilitating the role of professional body kite-marking of pre-registration programmes.</p>	

Please complete as much of the above as you can and return by post to Niamh O'Sullivan, Council and Committee Secretariat, Health Professions Council, Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London, SE11 4BU, or alternatively by e-mail to [alison.roberts@hpc-uk.org](mailto:alison.roberts@hpc-uk.org)

April 2007

## FEEDBACK SHEET TO BE COMPLETED AFTER THE MEETING

<b>Name of Council Member</b>	<b>Jacki Pearce</b>
<b>Title of Conference/Meeting</b>	<b>ISB Meeting</b>
<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>27-06-07</b>
<b>Approximate number of people at the conference/meeting</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>Issues of Relevance to HPC</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>ISB seeking to establish which body will take responsibility for monitoring the standard of individual NHS patient records when Electronic patient records are on stream</b></li> <li>• <b>ISB recommending a review of the three existing standards for entering post codes to electronic patient records for the future</b></li> <li>• <b>Safer Patient Identifiers (wrist bands): discussion about the issues for clinicians in the 4 home countries and National Regulatory bodies when agencies such as the National Patient Safety Agency issues standards in the future.</b></li> <li>• <b>Sex and Gender issues relating to entries in the Electronic patient records -ISB examining which of the many extant standards may be most appropriate for use in the NHS.</b></li> <li>• <b>Discussion about issues common to both IST35 and ISB and the potential for mutual support.</b></li> </ul>	
<b>Key Decisions Taken</b>	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• <b>Responses needed from Board members before various papers are finalised for circulation,</b></li> <li>• <b>i.e. ISB Five Year Review</b></li> <li>• <b>Safer Patient Identifiers</b></li> <li>• <b>ISB Policy document : departmental Sponsor</b></li> </ul>	
<b>Next Meeting 23-07-07</b>	