Park House 184 Kennington Park Road London SE11 4BU

Telephone: +44 (0)20 7840 9716 Fax: +44 (0)20 7820 9684 e-mail: peter.burley@hpcuk.org

MINUTES of the third meeting of the Education and Training Committee held on Wednesday 3 July 2002 at Park House, 184 Kennington Park Road, London SE11 4BU

Present:

Prof. D. Waller (Chairing)

Mrs. S. Chaudhry – to item 13

Mr. M. Collins

Mrs. C. Farrell

Mr. P. Frowen

Prof. J. Harper

Ms C. Lloyd

Prof. J. Lucas

Mr. G. Sutehall

Mrs. E. Thornton

Dr. A. Van Der Gaag

Also in Attendance

Dr. P. Burley – Secretary, ETC

Ms J. Hall DoH

Ms R. Howkins]

Ms M. Embleton

Mrs. J. Brayton

Mrs. R. Reyes

Mr. D. Ashcroft

Mr. T. Berrie

Ms N. O'Sullivan

Ms L. Pilgrim

Mr. G. Milch

ITEM 1 02/69 MEMBERSHIP AND APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

- 1.1 The Chairman welcomed members and introduced the secretariat staff from HPC and the professional bodies.
- 1.2 The Committee noted that the nomination for the appointment of an alternate Council member for Prosthetics and Orthotics was of Mr. I. Massey, who met the territorial conditions of being a Welsh member. This meant that the P & O vacancy on ETC would be filled by Mr. Massey in due course, but the Northern Irish vacancy would remain outstanding. The Committee authorised the Chairman to approach suitable candidates in Northern Ireland with a view to the Council making an external appointment by President's Action before the next meeting.
- 1.3 Apologies were received from :- Dr. G. Beastall, Prof. N. Brook, Ms H. Davis, Prof. A. Hazell, Prof. R. Klem, Mr. C. Lea, Ms G. Pearson, Mr. M. Seale, and Mrs. S. Stirling.

The Chairman noted that four members had had to attend the first consultation event on 3 July 2002 in Birmingham. The same problem would arise for some other members on 4 September 2002 and the Committee (and Council) would need to be realistic about the level of commitments and double-bookings for members.

ITEM 2 02/70 APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

On the recommendation of the Chairman,

It was

RESOLVED (1)

that the agenda be approved and the order of items be changed to suit the needs of those present.

ITEM 3 02/71 <u>MINUTES</u>

It was agreed that the minutes of the second meeting of the Health Professions Council's Education and Training Committee held on 22 May 2002 be confirmed as a true record and signed by the Chairman.

The notes of the Educationalists Forum meeting also held on 22 May 2002 were received.

ITEM 4 02/72 MATTERS ARISING

The Committee received the Secretary's report.

ITEM 5 02/73 CHAIRMAN'S AND SECRETARY'S REPORTS

- 5.1 The Secretary drew attention to the report of the HPC submission of oral evidence to the Welsh Affairs Committee on 17 June 2002, whose notes appeared at item 10 below.
- He reported that he had attended the Standing Conference of Principals' Summer reception on 12 June, but that the Chairman had been unable to. He was still seeking to arrange an informal meeting between the Chairman and Vice-Chairmen and Universities UK. However, HPC would be holding a dedicated HE consultation event (see item 8 below).
- 5.3 The Secretary reported that in parallel with the consultation exercise, HPC would be working up proposals for job descriptions and coherent education and training for all the personnel involved in education and training work in the Second Transitional Period and thereafter whether employees or partners. There would be centrally produced manuals and brochures and other guidances. As much work as possible should be supported by IT systems. Also, HPC Executive would be making its own contact with the institutions delivering approved education and training to explain HPC and its prospective operation of the HPO.
- 5.4 The Secretary reported on attending a QAA seminar on information needs for QA in the light of the recommendations made by Sir Ron Cooke's Working Party on this. The event had been generally positive and useful, but the drift of the reports had been that the HE sector was withdrawing from a universal consistent regime (sector and UK-wide) of publication of QA data. HPC would be able to obtain the data it needed, but it might still have to be done by (lengthy) process of inquiry and request.

ITEM 6 02/74 PRESENTATION BY DoH QUALITY ASSURANCE (QA) EDUCATION TEAM STAFF ON THEIR WORK

- 6.1 Ms Ruth Howkins and Ms Judy Hall were welcomed to the meeting and invited to address the Committee on DoH's Quality Assurance Education Team QAET.
- 6.2 They described the Team and its remit in terms of the attached OHP slides.
- 6.3 They stressed the need for putting the patient first, following a coherent modernisation agenda, and trying to create some consistency and simplicity across so many stakeholders (eg. 27 Workforce Development Confederations).
- 6.4 Specifically, QA for health provision had to be appropriate to the need to secure the health and welfare of patients. The proposed new model from the Higher Education Funding Council for England (HEFCE) for the generality of higher education was unlikely to satisfy this need for health care stakeholders.
- 6.5 DoH was working on a QA framework (including Subject Benchmarks) to cover all health professions. Nursing and the AHPs could take a lead in relation to new approval / re-approval processes, but medicine, importantly, needed to be encompassed in shared QA framework at the earliest opportunity. In the context of taking this lead, the success and a hope for the continuity of joint working arrangements between the regulatory and professional bodies for the professional bodies was noted.

- 6.6 A standard evidence base for QA needed to be developed and the work of Sir Ron Cooke's working party on information needs for QA (reported previously to the Shadow Committee) could be included. (But see 5.4 above.)
- 6.7 In discussion a major issue emerged of the need to move from total inspection to adequate audit provisions to be satisfied as to the robustness of education and training and the local and profession-specific assurance systems.
- Another important area for development would be the relationship between HPC and the Commission for Health Improvement.
- 6.9 The team hoped to continue to develop the DoH work on practice placements and in all sectors and professions and this might be linked to Subject Benchmarking.

ITEM 7 02/75 STANDING ORDERS FOR THE COMMITTEE

- 7.1 The Executive presented Standing Orders for discussion and adoption.
- 7.2 It was agreed to defer decisions about frequency and cycles of meetings until the autumn and after the Council had taken a view on its programme for 2003.
- 7.3 It was

RESOLVED (2)

that the Standing Orders be adopted.

ITEM 8 02/76 UP-DATE ON THE HPC CONSULTATION EXERCISE

- 8.1 The Chairman reported that the consultation paper had been published, and the consultation launched, on 1 July 2002. It was available on HPC's website and hard copies would be available shortly.
- 8.2 The Executive would be holding consultation events specific to HE and specific to the education functions of the professional bodies. The date for the first had not been fixed, but the second would take the form of a special meeting of the Health & Care Professions Education Forum on 31 July 2002.

ITEM 9 02/77 REPORT OF A MEETING OF EDUCATION AND TRAINING SECRETARIES AND PROCEDURES FOR THE OPERATION OF SECTIONS 4 AND 5 OF THE PSM ACT DURING THE FIRST TRANSITIONAL PERIOD

9.1 The Chairman presented the report of this meeting, and explained that any procedures agreed here would be reflected into how the Committee dealt with the business presented below in item 10. She stressed that these papers dealt with the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act, 1960, only and did not relate to the long-term implementation of Section IV of the HPO nor the consultation exercise – except for 9.3 below.

- 9.2 The Committee received the notes and background papers.
- 9.3 The Committee raised the need for clarification of what a "course document" should actually be. This would link to the consultation exercise and discussions with the HE sector. It was agreed that the Committee receive a paper on this in the autumn. The intention would be to keep definitive documents as close as practical to Programme Specifications with Annexes. Profs. Lucas and Harper agreed to work with others to develop guidance on this.

9.4 It was

RESOLVED (3)

that the procedures set out in the report be adopted for the First Transitional Period as follows:

Approval (for the first time) of Courses, Examinations and Qualifications under Section 4(1)(a) and (b)

By the Privy Council, forwarded from the Council, on the recommendation of ETC acting on the advice of a subordinate body.

Approval (for the first time) of Further and Higher Education Institutions (FHEI) Institutions under Section 4(1)(c)

By ETC on the advice of a subordinate body.

Approval (for the first time) of Clinical Practice Placements and Laboratories under Section 4(1)(c)

By Chairman's Action of ETC on the advice of a subordinate body provided that it meets the criteria of being routine, minor, and non-controversial. (In other cases such approvals would come to the full ETC).

Major Changes to Provision Approved under Section 4

For consideration by ETC on the advice of a subordinate body.

Minor Changes to Provision Approved under Section 4

By Chairman's Action (of ETC) on the advice of a subordinate that they are appropriate for this procedure.

<u>Continued Approval of Courses, Examinations and Qualifications and Institutions under Section 5</u>

For consideration by ETC on the advice of a subordinate body.

<u>Continued Approval of Clinical Practice Placements and Laboratories under Section 5</u>

By Chairman's Action (of ETC) on the same terms as above.

Changes to provision enjoying continued approval under Section 5

To be treated in the same way as changes to approval under Section 4.

ITEM 10 02/78 NOTES OF THE MEETINGS OF PRE-REGISTRATION EDUCATION AND TRAINING WORKING GROUPS, JOINT VALIDATION COMMITTEES AND JOINT QUALITY ASSURANCE COMMITTEES HELD SINCE 1 APRIL 2002

- 10.1 The Secretary explained to the Committee that its role was not to seek to become the expert body on the detail of the professions, courses, and institutions delivering them and awarding qualifications. Its role was to intervene by exception and to audit and monitor the proceedings of subordinate advisory bodies. If the Committee was satisfied with the integrity and robustness of the advice offered to it, then it should approve the advice and recommendations offered to it.
- 10.2 In reviewing the reports of all the meetings, the Committee identified a number of general issues to be developed and revisited
 - 10.2.1 It was agreed to discuss at a later date the issues created by registrable Masters level courses and qualifications.
 - 10.2.2 It was agreed to review in due course on an annual basis the issues of conditions and recommendations at validation. These issues would also be discussed with the HE sector during the consultation exercise and a report back made to the Committee.
 - 10.2.3 It was confirmed that the award of an approved qualification led to "eligibility for State Registration" (and not that it led directly to "licence to practise").
 - 10.2.4 It was clarified that the Council for the Regulation of Health Care Professionals would have the power also to work in the area of good character and health and to make directions on them not that HPC did not have this discretion and would not need to develop standards on its own behalf to be made as Rules by the Privy Council in due course. This observation and a paper due to be submitted to Council on 18 July 2002 should be circulated to all the subordinate bodies.
 - 10.2.5 It was clarified that, as before, visitors involved with (re-)validation of courses did not themselves have discretion to approve provision. It was agreed to confirm to UUK and SCOP the special circumstances of the first transitional period.

- 10.2.6 It was agreed that institutions still needed to be approved specifically and separately from courses, examinations and qualifications.
- 10.2.7 It was agreed that recommendations for approval should not normally be submitted to the Committee until outstanding "conditions" had been met.
- 10.2.8 It was agreed that the eventual manual of ETC procedures would need to try to identify a common terminology across all 12 professions and between the professions and HE for the tasks and issues involved.
- 10.2.9 It was agreed that reports of approval and continued approval events needed to make explicit reference to the relevant Subject Benchmarks.
- 10.2.10 Where practice placements were to be moved from one approved course to another, that decision was appropriate for Chairman's Action (and there were a number arising in radiography).
- 10.3 The Committee received these reports noting that up-dated reports on radiography items were tabled.

It was

RESOLVED (4)

That the recommendations and advice in them be approved as set out below.

- 10.4 The decisions taken were as follows:
 - 10.4.1 Recommendations approved by ETC at its meeting on 3 July 2002

Radiography

On the advice of the Joint Validation Committee and following scrutiny of the documentation:—

Approval for the first time of Institutions (and associated clinical placements), Courses, Examinations and Qualifications under Section 4 (1) of the PSM Act, 1960

City University (London) (R & T)

- 1. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a) and (b) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act, 1960, the course of training to be offered by City University be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration.
- 2. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act, 1960, that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by City University to offer the registrable course and qualifications set out below noting that the clinical competences were equivalent to the BSc Hons level and the Masters level components of the course related only to academic contents.

In Diagnostic Radiography

Type of Course Full time in higher education

Qualification Postgraduate Diploma in the Practice of

Diagnostic Radiography (with eligibility

for state registration)

Awarded by City University

Length of course Two years

With effect from September 2002

Date of Event 10 January 2002

Participants in approval process Health Professions Council,

College of Radiographers,

City University.

Approval for the first time of an Institution (premises) under S.4(1)(c) of the PSM Act 1960

University of Teesside (R)

Centuria Building, University of Teesside, for delivery of teaching.

Continued Approval of Institutions (and associated clinical placements), Courses, Examinations and Qualifications under S.5 of the PSM Act

University of Salford (R)

The BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography, University of Salford and associated clinical placements continue to be approved for the purposes of State Registration.

University of Teesside (R)

The Chairman was authorised to take action to continue to approve the institution, course, examination and qualification.

Occupational Therapy

On the advice of the JVC and after scrutiny of the documentation :-

Approval for the first time of an Institution (and associated clinical placements), Course, Examination and Qualification under S. 4(1) of the PSM Act 1960

- a. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a) and (b) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the course of training to be offered by and the qualification awarded by the College of Ripon and York St. John be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration, and
- b. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the Working Group recommends that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to the Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by the College of Ripon and York St. John to offer the registrable course and qualification as set out below:—

In Occupational Therapy

Type of Course Full-time graduate entry

Institution of Training/Education College of Ripon and York St. John

Qualification BHSc (Hons)

Awarded by University of Leeds

Length of course Two years

Date of event 8 – 9 April 2002

With effect from September 2002

Participants in approval process Health Professions Council,

College of Occupational Therapists,

University of Leeds,

College of Ripon and York St. John.

Continued Approval of Institutions (and associated clinical placements), Courses, Examinations and Qualifications under S.5 of the PSM Act

<u>Queen Margaret University College, Edinburgh – Postgraduate Diploma in</u> Occupational Therapy (Pg Dip OT) – revalidation 7 February 2002

Continued approval of the graduate entry PG Dip OT at Queen Margaret University College, Edinburgh, as a programme and qualification conferring eligibility for State Registration with the HPC for a period of not more than five years, commencing September 2002.

York St. John College, University of Leeds – Bachelor of Health Science in Occupational Therapy with Honours (BHSc (Hons) OT) – revalidation of the 3-years full time and the 4-years part time programmes; validation of graduate entry 2-year programme 8 – 9 April 2002

Continued approval of the 3-years full time and the 4-years part time BHSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy awarded by the University of Leeds at York St. John College, as a programme and qualification conferring eligibility for State Registration with the HPC for a period of not more than five years, commencing September 2002.

<u>Dietitians</u> (tabled paper)

On the advice of the Pre-Registration Education & Training Working Group, noting that clinical placements were approved separately, and following scrutiny of the documentation:—

Approval for the first time of Institutions, Courses, Examinations and Qualifications under Section 4(1) of the PSM Act 1960

University of Surrey

- a. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a) and (b) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the course of training to be offered by and the qualification awarded by the University of Surrey be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration, and
- b. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the Working Group recommends that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to the Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by the University of Surrey to offer the registrable course and qualification for a period of five years, subject to notification of any changes in the dietetic staffing complement which may affect the concurrent approval that up to thirty-six (36) students may be recruited for the course approved above, as set out below:—

In Dietetics

Type of Course Full-time in higher education

Institution of Training/Education University of Surrey

Qualification Bachelor of Science (Honours) Dietetics

Awarded by University of Surrey

Length of course Four years

With effect from September 2002

Participants in approval process Dietitians Pre-Registration Education &

Training Working Group,

University of Surrey,

(The British Dietetic Association (the professional body) does not participate in approval of undergraduate courses).

- a. under the terms of Section 4(1)(a) and (b) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the course of training to be offered by and the qualification awarded by the University of North London be approved as meeting the standards and requirements for State Registration, and
- b. under the terms of Section 4(2) of the Professions Supplementary to Medicine Act 1960, the Working Group recommends that the Health Professions Council send its recommendation to the Privy Council that it be requested to determine approval of the application by the University of North London to offer the registrable course and qualifications for a period of five years, subject to notification of any changes in the dietetic staffing complement which may affect the concurrent approval that up to thirty-six (36) students may be recruited for both the courses approved above, as set out below:—

In Dietetics

Type of Course Full-time in higher education

Institution of Training/Education University of North London

Qualification MSc/Postgraduate Diploma and Bachelor of

Science (Honours) Dietetics

Awarded by University of North London

Length of course Two years and Four years

With effect from September 2002

Participants in approval process Dietitians Pre-Registration Education &

Training Working Group, University of North London,

(The British Dietetic Association (the professional body) does not participate in approval of undergraduate courses).

Chester College of Higher Education

(Deferred to the next meeting)

ITEM 11 02/79 UP-DATE ON STANDARDS OF PROFICIENCY

- 11.1 The Secretary reported that the individual professional groups were being appointed and the Steering Group was now identified and a meeting being arranged.
- 11.2 There was a need to revise the timetable because of a number of key players' availability over the summer. The project would now report by December 2002. This was still within the timeframe needed for HPC's wider purposes.

PROGRESS ON SUBJECT BENCHMARKING

12.1 Prof. Lucas reported that the six reviews were nearing completion. There would be a round of structured telephone interviews with institutions to inform the evaluation. There would be report back on events in September and a final report by the end of December.

ITEM 13 02/81 PROPOSAL FOR DEVELOPING A REGISTER OF APPROVED EDUCATION AND TRAINING PROVISION

- 13.1 The Secretary introduced this item.
- 13.2 The Committee was asked to agree to the approach suggested and to authorise discussions with the Learning and Teaching Support Network for Health and Scientific Practice and the Health & Care Professions Education Forum. HPC would retain an absolute right over the contents and publication of the Register.
- 13.3 Approval for any resources would be needed in due course from the Finance and General Purposes Committee. Firm proposals if developed would be presented to the Committees for more detailed discussion. The resources, however, were not expected to be any greater for a collaborative venture than for HPC carrying out the task alone.
- 13.4 The Committee agreed to proceed on this basis and welcomed the opportunity for collaborative working.

ITEM 14 02/82 MINUTES OF THE REGISTRATION COMMITTEE ON 14 JUNE 2002

The Committee received and approved the minutes as appropriate.

ITEM 15 02/83 UK INTERPROFESSIONAL GROUP TASK FORCE ON REVALIDATION OF PROFESSIONAL COMPETENCE AND NOTES OF ITS FHE WORKING PARTY ON 30 MAY 2002

Received.

ITEM 16 02/84 <u>INVITATION TO AN EVENT BY THE INTERPROFESSIONAL</u> <u>EDUCATION SEMINAR ORGANISATION</u>

This was noted. The topic arose from discussion at the Educationalists Forum on 22 May 2002. All members were invited to it. Members were asked to note that only travel and subsistence costs would be paid for it and members should take up any queries on this direct with Accounts Dept.

- 17.1 There was an invitation to the launch of the new Medical Directory (ie. register) at the Royal Society of Medicine at 6.30 p.m. on 11 July 2002. Members interested in attending were asked to contact the Secretary.
- 17.2 HEFCE had announced a review of the future of the Research Assessment Exercise on 26 June 2002. This is attached to these minutes
 - 17.2.1 Mr. Ashcroft was recognised from the Chair to raise his concern that the new "JACS" Codes were even further out of alignment with specific AHP programmes than QAA's 42 Subjects had been.
 - 17.2.2 The Secretary reported that the HE sector's formal position was that, because health funded provision enjoyed a continuing regime of Subject Review for the time being, it was not an immediate issue for HPC. The DoH QAET, however, pointed out that there was already a problem here for Workforce Development Confederations in collecting and disseminating data relating to students. DoH was working with the Higher Education Funding Council for England and the Higher Education Statistics Authority (HESA) to resolve this problem. The Committee would be kept informed.
- 17.3 Prof Lucas drew attention to DoH's announcement on 2 July 2002 of a briefing on proposals for the future of the Multi-Professional Education and Training levy. This was not a consultation. It was agreed to circulate the paper to members and to discuss the matter at the next meeting.

ITEM 18 02/86 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The next ordinary meeting of the Committee would be at

10.30 on Wednesday 4 September 2002 in Park House.

ITEM 19 02/87 <u>MEETING IN PRIVATE</u>

It was

RESOLVED (5)

That the remainder of the meeting be held in private because publicity would be prejudicial to the public interest, by reason of the confidential nature of the business to be transacted.

CHAIRMAN

CONFIDENTIAL