

Conduct and Competence Committee 21 November 2007

Confidentiality: guidance to registrants consultation responses and revised guidance

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

The Council consulted on our Confidentiality: Guidance for Registrants from 4 June 2007 to 7 September 2007. The responses to the consultation have been analysed and the guidance amended.

It is proposed that the guidance should become effective from 1 May 2008 (this will allow sufficient time for publication and for stakeholders to be informed about the guidance). The guidance will be publicised by:

- A press release and news item on the HPC website
- Articles in the HPC newsletter

The guidance will undergo extensive proofing prior to publication.

Decision

The Committee is asked to agree the following:

- To recommend to council the text of the consultation responses document
- To approve the text of the revised guidance pending editing necessary to achieve the Plain English Campaign Crystal Mark
- To agree that the revised guidance should be effective from 1 May 2008

Background information

Resource implications

- Type-setting and publication of new guidance (if appropriate) online and in hard-copy

Financial implications

- Type-setting and publication of guidance

Date	Ver.	Dept/Cmte	Doc Type	Title	Status	Int. Aud.
2007-11-06	a	POL	AGD	SCPE and Key Decisions Paper	Draft	Public
					DD: None	RD: None

These financial implications are accounted for in the 2007/8 budget.

Appendices

The following are appended:

Appendix 1: Consultation responses document

Appendix 2: Revised confidentiality: guidance to registrants

Date of paper

6 November 2007

Confidentiality Guidance Consultation

Responses to our consultation and our decisions

Introduction.....	2
The Standards.....	2
The Guidance.....	2
Analysing your responses.....	2
General Comments.....	3
Function of the Guidance.....	3
Our Comments.....	3
Language.....	3
Our Comments.....	4
Specific Comments.....	5
Introduction.....	5
Our Comments.....	5
Keeping Information Safe.....	6
Our Comments.....	6
Disclosing Information.....	7
Our Comments.....	8
Disclosure without consent.....	8
Our Comments.....	9
Disclosures required by law.....	9
Our Comments.....	9
Case Studies.....	9
Our Comments.....	10
List of Respondents.....	11

Introduction

We consulted on our Confidentiality: Guidance for Registrants from 4 June 2007 to 7 September 2007.

We sent a copy of our consultation document to over 300 organisations on our consultation list. Our consultation list includes employers, education providers and professional bodies. In addition, the consultation document was published on our website and members of the public could also request hard copies.

In this document, we first consider comments made about the guidance as a whole, including comments on the introduction, language and function of the guidance. We then consider comments made about each individual chapter in the guidance document. After each section, we then outline the decisions that we have taken following your comments.

In this document, 'you' is a reference to a registrant and 'we' or 'our' is a reference to the Health Professions Council.

We would like to thank all of those who took the time to respond to the consultation.

You can download a copy of the consultation document from our website: <http://www.hpc-uk.org/publications/consultations/>. We will also publish on our website a document which shows how the guidance has changed.

The Standards

This guidance builds upon our standards of conduct, performance and ethics. We have recently consulted on changes to these standards and we will ensure that the guidance reflects any amendments we make as a result of the consultation process.

The Guidance

The guidance on confidentiality provides clear, accessible guidance to registrants which can help them to meet our standards. It is written in broad terms to accommodate changes in best practice, technology, legislation and in wider society. It is also designed to be applicable to all registrants (as far as possible) including those engaged in research, clinical practice, education and roles in industry. This guidance on confidentiality cannot cover every situation where registrants might face problems or challenges relating to confidentiality issues. Nevertheless, it offers assistance to our registrants when faced with issues regarding confidentiality.

Analysing your responses

Now that the consultation has ended, we have analysed all the responses we received. We considered carefully each suggestion we received, taking into account whether similar comments were made by other respondents.

General Comments

In this section we provide a summary of the comments we received which relate to the document as a whole, rather than individual chapters.

Function of the Guidance

Most respondents were supportive of our new guidance. Gwent Community Health Council said that: 'Members believed that the document was well written and comprehensive and offered a good way forward for the subject matter which is a critical element for all patients.' The British Psychological Society said: 'This guidance is clearly written and helpful to registrants.' One respondent said: 'The document clarifies the level and extent of responsibility of the practitioner to maintain confidentiality at all times.'

A small number of respondents raised concern that the guidance was too general to be of relevance to registrants. The Board of Community Health Councils in Wales said: 'The guidance is very basic and we query the usefulness of the document given the very general information contained.' The British Association for Counselling and Psychotherapy said: 'Although we appreciate the difficulties in producing guidance for a wide audience of professionals, the content itself is often unnecessarily vague and could be tightened up.'

Our Comments

Most respondents were supportive of the new guidance and believed that it would prove useful both to registrants and potential registrants. We considered carefully the level of detail included in the guidance and the amount of information it contained. The level of detail is to a large extent dictated by the need for it to be relevant to all registrants, as far as possible, working in many different environments with differing interactions with service users.

When drafting the document, we considered information from a variety of sources including previous fitness to practise cases and the guidance issued by other regulators. By seeking input from a variety of sources, we tried to strike a balance between writing guidance which was not relevant to all registrants and guidance which was too general. The guidance is intended to build upon recent fitness to practise cases concerning confidentiality and to provide guidance on this area to registrants.

Language

We received a small number of comments about the specific language used in this document. The Society of Radiographers said: '...whilst we support the use of the term "service users" we would suggest that a fuller definition is used in this document similar to that in the draft Standards of Conduct, Performance and Ethics.' Amicus (Community Practitioners and Health Visitors' Association) said: '...we think that the language used needs to be stronger i.e. "must" rather than "should" – this will imply to the registrant that the actions are required rather than open for consideration and personal choice.'

Several respondents requested additional clarity on some of the language used. Three respondents suggested that the guidance should include a definition of the phrases 'safe from harm' and 'patient's best interest' as these are important principles that can guide decision-making in difficult cases.

Our Comments

The clarity of the language used in the guidance is key to ensuring that the guidance is accessible to all registrants. The glossary states that when the term 'service user' is used, we mean 'anyone who uses or is affected by the services of a registrant'. This definition of 'service user' is also used in our consultation document on the revised standards of conduct, performance and ethics. However, the consultation document also contains a paragraph on the meaning of our inclusive definition of 'service user'. To ensure consistency between the documents and clarity in meaning, we will incorporate the paragraph on 'service users' into the confidentiality guidance with minor amendments.

When we write our standards, we use the word 'must' because registrants must comply with the standards in order to join the Register or remain on the Register. However, this document is intended as guidance for registrants which they can use to help them meet the standards. We will, therefore, continue to use the word 'should' throughout the guidance.

We have considered the comments we received asking us to include a definition of the phrases 'safe from harm' and 'patient's best interest'. We do not use the phrase 'safe from harm' within the guidance and therefore will not include a definition within the glossary. The best interests of a patient will vary depending upon the individual circumstances. It is therefore, impossible to provide a definition which will be relevant to all situations.

Specific Comments

In this section we consider comments relating to each specific chapter within the guidance document.

Introduction

This section outlines the importance of confidentiality, establishes principles for handling information and emphasises a registrant's responsibility to safeguard and respect the confidentiality of service users. A number of respondents, including the Society of Radiographers and the Association for Perioperative Practice, suggested that the introduction to our guidance should contain clear references to relevant legislation on data protection, confidentiality and consent.

A small number of respondents suggested that the principles for handling information should make specific reference to Caldicott and Caldicott Guardians. The East of England Ambulance Service said that there should be a mention of '...Caldicott and the principles – advice when there is uncertainty about release of information can be sought from the employers Caldicott Guardian'. Amicus (Community Practitioners and Health Visitors' Association) said: 'There is a need to acknowledge the Caldicott principles about information governance because these principles need to be more than just taking 'reasonable steps'.'

The General Medical Council suggested that there should be additional clarity on the types of information that is considered 'identifiable information'. They suggested that for greater clarity, identifiable information could be contrasted against effectively anonymised information with a definition of anonymised information added to the glossary.

Our Comments

We understand the comments from a number of respondents about making specific reference to the relevant legislation in our guidance document. We believe that it is important that this guidance is informed by the legislation on data protection, confidentiality and consent. However, we believe that the guidance should not make reference to specific pieces of legislation. In the section on confidentiality and the law, we state that we 'have not referred to any legislation by name to avoid any confusion and so that this document can be easily understood by all of our stakeholders.' The guidance is designed to be relevant, as far as possible, to all stakeholders and we believe it important that the guidance does not lose its clarity by the addition of too much information on how the guidance relates to specific, often complex, legislation.

Our guidance has been written in the same style as the standards that we set for proficiency and conduct. We do not make reference to specific legislation because we acknowledge that if we did make such references, we would be required to update our guidance every time there was a change in legislation. The guidance has been written in a style which is designed not to be too prescriptive but instead offers general advice on some of the challenges and issues that registrants may face around issues of confidentiality.

We recognise the importance of Caldicott and the principles that stem from the Caldicott Report. We have not made specific reference to Caldicott Guardians as we acknowledge that our registrants work in a variety of environments and as a result, not all registrants will have Caldicott Guardians in their place of work. We believe that the principles which we have established at the beginning of this document do reflect the Caldicott principles. However, we will review the principles in the introduction to ensure that they do reflect those established by the Caldicott review.

Definitions of anonymised and identifiable information are extremely important in this document. We will therefore, amend the introduction to include the section on anonymised information which is currently on page 10 of the guidance. We will also add a definition of anonymised information to the glossary.

Keeping Information Safe

This section considers how confidential information can be kept safe by taking reasonable steps and also considers the importance of following employer protocols whilst ensuring that registrants still meet our standards. A number of responses questioned whether more specific reference should be made to specific procedures that employers have in place designed to deal with issues regarding confidentiality. One respondent said that, where requests for information are made under law, ‘...in the first instance a practitioner should contact their Trust’s legal department who would then process all such requests’. The Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists said: ‘It is recommended that there is a greater emphasis on support from employee’s legal department, human resources department and managers rather than acting in isolation and good faith. Many organisations have clear support processes for staff that are dealing with tricky issues of consent and confidentiality and staff should be encouraged to use these.’ However, the Board of Community Health Councils in Wales said: ‘Many of your health professionals work independently and therefore are unable to benefit from employers policies and guidelines relating to confidentiality issues.’ The Royal College of General Practitioners said: ‘It is not clear from this section where single-handed practitioners without an employer would go to for advice for disclosures relating to the public interest. Practitioners and employers should be able to seek such support in cases where they are being pressured to disclose information in the public interest.’

A small number of respondents raised the issue of whether more information should be available in the guidance on confidentiality issues relating to computerised information and the handling of images and pictures. One respondent said: ‘I feel that there is a place for some specific comments on generating, handling and storing digital images because so many healthcare professions already do... I feel that the many and various images that can be stored need to be listed.’ The Institute of Medical Illustrators asked whether the guidance should include ‘specific guidance about the difficulty of anonymising clinical photographs.’

Our Comments

HPC’s registrants work in a variety of environments, ranging from large public sector bodies to small private organisations. For our guidance to be relevant, as

far as possible, to all registrants, it must reflect the resources available to all registrants, not just those who work within large organisations. One of the principles which inform the guidance is that registrants should 'where appropriate, seek advice from HPC, colleagues, professional bodies, unions or legal professionals'. We believe that this principle will encourage registrants, particularly those who work independently, to seek advice on issues of confidentiality where appropriate.

We understand the comments from some respondents about the issues around electronic records and images. Our standards of conduct, performance and ethics state that registrants must '...protect information in records against loss, damage, inappropriate access or tampering.' These principles apply to all forms of information a service user. Registrants need to take reasonable steps to safeguard information about service users. We believe that adding specific guidance relating to digital images would make the guidance too specific.

Disclosing Information

This section outlines how information can be disclosed and the importance of obtaining consent from the service user prior to the disclosure of information. Several respondents suggested that service users should be provided with additional information when consent was being obtained. The British Psychological Society said: '...we consider it important not to assume that service users will understand what access others will have to their information. We would recommend that guidance is given to registrant to provide some details to service users of how their information will be shared with others, thereby allowing them to give informed consent regarding its provision.' The Royal College of General Practitioners said: '...this section states that service users should be aware of why and to whom information is disclosed. They should also be fully aware of the use(s) the information will be put, and it should be made clear that the information will only be used for that specific purpose.' The Board of Community Health Councils in Wales suggested the addition of the following sentence to the section on express consent: 'When seeking express consent to disclosure you must make sure that patients are given enough information on which to base their decisions.'

Several respondents, including Guild HE, asked for guidance on issues of confidentiality in an educational setting. The Society of Radiographers said: 'We also note there is no guidance for educators on the use of patient information in case studies, yet this is a recurring concern.' The British and Irish Orthoptic Society asked for clarification as to whether specific, written consent should be obtained when information relating to a service user is used for teaching. They asked: '...if the student is assessing the patient would this mean that we do not need written consent as the release of identifiable information is related to the care of that patient?'

We also received a small number of comments relating to the confidentiality of visual records and images. The Association of Clinical Scientists said: 'There are significant risks associated with the use of visual records... It is difficult to anonymise visual records, and express consent should be sought in all circumstances.'

The General Medical Council suggested that the guidance should encourage registrants only to disclose information which is relevant, rather than disclosing what registrants have been asked to. The GMC suggested this change because: ‘...requests for information can be very broad and compliance would involve disclosure of irrelevant information.’

Our Comments

Under the section on ‘consent and confidentiality’ we define informed consent as providing the service user with ‘enough information to make a decision about whether they are happy for information to be shared with others.’ We believe that this statement incorporates the importance of providing information to service users of how their information will be shared with others. However, we recognise that service users may not be aware of how their information may be used and we will amend our guidance to reflect the importance of informing service users of this.

If a student was assessing a service user whilst on clinical placement we would consider that assessment to be part of the process of caring for the service user. It is important to obtain express, written consent, when identifiable information is used for the specific purposes of teaching, separate from the function of caring for the service user. For example, a student presenting a case study would be expected to anonymise the information about the service user. If they were unable to anonymise the information, then the student should obtain express, written consent.

We understand the comments we received suggesting that express consent should be sought whenever visual records are made. The guidance states that informed consent should be obtained before sharing or disclosing information or using information for reasons which are unrelated to care or the provision of services. As part of the process for obtaining informed consent, the registrant would explain the purpose of making the visual record and how that information would be shared. We have considered the guidance we have written in this area and we will not make any changes.

We have carefully considered the comments we received and will make no further amendments to this guidance.

Disclosure without consent

This section outlines some circumstances when information may have to be passed on without the service user’s consent or when consent has been refused. Several respondents, including the Picker Institute, commented that they would like more detail in the document relating to service users who are unable to give consent. The Board of Community Health Councils in Wales said: ‘There is nothing in the document to advise on disclosure issues relating to children and other patients who may lack competence to give consent.’ Pembrokeshire and Derwen NHS Trust suggested that this section should include information on: ‘... the new Mental Capacity Act (2007) and the guidance on Safeguarding Children, and Protection of Vulnerable Adults.’

Several respondents questioned whether the guidance complied with best practice relating to child and adult protection. The College of Occupational Therapists said: 'The document needs to be much clearer when confidentiality can be breached in light of child protection issues. This matter is referred to in passing and needs to have greater prominence as there is some confusion amongst professionals about this as it is one where the child's safety comes first. ...This should be far more explicit in the section on 'Public interest disclosure' to be of assistance to registrants.'

Our Comments

We acknowledge that there are occasions when service users may be unable to provide consent, for a variety of reasons. However, the focus of this guidance is not on issues relating to consent but on confidentiality. As a result, the guidance does not deal with issues relating to consent in detail.

We recognise the importance of guidance relating to the protection of children and vulnerable adults. We intend to publish additional information for registrants on our website regarding the protection of children and vulnerable adults with links to other sources of advice.

Disclosures required by law

We received a small number of comments relating to this section. The Picker Institute said that the paragraph entitled 'Disclosure to regulators' should clarify that information disclosed to regulators would relate to information about other health professionals. Buckinghamshire Chilterns University College suggested that this section should include requests from the police with the relevant order under which this information can be requested. The Royal Pharmaceutical Society suggested that this section should also include disclosures of information requested by: '...H.M. Coroner, a judge or other presiding officer of a court, Crown Prosecution Office in England and Wales or Procurator Fiscal in Scotland.'

Our Comments

Having considered the small number of comments we received, we have decided to make no changes to this section.

Case Studies

As part of our consultation, we asked respondents to comment on the usefulness of the case studies and whether they had any suggestions for additional case studies we could add to illustrate the guidance we gave. Several respondents, including the Registration Council for Clinical Physiologists and the Society of Sports Therapists, said that the case studies were well chosen and provided appropriate examples. However, the College of Operating Department Practitioners commented: 'The College feel the case studies do not really add value to the understanding of the many facets that occur in breaches of confidentiality.'

We received a number of comments about the second case study. Gwent Healthcare (Physiotherapy Directorate) said: ‘...it is the second scenario that usually poses professionals with disclosure issues and this clearly gives a useful backdrop to decision making’. A number of respondents said that the second example did not comply with best practice on issues relating to child protection. In particular, the length of time taken to report the matter to the police was queried when there was clear evidence that a child had been abused by the service user. Amicus (Speech and Language Therapy Occupational Advisory Committee) said: ‘The example on page 12 of the consultation document we feel is inappropriate... the evidence seen...strongly indicates that a child has already suffered significant harm... The time delay of contacting the line manager and waiting for the collective decision of a multidisciplinary team is unacceptable in this context.’

Several respondents provided suggestions for additional case studies. NHS Education for Scotland suggested several different case studies, including: ‘A case study reflecting the need to deal with another agency when health records are electronically stored within an agency other than health.’ Birmingham Eastern and North Primary Care Trust suggested more examples including ‘what to do with a request from a person’s estate following the death of the individual.’ The British Dietetic Association asked for further case studies on paediatric confidentiality issues.

Our Comments

We believe that the case studies provide useful examples which help to illustrate how the guidance we produced can be applied to practical situations that registrants may face. We will amend the second example to show the registrant acting immediately upon discovering that the service user has abused the child.

After carefully considering all the comments we received we have decided not to add any additional case studies. We believe that the current case studies articulate the main principles of the guidance and that adding additional examples could cause confusion.

List of Respondents

Below is a list of those who responded to the consultation. Where a response has been given on behalf of an organisation, we have given the name of the organisation in the text. Where the response comes from an individual, we have not.

We received eight responses from individuals and thirty four responses from organisations.

Amicus (Community Practitioners' and Health Visitors' Association and Speech and Language Therapists Occupational Advisory Group)
Association of Clinical Scientists
Association for Perioperative Practice
Birmingham Eastern and North Primary Care Trust (HPC Registrants)
Board of Community Health Councils in Wales
British Association for Counselling and Psychotherapy
British Dietetic Association
British and Irish Orthoptic Society
British and Irish Orthoptic Society Professional Development Committee
British Psychological Society
Buckinghamshire Chilterns University College
College of Occupational Therapists
College of Operating Department Practitioners
East of England Ambulance Service
General Medical Council
Guild HE
Gwent Community Health Council
Gwent Healthcare Wales (Physiotherapy Service)
Institute of Biomedical Science
Institute of Chiropractors and Podiatrists
Institute of Medical Illustrators
NHS Dumfries and Galloway
NHS Education for Scotland
NHS Tayside
Pembrokeshire and Derwent NHS Trust
Pharmaceutical Society of Northern Ireland
Picker Institute
Registration Council for Clinical Physiologists
Royal College of General Practitioners
Royal College of Speech and Language Therapists
Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain
Society of Radiographers
Society of Sports Therapists
Welsh Scientific Advisory Committee

Appendix 2

[inside cover]

Our standards of conduct, performance and ethics describe our expectations of registrants in terms of their professional behaviour. Our standards say that:

1. You must act in the best interests of service users
2. You must respect the confidentiality of service users
3. You must keep high standards of personal conduct
4. You must provide any important information about conduct, competence or health
5. You must keep your professional knowledge and skills up to date
6. You must act within the limits of your knowledge, skills and experience and, if necessary, refer the matter to another professional
7. You must maintain proper and effective communications with service users and other professionals
8. You must effectively supervise tasks you have asked others to carry out for you
9. You must get informed consent to give treatment (except in an emergency)
10. You must keep accurate records
11. You must deal fairly and safely with the risks of infection
12. You must limit your work or stop practising if your performance or judgement is affected by your health
13. You must behave with integrity and honesty and you must make sure that your behaviour does not damage public confidence in you or your profession
14. You must make sure that any advertising is accurate

You can download copies of the standards from the publications section of our website, or you can ask us to send you a copy. Please see the section 'More information' on page 15.

Confidentiality – guidance for registrants

This document.....	3
About the HPC	3
Who do we regulate?	4
Protected titles.....	4
Introduction.....	5
Confidentiality.....	5
Our standards of conduct, performance and ethics.....	6
Language	6
Confidentiality and the law	6
Identifiable information and Anonymised Information.....	7
Keeping information safe.....	8
Disclosing information	9
Using information	9
Consent and confidentiality	9
Liaison with other professionals	10
Express consent.....	11
Disclosure without consent.....	12
Public interest disclosure.....	12
Disclosures required by law.....	14
Disclosures required by law.....	14
Disclosure to regulators.....	14
Reporting concerns to regulators	14
Requests for information	15
HPC, fitness to practise and identifiable information	15
Confidentiality and accountability	16
More information	16
Glossary	17

This document

This document provides advice to registrants about some of the issues around handling information about service users. It might also be helpful to potential registrants, employers and others who want to know the ways in which health professionals are expected to approach issues of confidentiality.

In this document 'you' is a reference to a registrant and 'we' and 'our' are references to the Health Professions Council.

Our registrants work in a variety of different settings and with a variety of different people. In this document we refer to those who use or who are affected by the services of our registrants as 'service users'. This includes carers and relatives.

Please read the whole of this document. If you have any further questions, please see the 'More information' section on page 15.

Please let us know if you would like to be sent a copy of this document in an alternative format.

About the HPC

We are the Health Professions Council. We are a health regulator and our main aim to protect the public. To this we keep a register of health professionals who meet and standards for their training, professional skills and health.

Health professionals on our register are called 'registrants'. If registrants do not meet our standards, we can take action against them which may include removing them from the Register so that they can no longer practise.

When we say 'health professional' we mean a person whose work is concerned with improving and promoting the health and wellbeing of their service users.

Who do we regulate?

We currently regulate 13 healthcare professions:

- Arts therapists
- Biomedical scientists
- Chiropodists and podiatrists
- Clinical scientists
- Dietitians
- Occupational therapists
- Operating department practitioners
- Orthoptists
- Paramedics
- Physiotherapists
- Prosthetists and orthotists
- Radiographers
- Speech and language therapists

We may regulate other professions in the future. For an up-to-date list of professions, please see our website: www.hpc-uk.org

Protected titles

All of the professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means, for example, that anyone using the title 'physiotherapist' or 'dietitian' must be registered with us.

It is a criminal offence for someone to claim that they are registered with us when they are not, or to use a protected title that they are not entitled to use. We will prosecute people who commit these crimes.

Introduction

Confidentiality

Service users expect that health professionals involved in their care or who have access to information about them will protect their confidentiality at all times.

Information might include details of a service user's lifestyle, family or medical condition which is sensitive to them and which they want to be kept private. Breaches of confidentiality can affect the care or services you provide by making service users less likely to provide the information needed to care for them. It can also affect the trust of service users in the professionals involved in their healthcare as public confidence in all health professionals.

This guidance cannot cover every situation where problems or challenges might come up about confidentiality. As a guide, however, you should keep in mind the following principles when handling information.

You should:

- take reasonable steps to keep information about service users safe;
- obtain informed consent for disclosure and obtain express written consent when information is being used for reasons which are unrelated to the care of a service user;
- only disclose identifiable information where it is absolutely necessary and, when it is necessary to disclose identifiable information, only disclose the minimum amount necessary
- keep service users informed of disclosures (where practical and possible);
- appropriately record disclosures;
- keep up-to-date with relevant law and best practice;
- where appropriate, seek advice from HPC, colleagues, professional bodies, unions or legal professionals; and
- make your own informed decisions about disclosure and be able to justify them.

This document covers these principles in more detail and provides additional guidance about some of the issues which come up about confidentiality. It builds upon the expectations of health professionals outlined in our standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

Our standards of conduct, performance and ethics

As registrants work in a variety of settings and undertake a variety of different roles we have written our standards so that they are relevant, as far as possible, to all our registrants. They have also been written in such a way that they can take into account changes in the law, technology or working practices which might take place over time.

Our standards are flexible enough to allow individuals and employers to take into account local circumstances, such as a specific area of practice or the availability of resources, to develop ways of working that are practical, effective and meet the needs of service users.

This document has been written to help you meet our standards. However, there is often more than one way in which our standards can be met. As an autonomous health professional you still need to make personal decisions about the best way in which you can meet our standards, taking into account your own practice and the needs of your service users.

If your practice is called into question we will take into account any steps you have taken, including following this guidance, in deciding whether you have met our standards.

Language

In much of this guidance, the service users referred to are patients, clients and other individuals who are directly affected by the care, treatment and advice of registrants. However, we hope the guidance establishes some broad principles which are still applicable to registrants who work in other contexts. This could include registrants who provide services to organisations rather than individuals.

Confidentiality and the law

Your duty as a registrant to respect and safeguard the confidentiality of service users at all times is both a professional and a legal responsibility.

It is a professional responsibility because our standards are there to protect the public and say that you should protect the confidentiality of service users at all times. Breaches of confidentiality can affect your registration.

It is a legal responsibility because of principles established by the law which say that professionals have an obligation of confidentiality to those with whom they have a professional relationship. Legislation also states how information should be kept, handled and disclosed.

This guidance draws on relevant laws that affect health professionals and their service users. We have not referred to any legislation by name to avoid any confusion and so that this document can be easily understood by all of our stakeholders.

Identifiable information and anonymised information

Throughout this document we refer to 'identifiable information' and 'anonymised information'. Identifiable information is any information held about a service user that could identify them. This includes personal details such as names, addresses, pictures or videos or anything else which might identify the service user. Anonymised information is information about a service user that has had all identifiable information removed from it.

DRAFT

Keeping information safe

Our standards of conduct, performance and ethics say that:

‘You must not knowingly release any personal or confidential information to anyone who is not entitled to it, and you should check that people who ask for information are entitled to it.’

and

‘You must protect information in records against loss, damage, inappropriate access or tampering.’

You need to take reasonable steps (such as those described above) to safeguard information about service users.

By ‘reasonable steps’ we mean that you need to take sensible, practical measures to make sure that information is kept safe. For example, you could store records in a locked container when moving them between clinics. If you run your own practice, you could develop a clear policy for your practice and provide training for your members of staff. Or you might ensure that you avoid having conversations about service users in public areas where others might be able to hear.

If you are employed your employer will normally have policies and guidelines on how you should store, handle and provide information to others. In the vast majority of circumstances following these policies will allow you to meet comfortably our standards. However, you still need to think about your own practice to make sure that you are protecting confidentiality at all times.

As a responsible professional it is important that you take appropriate action if it is brought to your attention that confidentiality has been broken or if there might be a risk of this happening. You should inform your employer (if you have one) and take steps to try and make sure that the problem doesn’t happen again.

If you feel that the policy of your employer might mean that confidentiality is put at risk you should contact your union or professional body for advice.

Disclosing information

Using information

Our standards of conduct, performance and ethics say that:

‘You must only use information about a service user to...continue to care for that person... or for purposes where that person has given you specific permission to use the information.’

When we refer to the ‘use’ of information we mean the handling of information in any way. This includes accessing information, as well as disclosing information to third parties and using information in research or teaching.

In particular, you should be aware that accessing information without good reason or authorisation is considered to be breaking confidentiality even if this information is not passed on to a third party. It is good practice to limit your access to confidential information (wherever possible) to that which is relevant and necessary to protect the health and wellbeing of service users.

Consent and confidentiality

Disclosure of identifiable information happens for a number of reasons. It can happen when making a referral to another health professional or when a service user asks for information to be given to a third party.

Any handling of information about service users touches on issues of consent and how this should be obtained. It is important that you seek and obtain informed consent before sharing or disclosing information or using information for reasons which are unrelated to care or the provision of services. There are some exceptions to this and we cover these later in this document.

By ‘informed consent’ we mean that the service user has enough information to make a decision about whether they are happy for information to be shared with others. Service users should be fully aware of why any information about them is to be shared or disclosed, how the information will be shared and to whom the information will be given. They should also be informed of the likely impact upon their care or the services they receive if they do not consent.

There are two types of informed consent:

Implied consent

If you are using identifiable information in order to care for a service user then in most circumstances you will have implied consent. Most service users will understand the importance of sharing information within the healthcare team. If you are unsure whether you have implied consent you should obtain express consent.

Express consent

This is where you are given specific consent to do something. It is necessary to gain express consent if you are using identifiable information for reasons which are unrelated to care or the provision of services. This might be when information is needed for use in teaching or research. It is also important to gain express consent where a service user has previously objected to information about them being shared with others.

This guidance deals with issues of consent wherever they are relevant to using information about service users.

Liaison with other professionals

One of the most common reasons for disclosing confidential information will be liaising with other health professionals. This might include discussing a case with a colleague or making a referral to another health professional.

Sharing information is often part of best practice. Care or treatment of a service user is rarely undertaken by just one health professional and effective communication and sharing of information within the healthcare team is often an important way of ensuring continuity of care.

Most service users will understand the importance of sharing information with members of the healthcare team so you will normally have implied consent to do this. However, you should make sure when sharing information with other colleagues that:

- it is necessary to provide the information and the information provided is relevant;
- the professional receiving the information understands why it is being shared and that it should be kept confidential;
- you and your colleagues respect the wishes of a service user if they object to information being shared; and
- you explain to the service user the possible effect of not sharing information about their care or treatment or other services you are providing

At all times you should act in the best interests of service users. This includes making reasonable efforts to persuade a service user to allow information to be shared or disclosed if this might be in their best interests.

However, it is important that you respect the informed wishes of service users. If you decide not to liaise with other professionals when you might reasonably be expected to, or if a service user asks you not to do so, it is important that you keep clear records of your considerations and are able to justify your decision.

Example

A paediatric speech and language therapist is asked by the parents of a six year old boy to produce a report about his language difficulties. She would normally contact other health professionals involved in his care when producing her report to gather information and to discuss her assessment. She would then normally send a copy of her report, when complete.

The parents tell her that they would prefer she didn't contact any other health professionals directly because they want an independent opinion. She discusses all the options with them and respects their wishes. They provide her with copies of previous reports to read. She documents in the report the information she took into account and why she didn't contact other health professionals. She provides the parents with copies of her report which they can distribute if they want to.

In this example the registrant has respected the confidentiality of her service user by following the informed wishes of his parents. She has kept clear records and, if asked to, can justify her decision not to speak to other health professionals.

Express consent

It is important that specific, written consent is obtained if identifiable information is to be used for purposes unrelated to the care of a service user or if you have good reason to believe that you do not have implied consent. This might include when information is needed for use in research or teaching.

Anonymised information should always be used if this is satisfactory. You should consider how much information you need to change or remove to make sure that the confidentiality of the service user is protected. For example, you should consider whether the area in which you work means that it might be possible to identify the service user by their occupation or by their medical condition.

If identifiable information is necessary you should explain fully to the service user how the information is to be used and any risks in consenting to disclosure. You should make sure that consent is clearly documented in the notes. It is important that once consent is received you do not move beyond that consent without gaining further consent from the service user concerned.

Sometimes requests may be made for information to be disclosed to a third party who is not a health professional. This might be a request to send information to an insurance company or a solicitor.

You should take steps to make sure that you have consent to provide any information. For example, if the request has come directly from a solicitor, you should make sure that the service user consents to this information being supplied. You should also clearly document the disclosure and only disclose

what you have been asked to. If a request causes concern, for example, if it appears that the information requested is not relevant to the matters at hand, you should contact the individual requesting the information for clarification. You may also wish to seek legal advice or the advice of a union or professional body.

Disclosure without consent

There are a small number of circumstances where you might need to pass on information without consent, or when you have asked for consent, but it has been refused by the service user.

In circumstances where it is not possible to obtain consent - for example, in some emergencies - a decision to disclose information should be made if it is in the best interests of the service user.

It is important to make sure that a service user is able to give consent. By this we mean that the service user is able to understand the information given to them so that they can reach an informed decision about whether they want to consent or not.

Whether a service user is able to give consent will depend upon a number of different factors and considerations, including their intellectual ability and age. This guidance does not deal with these issues in detail.

You should speak to your employer or professional body for further guidance. You can also find links to other helpful publications on our website: www.hpc-uk.org

Public interest disclosure

Confidential information may be disclosed without consent or where consent has been withheld if it is in the public interest to do so.

This might be in circumstances where information is necessary to prevent a serious crime or serious harm to others. The public interest is determined by balancing the potential risk of harm to others if the information isn't disclosed against the possible consequences of disclosing the information. This includes taking into account the effect of disclosing the information upon the care, treatment or services you provide to the service user.

Disclosures in the public interest should be carefully considered. You should speak to your employer (if you have one). You may also wish to seek legal advice. You may be asked to justify a decision to disclose information in the public interest (or a decision not to disclose) so it is important that you keep clear records.

Appropriate steps should still be taken to obtain the consent of the service user before disclosure occurs. They should be kept informed of the situation as far as possible.

However, this might not be possible or appropriate in some circumstances, such as when information is disclosed to prevent or detect a serious crime.

Example

An occupational therapist (OT) who works in a community mental health team has been supporting a service user through regular visits to his home. During these visits she has noticed a series of sexually explicit images from magazines displayed around his room, which she finds distasteful. She discusses her concerns with her line manager, but as the images do not appear to be illegal, they do not take any action.

However, during her last visit, she notices other images which show the service user abusing a child.

She immediately discusses what she has seen with her line manager. They decide that as there is evidence of a serious criminal offence, the public interest in protecting others from harm in this instance outweighs the service user's right to confidentiality. They discuss whether it would be appropriate to inform the service user first, but decide that it is important that the evidence is not destroyed and that they act quickly. They inform the police and keep records of their considerations.

In this example, a decision to disclose information has been taken after detection of a serious criminal offence. The registrant sought guidance from their manager before they disclosed the information.

Disclosures required by law

The law describes who has rights to access information. For example, service users have a right to access information about themselves under the law. Although not every request for information will be made quoting the law, it is important that you respect service users' rights to obtain information about themselves.

Sometimes requests might be made directly under the law. This might be a formal request made by a solicitor or the order of a court. You have to comply with requests to disclose information to comply with legal obligations.

You should inform the service user of the disclosure unless there are good reasons not to. You should also only provide the information requested and record the disclosure in the notes.

Disclosure to regulators

There are a number of regulators such as the General Medical Council, the Healthcare Commission and us to whom disclosure of information might be necessary. This section refers to regulators of healthcare professionals as registrants are more likely to receive requests for information from these organisations. However, the guidance here is still relevant to other types of regulator.

We recognise that disclosing information to regulators can be problematic. Registrants are often hesitant about disclosing identifiable information because they are uncertain about how this information might be used. However, so that regulators can protect the public it is important that they are informed when there are concerns about the fitness to practise of a registered health professional. This is also related to your duties under our standards of conduct, performance and ethics.

Reporting concerns to regulators

In the course of telling a regulator about your concerns you may need to include information about a service user. This might be because your concerns are about the treatment of a particular service user or group of service users.

If you need to disclose information about a service user you should make sure that:

- the information is relevant to your concerns;
- the service user's consent has been sought for the disclosure and/or;
- identifiable information, including names and addresses, has been removed; and

- you appropriately record the reasons for the disclosure and can justify your decision if asked to.

You might also wish to discuss such matters with your line manager (if you have one) or a professional colleague.

If you are uncertain about whether to inform a regulator, what information to provide, or how the information will be used, you should contact the regulator for further advice.

Requests for information

Sometimes requests are made by regulators for records in order to assist them in an on-going investigation.

For example, if we are looking at a complaint about inadequate record keeping we might need to ask for copies of the records so that we can decide whether our standards have been met. Regulators often have powers to request information from anyone, apart from the health professional about whom an allegation has been made.

Such requests will often be made using ‘statutory powers’. These are powers that a regulator has under law to request information to assist them in an investigation. You have to comply with such requests but it is good practice to inform service users (wherever possible) that information has been requested. You should take care that you only provide the information requested and provide anonymised or partially anonymised information when this is satisfactory.

If a request causes concern, for example, if it appears that the information requested is not relevant to the matters at hand, you should contact the regulator for clarification. You may also wish to seek legal advice or the advice of a union or professional body.

HPC, fitness to practise and identifiable information

In the course of investigating the fitness to practise of a registrant, we may need to request identifiable information. We often need to ask for identifiable information because we need it to form part of the evidence at a hearing.

We sometimes use our statutory powers to request information. If we do so we will put this in writing and explain why we asking for the information and how it will be used. Any information we use in the course of a hearing has to be anonymised and we will always take appropriate steps to make sure that confidentiality is protected. These include referring to a service user by their initials and holding hearings in private when necessary. We have a legal responsibility to handle such information responsibly and confidentially.

Confidentiality and accountability

As an autonomous health professional you are responsible and accountable for the decisions you make.

We feel that you are best placed to make practical decisions, taking into account the way in which you practice. You need to make informed, reasoned decisions about your own practice to make sure that you respect and safeguard the confidentiality of service users at all times. It is also important that you are able to justify the decisions you make.

More information

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us. Please note, however, that we are unable to offer legal advice. You can contact us at the following address:

Health Professions Council
Park House
184 Kennington Park Road
London
SE11 4BU

Telephone: 020 7582 0866

You can download copies of our standards documents and other publications from our website: www.hpc-uk.org

We recognise the valuable role played by professional bodies in representing and promoting the interests of their members. This often includes guidance and advice about best practice which can help you meet the standards laid out in this document.

For a list of publications produced by other organisations that can help you meet our standards, please see our website.

Glossary

Anonymised Information

Information about a service user that has had all identifiable information removed from it.

Court order

An order made by a judge or an officer of the court for something to happen.

Express consent

Specific consent, written or oral, for treatment or use of information.

Fitness to practise

When we say that someone is 'fit to practise', we mean that they have the skills, knowledge, character and health to do their job safely and effectively. We also mean that we trust them to act legally.

Health professional

A person whose work is concerned with improving and promoting the health and wellbeing of their service users in a variety of different ways and in a variety of different settings.

Identifiable information

Any information that might identify a service user.

Implied consent

When a service user is aware of the potential for sharing information and their right to refuse and makes no objection.

Professional body

The professional bodies representing the health professions regulated by the HPC.

Public interest disclosure

Providing information without consent but where it might be needed to prevent serious harm.

Register

A published list of health professionals who meet the HPC's standards. The Register is available on our website.

Registrant

A health professional that appears on our Register

Regulator

An organisation that acts to make sure that people comply with certain laws or requirements.

Service user

When we say ‘service user’ we mean anyone who uses or who is affected by the services of a registrant. This includes carers and relatives.

Standards of conduct, performance and ethics

A document which sets out the behaviour that we expect from health professionals who are registered with us.

Statutory powers

Legal powers that certain bodies, such as regulators, have to request information.

Third party

When we say ‘third party’ we mean someone who is not the service user, their family or carer or a professional involved in that service user’s care.