

Audit Committee, 4 September 2018

Social Worker Risk Register & Risk Treatment Plan

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

- The Social Worker Risk Register and Risk Treatment plan is a document reflecting current and recent levels of risk recognised by risk owners, related to the project to migrate Social Workers in England to a new regulator. Social Work England
- 2. The Project risk register is separate from the Enterprise Risk Register and Risk Treatment plan
- 3. HCPC recruitment of a FTC Senior Project Manager has completed and the person is in post.

Decision

The Audit Committee is requested discuss the risk register in light of any additional information obtained around August / September 2018.

Background information

None

Resource implications

None

Financial implications

None

Appendices

None

Date of paper

28 August 2018

Risk Register & Risk Treatment Plan Social Workers in England

Marc Seale, Chief Executive & Registrar Report to Audit Committee, (August 2018)





June 2018 Risk Assessment

Contents	Page
Contents page	
Top HCPC risks	2
Changes since last published	5
Strategic risks	6
Operations risks	7
Communications risks	8
Corporate Governance risks	9
Information Technology risks	10
Partner risks	11
Education risks	12
Project Management risks	13
Quality Management risks	14
Registration risks	15
HR risks	16
Legal risks	17
Fitness to Practise risks	18
Policy & Standards risks	19
Finance risks	20
Information Security risks	21
Appendix i Glossary and Abbreviations	22
Appendix ii HCPC Risk Matrix	23
HCPC Risk Matrix terms detail	24
Appendix iii HCPC Strategic Objectives & Risk Appetite	25
	_

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

"Top Risks" (High & Medium after mitigation)

Historic Risk Scores

Risk owner (primary person responsible managing the ongoing fixs) Mitigation II Mitigation III CURRENT RISK SCORE S.1.5.1 Last conflictation facilities and increased an increased an increase and increased an increased an increased an increased an increased an increased an increased and increased an increased an increased and increased and increased an increased and increased and increased an increased and increased an increased and increased and increased an increased and i					. op mene	(mgn & mediani	and milganon,							 	<u> </u>
Modulum term financial plan updated and reviewed as more special plan operations and an experiment of the foreign of control to the special plan operation in co		Description	person responsible for assessing and managing the		Mitigation II	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE	Jun-18	Mar-18	Nov-17	Sep-17	Apr-17			
S.8.1 Imme continuit action a round rise. S.8.2 Immediately a continuit action a round rise. Project Sporsor Project Interior the resider Project Lead Time Quality That expectations around case progression, registrant application processing with the receiving of Switz-Freadiness, as project progresses, allowing sufficient time to reset specific. S.1.3 Governments ambitious timetable leads to project failure. High High High High Medium Project Lead Fig. 1 High High High High Medium Project Resource Projec	S.15.1	Lost contribution caused by transfer of SW in England from HCPC to SW (England)	Finance Director	updated and reviewed as more detail of government's plans	process and 6 and 9 month reforecast processes enable short term reductions in controllable	leases and other measures to minimise fixed costs; propose fee increase if necessary to cover balance of lost	High	High	High	High	High	High			
S.8.4 arrange processing and course approval at the point of transfer application processing and course approval at the point of transfer application processing and course approval at the point of transfer application processing and course approval at the point of transfer application processing and course approval at the point of transfer application processing and course approval at the point of transfer application processing organisation to manage a smooth transferral process and transfer approval. S.1.3 Governments ambitious timetable leads to project failure. HCPC Chief Executive Draft SW England key assumptions document, v1.0 16th May 2018 On going regular contact with Gvmt depts On going regular contact with Gvmt depts High High High High High High Medium High High High High New S.1.7 Lack of Parlimentary time impacts delivery of project requirements HCPC Chief Executive On going regular contact with Gvmt depts Delay project if required by government departments Delay project if required by government departments	S.8.1	content of the legislation is not forthcoming, leading to a lack of ability for HCPC to sufficiently	Government / DfE; Project Sponsor Project Lead	with D of E Project Team / Government departments to ensure that timeframes allocated to the	meet SW-E management on 10th Sept to discuss go-		High	High	High	High	High	High			
S.1.3 Governments ambinious timetable leads to project failure. S.1.4 Brexit reduces availability of governments legal advice to New Reg HCPC Chief Executive SMT On going regular contact with Gymt depts State asking for further clarification High Needium State asking for further clarification High Needium Delay project if required by governments with Gymt depts State asking for further clarification High High High High High High High High New New	S.8.4	progression, registrant application processing and course approval at the point of transfer are unrealistic given the timeframe assigned to the	Project Lead	consultants on all 3 regulatory	with the receiving organisation to manage a	of SW-E readiness, as project progresses, allowing sufficient	High	High	High	High	High	High			
S.1.4 legal advice to New Reg Executive / SMT depts S.1.5 Lack of Parlimentary time impacts delivery of project requirements HCPC Chief Executive On going regular contact with Gvmt depts Delay project if required by government departments High High High High New	S.1.3					State asking for further	High	High	High	High	High	Medium			
of project requirements & EMT depts governement departments	S.1.4						High	High	High	High	High	Medium			
Rreak clauses in	S.1.7						High	High	High	High	High	New			
S.15.6 Funding shortfall for transfer project (pre-transfer) Finance Director Medium term financial plan updated and reviewed as more detail of government's plans becomes available Annual budget setting process and 6 and 9 month reforecast processes enable short term reductions in controllable costs Medium term financial plan updated and reviewed as more detail of government's plans becomes available Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium Medium	S.15.6	Funding shortfall for transfer project (pre- transfer)	Finance Director	updated and reviewed as more detail of government's plans	process and 6 and 9 month reforecast processes enable short term reductions in controllable	measures to minimise fixed costs; propose fee increase if necessary to cover balance of lost	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium			
S.2.1 Operational costs increased due to loss of volume discounts and scalability opportunities SMT Changes to supplier contracts Fee rise to maintain service levels Medium Mediu	S.2.1		SMT	Changes to supplier contracts			Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium			
S.2.2 Changes to timetable for profession migration disrupt resource planned around specific date SMT Delay non time critical work to deliver Social Worker England project Increase contractor resource Medium M	\$.2.2		SMT		Increase contractor resource		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium			
S.5.1 New Regulator fails to deliver to timetable and our operations and performance are compromised (as awaiting trnsfer out of SW records) Exec Director of IT & Respources, Project Prtfolio Mgr Respources, Project Prtfolio Mgr Medium Medium	S.5.1	our operations and performance are compromised (as awaiting trnsfer out of SW	Respources, Project				Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium			
S.6.1 Loss of Registrants results in shortfall of SW Partners for existing or ongoing FTP cases, post transfer Director of HR & Org Dev, Partner Mgr, Head of FTP Dev, Partner Mgr, Head	S.6.1	Partners for existing or ongoing FTP cases,	Dev, Partner Mgr,	cases to service provider post			Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	_		
S.7.2 Timing of HCPC's planned disengagement with approvals and monitoring of SW programmes disrupted by transfer delays Reorganise visit and approval cover for required education processes Obtain temporary contractor cover for required education processes Medium Me	S.7.2	approvals and monitoring of SW programmes	Head of Education	schedule to allow for ongoing SW	cover for required education		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium			
S.1.5 Delay in transfer triggers significant political and media scrutiny (FOI requests etc) HCPC Chief Executive depts On going regular contact with Gvmt depts Medium Me	S.1.5						Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium			
S.1.6 HCPC's working relationship with DoH is damaged through inability to deliver on time SMT On going regular contact with Gvmt depts Medium	S.1.6			0 0 0			Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium			

	Decoription	Risk owner (primar person responsible for assessing and managing the		Mitigation II	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE	Jun-18	Mar-18	Nov-17 Sep-17	Apr-17		
	Description	ongoing risk)	Mitigation I	Mitigation II Management openness and	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE						
S.1.1	Non financial impact of transfer on HCPC's culture	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	Communications to managers and employees	employees assistance scheme		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.8.2	Quality That stakeholder management is poorly undertaken by HCPC	Project Lead	Clear idenitification of stakeholders and early allocation of responsibility within the project team			Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.8.3	Quality That expectations around the data that will be transferred is unachievable given any HCPC systems and data limitations	Project Manager	Accept the risk and manage expecations within the receiving organisation as best as possible			Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.8.5	Time Quality That due to inexperience the receiving organisation is unco-operative or unclear in stipulating their data requirements, which would lead to delays in HCPC preparation	Project Manager	Early expectation setting with the receiving organisation to manage a smooth transferral process			Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.8.6	Time Quality That there are delays in implementing technology solutions in the receiving organisation which could have a knock on effect to HCPC preparations for data transfer		Early expectation setting with the receiving organisation to manage a smooth transferral process			Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.8.8	HCPC's non-Social Worker England projects delayed due to poorly constrained or slipping time scales of Social Worker England project & conflicting commitments	SMT	Monitoring of budget and work plans	Experience of HCPC's EMT	Experience of HCPC project management	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.13.1	Retain legacy FTP cases without clear case transfer and funding arrangements in place	Head of Fitness to Practice, Director of Finance	Cost allocation and overhead charging method agreed with DfE prior to transfer	Request additional funding for specific cases	Reforecasting budget processes and resource allocation	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.15.3	Social workers may cancel direct debit instructions for their HCPC fees in 2018-19 due to their previous experience of a double registration fee hit when transferring from the GSCC to HCPC in 2012, causing a shortfall in income and additional work.	Finance Director, Head of Registration	Communications plan to support ongoing payment prior to transfer to new regulator	Ongoing regular contact with DH and DfE		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.15.4	Cost of work leading up to the transfer and/or cost of any functions undertaken on behalf of SWE after the transfer not fully covered by DH / DfE grant, including related overheads	HCPC Accounting Officer	Early discussions woth DoH & D oE w.r.t. cost of transfer	Undertake fully funded activities only		Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium Medium	Medium		
S.2.5	SW-E project detracts from Business As Usual activities with ongoing HCPC regulated professions	SMT	Project managed by discrete team, seperated from day to day operations in regulatory departments	Discrete budget control for project		Medium						

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee Changes since the previous iteration of HCPC's Risk Register

Category	Ref#	Description	Nature of change in this version
Operations	S.2.4	Renewal failure in 2018 due to iminent regulatory transfer	New mitigation added
		1	New risk added at suggestion of Audit Comm
Project Management	S.8.1	Lack of detail in legislation leads to insufficient preparatory time for HCPC	Increased detail in mitigations
	S.8.4	Unrealitic deadlines set for transfer of regulatory functions	Increased detail in mitigations

Overview of Risk Management and Risk Treatment process

Throughout the year exisiting Risk Register & Risk Treatment Plan

the effectiveness of mitigations and the levels of residual risk.

Future risks are also documented, evaluated and monitored against the same criteria.

Every six months these changes and additions to risks are updated in the risk register and formally documented by the Director of Operations or Head of Business Process Improvement, and the Top Ten Risks (High & Medium only after mitigation) are recorded.

Individual risks are linked to the current Statement of Applicability by the ISO27001 Clauses noted in the ISMS Risks column on each page. Strategic Objectives are linked to individual risks where applicable.

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Strategic

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations August 2018	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score afte Mitigation June 2018
1	Strategic		S.1.1	Non financial impact of transfer on HCPC's culture	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	3	4	12	Communications to managers and employees	Management openness and employees assistance scheme		Med	Med
	Strategic		S.1.2	HCPC's repution tainted by new regulator's early failure to deliver service standards, blaming HCPC hand over	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	3	3	9	Level of cooperation with new regulator			Low	Low
	Strategic		S.1.3	Governments ambitious timetable leads to project failure.	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	3	5	15	Draft SW England key assumptions document, v1.0 16th May 2018		Chair to write to Secs of State asking for further clarification	High	High
	Strategic		S.1.4	Brexit reduces availability of governments legal advice to New Reg	HCPC Chief Executive / SMT	4	3	12	On going regular contact with Gvmt depts			High	High
	Strategic		S.1.5	Delay in transfer triggers significant political and media scrutiny (FOI requests etc)	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	3	4	12	On going regular contact with Gvmt depts	Bespoke Communications plan for specific outcomes		Med	Med
	Strategic		S.1.6	HCPC's working relationship with DoH is damaged through inability to deliver on time	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	3	4	12	On going regular contact with Gvmt depts			Med	Med
	Strategic		S.1.7	Lack of Parlimentary time impacts delivery of project requirements Ref S.1.3 & S.1.4	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	4	3	12	On going regular contact with Gvmt depts	Delay project if required by governement departments		High	High
	Strategic			Reputational damage to HCPC due to SW-E project failure	HCPC Chief Executive & SMT	3	3	9	Proactive prompting of SW-England project team of potential pitfalls based on HCPC experience		Bespoke Communications plan for specific outcomes	Med	Med

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Operations

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations August 2018	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Operations		S.2.1	Operational costs increased due to loss of volume discounts and scalability opportunities	SMT	2	3	6	I('handee to eunnlier contracte	Potential fee rise to maintain service levels		Med	Med
	Operations			Changes to timetable for profession migration disrupt resource planned around specific date	SMT	3	3	9	Delay non time critical work to deliver Social Worker England project	Increase contractor resource		Med	Med
	Operations		S.2.3	Due to no clear govt timetable HCPC delays investment in internal projects	SMT	3	3	9	Ongoing close monitoring of problematic governmental timetable and objectives	Ongoing reprioritisation of project list		Med	Med
	Operations		S.2.4	SW fail to renew in 2018 due to avoiding potential double fee charge as with GSCC & HCPC move (or switch to dd rather than full 2 yr pymt)	SMT	3	2	6	requirement, HCPC transfers deferred income	Proactive communications on statutory requirement for regulation until new Regulator is in place	Determine if SW-England project allows discretion to transfer recently deregistered SW's	Med	Med
	Operations	NEW	S.2.5	SW-E project detracts from Business As Usual activities with ongoing HCPC regulated professions	SMT	3	3	9	Project managed by discrete team, seperated from day to day operations in regulatory departments	Discrete budget control for project		Med	

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Communications

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations August 2018	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Communications		S.3.1		Head of Communications, Project Portfolio Mgr	2	3	6	HCPC's Comprehensive, bespoke Comms plan for stakeholders	D of E funding		Low	Low
	Communications		S.3.2	Public protection damaged due to lack of clarity around regulator to contact	Head of Communications, Project Portfolio Mgr	2	4	8	HCPC's Comprehensive, bespoke Comms plan for public	D of E funding		Low	Low
	Communications		S.3.3	Negative comms concerning HCPC from New Reg to justify existence	Head of Communications, Project Portfolio Mgr	2	2	4	HCPC's Comprehensive, bespoke Comms plan for public			Low	Low

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Corporate Governance

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Corporate Governance		S.4.1	disrupts regular Council member recruitment cycle - appropriate transitional provisions legislation	Communications,	1	2	,	Obtain legal advice around interpretation of requirement	Work with DH to ensure the requirment is fulfilled	None	Low	Low

DOCUMENT CONTROL:Enc 11 - Social Work Risk Register Issue Date:28/08/2018

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Information Technology

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Information Technology		S.5.1	Exec Director of IT & Resources, Project Portfolio Mgr	3	4		Share HCPC's migration expertise with new Regulator project team			Med	Med

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Partners

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Partners		961	Director of HR, Partner Manager, Head of FTP	4	3		Outsource existing / ongoing SW cases to service provider post transfer	D of E funding of service provider	Outsourced contractors SLA	Med	Med

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Education

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III		RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Education		S.7.1	Education providers disengage from HCPC processes before the tranfer to new Regulator	Head of Education	3	2	6	Proactive communications with NewReg to education providers			Low	Low
	Education		S.7.2	Timing of HCPC's planned disengagement with approvals and monitoring of SW programmes disrupted by transfer delays	Head of Education	3	3	9	Reorganise visit and approval schedule to allow for ongoing SW work.	Obtain temporary contractor cover for required education processes		Med	Med
	Education		S.7.3		Head of Education, Director of Finance	2	2	4	Additional grant from DoH England	Obtain temporary contractor cover for required education processes		Low	Low

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Project Management

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the on-going risk)	Impact before mitigations August 2018	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Project Management			Time Quality That communication around the content of the legislation is not forthcoming, leading to a lack of ability for HCPC to sufficiently prepare in time for the transfer	Project Sponsor Project	4	4	16	Early and frequent communication with D of E Project Team / Government departments to ensure that timeframes allocated to the transfer are adequate	HCPC project team to meet SW-E management on 10th Sept to discuss go-live dates		High	High
	Project Management		S.8.2	Quality That stakeholder management is poorly undertaken by HCPC	Project Lead	3	3	9	Clear idenitification of stakeholders and early allocation of responsibility within the project team			Med	Med
	Project Management		S.8.3	Quality That expectations around the data that will be transferred is unachievable given any HCPC systems and data limitations	Project Manager	2	3	6	Accept the risk and manage expecations within the receiving organisation as best as possible			Med	Med
	Project Management		S.8.4	Time Quality That expectations around case progression, registrant application processing and course approval at the point of transfer are unrealistic given the timeframe assigned to the transfer process	Project Lead	4	4	16	Early dialog with SW-E consultants on all 3 regulatory functions	Early expectation setting with the receiving organisation to manage a smooth transferral process	Continual monitoring of SW- E readiness, as project progresses, allowing sufficient time to reset specific migration deadlines	High	High
	Project Management		S.8.5	Time Quality That due to inexperience the receiving organisation is unco-operative or unclear in stipulating their data requirements, which would lead to delays in HCPC preparations	Project Manager	3	4	12	Early expectation setting with the receiving organisation to manage a smooth transferral process			Med	Med
	Project Management		S.8.6	Time Quality That there are delays in implementing technology solutions in the receiving organisation which could have a knock on effect to HCPC preparations for data transfer	Project Manager	3	4	12	Early expectation setting with the receiving organisation to manage a smooth transferral process			Med	Med
	Project Management		S.8.7	Cost That the cost of the project will excede HCPC expectations	Project Sponsor	3	2	6	Experience of HCPC with inbound transfers helps predict outbound costs			Low	Low
	Project Management		S.8.8	HCPC's non-Social Worker England projects delayed due to poorly constrained or slipping time scales of Social Worker England project & conflicting commitments	SMT	5	2	10	Monitoring of budget and work plans	Experience of HCPC's SMT	Experience of HCPC project management	Med	Med
	Project Management		S.8.9	Impact and effectivness of Transfer Order fails to deliver project requirements	Government / D o E	5	5	25	Engagement of HCPC legal advisors with drafting of order.	Experience of HCPC's SMT		Low	Low

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Quality Management

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations August 2018	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
9	Quality Management.		S9.1	Loss of ISO 9001:2015 Certification	Head of Business Improvement	4	3	12	Regular & internal audits	QMS standards applied across HCPC	Management buy - in	Low	Low
				Links to 2.3, 10.3									
	Quality Management.	I A7.1.2		Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	SMT	5	2	10	Culture, follow procedures and report errors	Standard Operating Procedures and prevention of overwriting systems	Extend ISO systems as required	Low	Low
	Quality Management.		S9.3	Increase in service complaints from existing SW registrants creates backlog	Head of Quality, Complaints Manager	3	2	6	Comms plan in place with regular updates for social workers about the impact of the transfer	Previous experience of Social Worker feedback styles	Secretariat administrator able to cover admin side of complaints if necessary		Low

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Registrations

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations August 2018	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Registration		S.10.1	Poor control of timescale results in applications for Registration being sent to HCPC when no longer responsible for profession		2	3	6	vear students at HCPC approved courses	Filter applications for registration at Post Room, log names & postcodes, and securely forward to new Regulator		Low	Low
	Registration		S.10.2	Continuation of SW regulation beyond published dates caused resource shortfall in Reg Dept	Head of Registration & Executive Director of Regulation	3	2	6	Upscale resources with contractors in short term			Low	Low
	Registration		S.10.3	Limited remit of New Reg functions results in Gvmt request for HCPC to maintain register for unknown period of time, splitting functions	Head of Registration & Executive Director of Regulation	2	2	4	Ibotwoon NowPog & HCDC	Upscale resources with contractors in short to medium term. Changes to NetRegulate implimented in short term.	Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

HR

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	HR		S.11.1	performance of employees concerned about long term future	Resources & Org Dev,	3	3	9	Regular updates and communications with	ion engagement, wellbeing and	Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low
	HR		S.11.2	HCPC and new regulator disagree on application of COSOP causing uncertainty for employees	Director of Human Resources & Org Dev	2	2	4	HR / Employment legal advice	with new regulator and Department of	New employees recruited on fixed term contracts to manage expectations	Low	Low
	HR		5.11.3	challenges	Director of Human Resources & Org Dev, specific departmental managers	4	3	12	Agency temps and employees on fixed term contracts engaged to provide additional capacity.	Department of health funding to increase temporary support	Fixed term employees offered permanent contracts if a permanent opportunity becomes available	Low	Low

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Legal

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Legal		S.12.1	Exec Director of Policy & External Relations, SMT	4	1	4	Proactive involvement with DoE / DH legislators	Experienced HCPC legal advisors		Low	Low
	Legal		S.12.2	Exec Director of Policy & External Relations, SMT	3	2	6	Proactive involvement with DoE / DH legislators	Experienced HCPC legal advisors		Low	Low

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Fitness to Practise

Re	f Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	FTP		S.13.1	Retain legacy FTP cases without clear case transfer and funding arrangements in place	Head of Fitness to Practice, Director of Finance	3	2	6	Cost allocation and overhead charging method agreed with DfE prior to transfer	Request additional funding for specific cases	Reforecasting budget processes and resource allocation	Med	Med
	FTP		S.13.2	Maintain FTP function for unknown period of time, rather than project delay	Head of Fitness to Practice, Director of Finance	4	2	8	Cost allocation and overhead charging method agreed with DfE prior to transfer	Reforecasting budget processes and resource allocation	Request additional funding for specific cases	Low	Low

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Policy & Standards

Re	f	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
		Policy & Standards			N/A									

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Finance

Ref Cate		ISMS Risks Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations August 2018	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III		RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
Fina	ancial	S15.1	Lost contribution caused by transfer of SW in England from HCPC to SW (England)	Finance Director, SMT	5	5	25	Medium term financial plan updated and reviewed as more detail of government's plans becomes available	Annual budget setting process and 6 and 9 month reforecast processes enable short term reductions in controllable costs	Break clauses in leases and other measures to minimise fixed costs; potential to propose fee increase if necessary to cover balance of lost contribution	High	High
Fina	ancial	S15.2	Transfer of SW to SWE substantially reduces HCPC cash balance, since up to £5m of cash balance has been SW fees received in advance. Combined with deficits incurred after transfer due to lost contribution, liquidity may become an issue	Finance Director, SMT	5	4	20	Medium term financial plan updated and reviewed as more detail of government's plans becomes available	Arrange borrowing facility with bank if required		Med	Med
Fina	ancial	S15.3	Social workers may cancel direct debit instructions for their HCPC fees in 2018-19 due to their previous experience of a double registration fee hit when transferring from the GSCC to HCPC in 2012, causing a shortfall in income and additional work.	Finance Director, Head of Registration	4	3	12	Communications plan to support ongoing payment prior to transfer to new regulator	Ongoing regular contact with DH and DfE		Medium	Medium
Fina	ancial	S15.4	Cost of work leading up to the transfer and/or cost of any functions undertaken on behalf of SWE after the transfer not fully covered by DH / DfE grant, including related overheads	HCPC Accounting Officer	4	3	12	Early discussions woth DoH & D oE w.r.t. cost of transfer	Undertake fully funded activities only		Medium	Medium
Fina	ancial	S15.5	If the transfer date slips past 1 December 2018, special fee arrangements may need to be made for social workers, requiring extra admin/systems effort and costs	Finance Director	3	3	9	Ongoing regular contact with DH and DfE			Low	Low
Fina	ancial	\$15.6	DH fails to reimburse HCPC incurred costs	HCPC Accounting Officer & Finance Director	1	1	1	Monthly DH billing for incurred costs	Project planning, defines when costs to be incurred		Low	Low
Fina	ancial	S15.7	SW fail to renew in 2018 (in attempt to avoid potential double fee charge as in GSCC to HCPC transfer) causing financial shortfall	HCPC Accounting Officer & Finance Director	2	2	4	Proactive communications on statutory requirement for regulation until new Regulator is in place	Electronically based additional or extended renewal campaign		Low	Medium

DOCUMENT CONTROL:Enc 11 - Social Work Risk Register

Issue Date:28/08/2018

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Finance

		Ref	Category	ISMS Risks	Ref#		Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
--	--	-----	----------	---------------	------	--	---	---------------	---	--	--------------	---------------	----------------	---	---

THE HEALTH AND CARE PROFESSIONS COUNCIL

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN August 2018

Information Security

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks Ref#	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations August 2018	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation August 2018	RISK score after Mitigation June 2018
	Information Security	S.17.1		Government / New Regulator	5	1	5	HCPC-IT deliver data extract in highly secure manner, encrypted, password protected			Low	Low
	Information Security	S.17.2		Government / New Regulator	5	1	5	Use of couriers, with signatures, to pre-named parties only.	ISO27001 compatible processes used		Low	Low
	Information Security	S.17.3	transit, arriving at HCPC after transfer of bulk records to new	Exec Director of IT & Resources,Head of FTP, Hd of BPI / Head of Registration	5	2	10	Communications plan prior to move to NewReg,	Interception at HCPC post room to specific named individual at HCPC	Robust internal processes	Low	Low
	Information Security	S.17.4	delivery of other functionality to	Exec Director of IT & Resources, Hd of BPI, Head of Registration. Project Portfolio Mgr	3	3	9	Proactive modification of internal deadlines based on evolving requirements.	Project prioritisation process		Low	Low
	Information Security	S.17.£	Removal of historic Social Worker information and inability to answer FOI requests results in ICO action (availability issues)	Exec Director of IT & Resources, Head of FTP, Hd of BPI, Head of Registration	2	3	6	Early redirection of all FOI requests to NewReg	Generation of FOl'able data table for reporting purposes.		Low	Low

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee

Glossary & Abbreviations

Term Meaning

AGM Annual General Meeting

BCP / BCM Business Continuity Plan / Business Continuity Management (Disaster Recovery and associated processes)

CCM's Council & Committee Menbers

CDT Cross Directorate Team (formerly HCPC's Middle Management Group)

CPD Continuing Professional Development

DH Departments of Health in Home countries

DfE Department for Education

EEA European Economic Area, = European Economic Union, plus Norway, Iceland, plus for our purposes Switzerland

EMT HCPC's Executive Management Team

EU European Economic Union (formerly known as the "Common Market")

Europa Quality Print Supplier of print and mailing services to HCPC

FReM Financial Reporting Manual

FTP Fitness to Practise
GP Grandparenting

HSWPO Health and Social Work Professions Order (2001)

HR Human Resources

HW Abbreviation for computer hardware

ISMS Information Security Management System (ISMS) risk

Impact The result of a particular event, threat or opportunity occuring. Scored between 1 least effect on HCPC and 5 maximum effect on HCPC.

ISO International Standards Organisation (the global governing body for the Quality standards used by HCPC)

ISO 9001:2008 The ISO Quality Management Standard used by HCPC. ISO 27001:2013 The ISO Information Security Standard used by HCPC.

IT Risk Register & Risk Treatment Plan

Likelihood Used to mean Probability of the event or issue occurring within the next 12 months

MIS Management Information System MOU Memorandum of Understanding

NetRegulate The bespoke computer application used to manage the application, registration and renewal processes, and publish the online register

New Reg New bespoke regulator for Social Workers in England

OIC Order in Council

OJEU Official journal of the European Union

Onboarding The process of bringing a new profession into statuatory regulation from HCPC's viewpoint

OPS Operations

PSA Formerly (CHRE), renamed Professional Standards Authority for Health and Social Care in the 2012 legislation.

PLG Professional Liason Group

Probability Likelihood, chance of occurring. Not the "mathematical" probability. Scored between 1 least likely and 5 most likely to occur within the next year.

Q Quality Management System (QMS) Risk

QMS Quality Management System, used to record and publish HCPC's agreed management processes
Risk Any uncertain event/s that could occur and have an impact on the achievement of objectives

Risk Owner The person or entity that has been given the authority to manage a particular risk and is accountable for doing so.

Risk Score Likelihood x Impact or Probability x Significance

SI Statutory Instrument
Significance Broadly similar to Impact

SSFS Scheme Specific Funding Standard, a set of standards relating to pensions services

STD Standards

SW Abbreviation for computer software

SW (England) Name of the new Social Work regulator in England

VPN Virtual Private Network, a method of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet

ISO27001 Term	Meaning
ISO27001:2013 A5	Security Policy Management
ISO27001:2013 A6	Corporate Security Management
ISO27001:2013 A7	Personnel Security Management
ISO27001:2013 A8	Organizational Asset Management
ISO27001:2013 A9	Information Access Management
ISO27001:2013 A10	Cryptography Policy Management
ISO27001:2013 A11	Physical Security Management
ISO27001:2013 A12	Operational Security Management
ISO27001:2013 A13	Network Security Management
ISO27001:2013 A14	System Security Management
ISO27001:2013 A15	Supplier Relationship Management
ISO27001:2013 A16	Security Incident Mangement
ISO27001:2013 A17	Security Continuity Management
ISO27001:2013 A18	Security Compliance Management

IMPACT

HCPC RISK MATRIX	Einone: al	Poputation						
Public Protection	Financial	Reputation						•
Catastrophic 5 A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.		Catastrophic 5 Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship	5	10	15	20	25	
Significant 4 A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Significant 4 Unfunded pressures £250,000 -£1 million	Significant 4 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.	4	8	12	16	20	
Moderate 3 A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Moderate 3 Unfunded pressures £50,000 - £250,000	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn	3	6	9	12	15	
Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve	Minor 2 Unfunded pressures £20,000 - £50,000	Minor 2 Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.	2	4	6	8	10	
celebrity cases.	·							
Insignificant 1 A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Insignificant 1 Unfunded pressures over £10,000	Insignificant 1 Event that will lead to public criticism by external stakeholders as anticipated.	1	2	3	4	5	
KEY			Negligible1	Rare 2	Unlikely 3	Possible 4	Probable 5	
>11 High Risk: Urgent action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Strategic
6-10 Medium Risk: Some action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	Likely to happen in the life- cycle of the programme or project.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	Programme / Project
<5 Low Risk: Ongoing monitoring required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Does not happen often - once every six months.	May well happen on a monthly basis.	May well happen on a weekly basis.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.	Operational

LIKELIHOOD

IMPACT TYPES

Public Protection Financial Reputation Catastrophic 5 Catastrophic 5 Catastrophic 5 A systematic failure for which Incompetence/ HCPC are ultimately responsible Unfunded pressures greater than maladministration or other event for, exposes the public to serious £1 million that will destroy public trust or a harm in cases where mitigation key relationship was expected. Significant 4 Significant 4 Significant 4 A systematic failure for which Incompetence/ HCPC are ultimately responsible maladministration that will Unfunded pressures £250k - £1 for, exposes more than 10 undermine public trust or a key million people to harm in cases where relationship for a sustained mitigation was expected. period or at a critical moment. Moderate 3 Moderate 3 **Moderate 3** A systemic failure for which Incompetence/ HCPC are ultimately responsible maladministration that will Unfunded pressures £50,000 for exposes more than 2 people undermine public trust or a key £250,000 to harm in cases when mitigation relationship for a short period. was expected. Example Policy U-turn Minor 2 Minor 2 Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for Unfunded pressures between Event that will lead to individuals/individual £20,000-£50,000 widespread public criticism. communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases. Insignificant 1 Insignificant 1 Insignificant 1 Event that will lead to public A systemic failure for which fails Unfunded pressures over to address an operational criticism by external £10,000 requirement stakeholders as anticipated.

LIKELIHOOD AREAS

Strategic	Programme / Project	Operational
Probable 5	Probable 5	Probable 5
"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.
Possible 4	Possible 4	Possible 4
Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	Likely to happen in the life-cycle of the programme or project.	May well happen on a weekly basis.
Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3
May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	May well happen on a monthly basis.
Rare 2	Rare 2	Rare 2
Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	Does not happen often - once every six months.
Negligible1	Negligible1	Negligible1
Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.

LIKELIHOOD

Enc 11 - Social Work Risk Register

App ii Risk Matrix defns

DOCUMENT CONTROL:Enc 11 - Social Work Risk Register

Issue Date:28/08/2018

Classification: Restricted until published to Council or Audit Committee HCPC Strategic Priorities 2018 - 2020

code

coue	
SP1.Perf	Strategic priority 1: Improve our performance to achieve the Professional Standards Authority's Standards of Good Regulation
SP2.Com	Strategic priority 2: Ensure our communication and engagement activities are proactive, effective and informed by the views and expectations of our stakeholders
SP3.Adapt	Strategic priority 3: Ensure the organisation is fit for the future and is agile in anticipating and adapting to changes in the external environment
SP4.Evid	Strategic priority 4: Make better use of data, intelligence and research evidence to drive improvement and engagement

HCPC has an averse appetite to risk in that we;

- a. Identify all relevant risks
- b. Mitigate those risks to an appropriate level
- c. Invest mitigation resources in proportion to the level of risk