Audit Committee, 5 September 2017

Risk Register

Executive summary and recommendations

Introduction

1. The Risk Register and Risk Treatment plan is a document reflecting current and recent levels of risk recognised by risk owners, who are the executive and Chair of Council.

health & care professions council

- 2. The Risk Register and Risk Treatment plan is updated every six months, and changes are suggested by risk owners. Changes can be proposed outside of the review cycle, should the regulation environment or risk landscape change.
- 3. The changes are agreed at monthly EMT meetings. Residual risk is implicitly accepted for any current risk register. All suggested changes have been applied.
- 4. The version here, following any updates from the EMT will be discussed by the Audit Committee in September.

Decision

The Audit Committee is asked to discuss the document, and record any recent changes.

Background information

Resource implications

Financial implications

Appendices

Date of paper

29/08/2017

DOCUMENT CONTROL: Reference Risk Treatment Plan. Version July 2017 Version 1.0 Issue Date: 14/07/2017 Classification: **Unrestricted**

Risk Register & Risk Treatment Plan

Marc Seale, Chief Executive & Registrar Report to Audit Committee, (Sept 2017)



Cover 2

July 2017 Risk Assessment

Contents	Page
Contents page	3
Top 10 HCPC risks	4
Changes since last published	5
Strategic risks	6
Operations risks	7
Communications risks	8
Corporate Governance risks	9
Information Technology risks	10
Partner risks	12
Education risks	13
Project Management risks	14
Quality Management risks	15
Registration risks	16
HR risks	17
Legal risks	18
Fitness to Practise risks	19
Policy & Standards risks	20
Finance risks	21
Pensions risks	23
Information Security risks	24
Appendix i Glossary and Abbreviations	25
Appendix ii HCPC Risk Matrix	26
HCPC Risk Matrix terms detail	27
Appendix iii HCPC Strategic Objectives & Risk Appetite	28
Appendix iv HCPC Assurance Mapping	29

Risk Contents 3

				"Top 10" Risks	(High & Medium af	er mitigation)						ASSESSMEN		Histor	c Risk Scores
	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Mitigation II	Mitigation III	CURRENT RISK SCORE	Feb-17	Sep-16	Feb-16	Sep-15	Feb-15	Sep-14	Feb-14	Sep-13	
2.7	Interruption to electricity supply (pre-mit 16) ISMS RISK	Office Services Mgr	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke DR Plan	-	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	High	
1.8	Impact of the transfer of SW (England) from HCPC to New Reg(pre-mit 25)	Chief Executive	Major Project Risk Register	Managed timetable	Project Plan experience	High	Medium	Medium							
13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs (pre-mit 25)	FTP Director	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
17.9	Loss of ISO27001 :2013 certification (pre- mit 20)	Hd of Business Process Improv & Asset Owners	Culture, follow procedures, report errors, training and awareness as required	Standard Operating Procedures and prevention of overwriting systems	Extend ISO systems as required	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium						
2.11	Basement flooding (pre-mit 16)	Office Services Mgr	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	Periodic descaling of drainage	Investigate benefits of Non Return valves in drain gratings.	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
13.4	Rapid increase in number of allegations and resultant legal costs (pre-mit 16)	FTP Director	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium		
1.5	Loss of reputation (pre-mit 15)	Chief Executive & Chair	Quality of governance procedures	Quality of operational procedures	Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance (pre-mit 15)	Chief Executive	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	Medium	
15.28	PSA fee increases substantially, placing significant financial pressure on HCPC (pre-mit 12)	Finance Director	PSA consultation process gives early indication of following year's fee	Consider increase in registrar fees	t Legislative and operational adjustments	Medium	Medium	Medium							
7.6	Loss or significant change to funding, commissioning and placement opportunities for approved programmes	Director of Education	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Regular training of employees and visitors	Medium									

Risks listed in order of CURRENT RISK SCORE, then PRE_MITIGATION SCORE Report to Council, (Sept 2017)

DOCUMENT CONTROL: Reference Risk Treatment Plan. Version July 2017 Version 1.0 Issue Date: 14/07/2017 Classification: **Unrestricted**

Changes since the previous iteration of HCPC's Risk Register

Category	Ref#	Description	Nature of change in this version
Strategic	1.6	Failure to abide by current Equality & Diversity legislation	Update all mitigations
Operations	2.18	Failure to learn from external high impact events in the regulation sector (e.g. Grenfell Tower fire)	New risk around not learning from external critical events

Overview of Risk Management and Risk Treatment process

Throughout the year exisiting risks are continually monitored and assessed by Risk Owners against Likelihood, and Impact on HCPC, the effectiveness of mitigations and the levels of residual risk.

Small changes to risk or mitigation detail are flagged with red text, more significant changes by persistant yellow highlight.

Future risks are also documented, evaluated and monitored against the same criteria.

Every six months these changes and additions to risks are updated in the risk register and formally documented by the Director of Operations or Head of Business Process Improvement, and the Top Ten Risks (High & Medium only after mitigation) are recorded.

Individual risks are linked to the current Statement of Applicability by the ISO27001 Clauses noted in the ISMS Risks column on each page. For ISMS risks only, the decision to Treat, Tolerate, Transfer or Terminate shall be noted.

Changes since last publishe (2) 5

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
1	Strategic		1.1	HCPC fails to deliver SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill	Council	5	1	5	Delivery of HCPC Strategy	Publication of Annual Report	Ensure Strategic Intent is up to date	Low	Low
				Links to 7.1-7.4, 8.1-8.2, 10.4, 10.5, 11.4, 15.9									
	Strategic		1.2	Unexpected change in UK legislation	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Relationship with Government depts	Enviromental scanning	-	Low	Low
				Links to 2.2,									
	Strategic	I A5, 18 C/I/x TRT?TOL		Incompatible SI Sec 6.2 & Health Bill and EU legislation	Chief Executive	1	3	3	Monitoring of EU directives e.g. Professional Qualifications Directive	Membership of Alliance of UK Health Regulators on Europe (lobby group)	-	Low	Low
	Strategic		1.4	Failure to maintain a relationship with PSA	Chief Executive & Chair	5	1	5	HCPC Chair and Chief Executive effective relationship with PSA	Communications at all levels of the organisation	-	Low	Low
	Strategic	I A5, C/I/A TRT>TOL	1.5	Loss of reputation	Chief Executive & Chair	5	3	15	Quality of governance procedures	Quality of operational procedures	Dynamism and quality of Comms strategy	Medium	Medium
	Strategic		1.6	Failure to abide by current Equality & Diversity legislation	Chief Executive	4	2	8	On-going programme of equality, diversity and inclusion training for employees, Council members and partners	Regular monitoring of employee, partner, Council and registrant related policies and processes and E, D & I data	Equality and diversity implications considered when planning projects and developing policy and standards	Low	Low
	Strategic		1.7	Failure to maintain HCPC culture	Chief Executive	5	2	10	Behaviour of all employees, Council members and partners	Induction of new employees, Council members and partners	Internal communication	Low	Low
	Strategic		1.8	Impact of the transfer of SW (England) from HCPC to New Reg	Chief Executive	5	5	25	Major Project Risk Register	Managed timetable	Project Plan experience	High	Medium

Strategic

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/l/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
2	Operations	I A11, 17.2.1 x/I/A TRT>TOL	2.1	Inability to occupy premises or use interior equipment	Office Services Mgr	4	4	16	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Commercial combined insurance cover (fire, contents, terrorism etc)	-	Low	Low
	Operations		2.2	Rapid increase in registrant numbers Links to 1.2, 13.4	Chief Executive and EMT	3	4	12	Scaleable business processes and scalable IT systems to support them	Influence the rate at which new professions are regulated	-	Low	Low
	Operations		2.3	Unacceptable service standards Links to 9.1, 10.4	Director of Operations	5	4	20	ISO 9001 Registration, process maps, well documented procedures & BSI audits	Hire temporary employees to clear service backlogs	Detailed workforce plan to match workload.	Low	Low
	Operations		2.4	Inability to communicate via postal services (e.g. Postal strikes)	Office Services Mgr	3	3	9	Use of other media including Website, newsletter & email and courier services	Invoke Business Continuity Plan	Collection of >80% income fees by DD	Medium	Medium
	Operations		2.5	Public transport disruption leading to inability to use Park House	Office Services Mgr & Head Bus Proc	4	5	20	Contact employees via Business Continuity Plan process	Make arrangements for employees to work at home if possible	-	Low	Low
	Operations	I A11 x/I/A TRT>TOL	2.6	Inability to accommodate HCPC employees	Office Services Mgr	4	3	12	Ongoing Space planning	Additional premises purchase or rented	-	Low	Low
	Operations	I A11.2.2 C/VA TRT>TOL	2.7	Links to 5.2	Office Services Mgr	4	4	16	Relocate to other buildings on site	If site wide longer than 24 hours invoke BCM/DR Plan	-	High	High
	Operations		2.8	Interruption to gas supply	Office Services Mgr	1	2	2	Temporary heaters to impacted areas	-	-	Low	Low
	Operations		2.9	Interruption to water supply	Office Services Mgr	2	2	4	Reduce consumption	Temporarily reduce headcount to align with legislation	Invoke DR plan if over 24 hrs	Low	Low
	Operations		2.10	Telephone system failure causing protracted service outage	Director of IT	4	3	12	Support and maintenance contract for hardware and software of the ACD and PABX	Backup of the configuration for both the ACD and PABX	Diverse routing for the physical data lines, Redundant exchange configuration, Dynamic capacity increases.	Low	Low
	Operations	I A11, 17 x/I/A TRT>TOL	2.11	Basement flooding	Office Services Mgr	4	4	16	Flood barrier protection to prevent ingress	Periodic descaling of drainage		Medium	Medium
	Operations		2.12		Director of Operations & Head Bus Proc	3	2	6	Use of alternate networks	Use of video or teleconferencing facility to achieve corum	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan	Low	Low
	Operations		2.14 (formerl y11.5)		Chief Executive & Office Services Mgr	5	4	20	Health & Safety Training, policies and procedures	H&S Assessments	Personal Injury & Travel insurance	Low	Low
	Operations		2.15	prevented	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	1	2	2	Clear and appropriate Partner Expenses policy	Sign off by "user" departments	Planned travel supplier only policy in near future	Low	Low

Operations

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered Ref	# Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
	Operations	2.1		Director of Operations & Head Bus Proc, Office Services Mgr	5	2	10	Invoke Disaster Recovery/Business Continuity plan			Low	NEW
	Operations	2.1		Director of Operations & Head Bus Proc, Office Services Mgr	4	2	8	Building programme surveying and planning	Temporary changes to use of 184 KPR	Business Continuity plan	Low	NEW
	Operations	NEW 2.1		Director of Operations, Office Services Mgr & Head Bus Proc,	4	2	8	Monitoring of external party business continuity events, or regulatory actions	Ongoing programe of awareness training around fire, H&S legislation within Office Services. (+ Fire Wardens, First Aiders)		Low	

Operations

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
3	Communications		3.1	Failure to inform public Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Overall delivery of the communications strategy across the UK	Delivery of aspects of communications workplan, specifically public information campaigns, stakeholder engagement plans, distribution of public information materials and promotion and accessibility of on-line Register through the website/apps across the four countries.	-	Low	Low
	Communications		3.2	Loss of support from Key Stake holders including professional bodies, employers or government Links to 1.5	Director of Comms	5	3	15		Delivery of UK-wide activities, specifically stakeholder engagement plans as well as HCPC events, parliamentary monitoring/response, attendance at conferences and regular meetings with stakeholders across the four countries	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications		2.2	Inability to inform stakeholders following crisis	Director of Comms	4	1	4	Invoke Business Continuity Plan (BCP)	Up to date Comms BCP available	-	Low	Low
	Communications		3.4	Failure to inform Registrants Article 3 (13)	Director of Comms	5	1	5	Overall delivery of the communications strategy across the UK	Delivery of specific activities within the communications strategy, for example HCPC events, campaigns, e-newsletter, professional media, social media and conference attendance across the four countries. Promotion of new/revised publications and web/myHCPC app	Quality of Operational procedures	Low	Low
	Communications		3.5	Publication of material not approved for release	Director of Comms	4	2	8	Delivery of communications plan	Adherence to ISO9001 processes	Adherence to operational plans, eg forward planner, social media planner, media sign-off processes'	Low	Low

Communications

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
4	Corporate Governance		4.1	Council inability to make decisions Links to 4.4	Director of Council & Committee Services, & Chair	3	1	3	Regular meetings, agendas and clear lines o accountability between Council and committees	f Well researched and drafted decision papers at meetings	Robust and effective recruitment process	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.2	Council members conflict of interest	Chair	4	3	12	Disclosure of members' interests to the Secretariat and ongoing Council & committee agenda item	Annual reminder to update Register of Interests	Member induction and training	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.3	Poor Council decision-making due to conflicting advice or decision process	Chair	4	1	4	Well-researched & drafted decision papers, Clear lines of accountability and scheme of delegation	Chair facilitates well reasoned decisions	Attendance by external professionals, as required.	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.4	Failure to meet Council/Committee quorums / failure to make quorate decisions	Director of Council & Committee Services	4	3	12	Clear communication of expectations of Council members' duties upfront	Adequate processes notifying Council & committee members of forthcoming meetings prior to meeting icluding confirmation of attendance	Robust discussions at annual appraisal	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.5	Links to 4.1 Members' poor performance	Chair	4	1	4	Appointment against competencies	Annual appraisal of Council members	Removal under Sch 1, Para 9(1)(f) of the HSWPO 2001	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.6	Poor performance by the Chair	Council	5	1	5	Appointment against competencies	Power to remove the Chair under Sch 1, Article 12(1) C of the HSWPO 2001	External appraisal and effective feedback from fellow Council members	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.7	Poor performance by Chief Executive	Chair	5	1	5	Performance reviews and regular "one to ones" with the Chair	Contract of Employment	-	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.8	Improper financial incentives offered to Council members/employees	Chair and Chief Executive	4	2	8	Gifts & Inducements policy	Council member code of conduct	Induction training re:adherence to Nolan principles & Bribery Act 2010	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.9	Failure to ensure the Health & Safety of Council Members ? Should this be HCPC wide?	Director of Council & Committee Services , Office Services Mgr & Finance Director	4	2	8	Safety briefing at start of each Council or Committee meeting.	H&S information on Council iPads	Personal Injury and Travel insurance	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.10	Links to 6.3 Establishing appropriately constituted Council	Chair	4	2	8	Robust and effective recruitment process	Use of skills matrix in recruitment exercis	e Induction of Council members	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.11	Links to 6.1, 11.13 Expense claim abuse by members	Director of Council & Committee Services	4	2	8	Members Code of Conduct (public office)	Clear and comprehensive Council agreed policies posted on the Council member Ipads and made clear during induction	Budget holder review and authorisation procedures	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.12	To ensure Section 60 legislation is operationalised effectively	Council	5	2	10	Scheme of delegation	Council Reporting	Quality Management Processes (ISO9001)	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.13	Failure to comply with DPA 1998 or FOIA 2000, leading to ICO action	Director of Council & Committee Services	3	3	9	Legal advice	Clear ISO processes	Organisation-wide training	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance	I A18.1.1 x/I/A TRT>TOL	4.15	Failure to adhere to the requirements of the Bribery Act 2010	Chair, & Director of Council & Committee Services	4	2	8	Suite of policies and processes related to the Bribery Act	Quality Management Systems	Oversight of EMT, Internal Audit & External Audit	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.16	PSA fails to recommend appointment of Council members to the Privy Council	Director of Council & Committee Services	1	5	5	Sign off of high level process by Council	PSA comments on advance notice of intent acted on appropriately	Effective engagement with PSA throughout process	Low	Low
	Corporate Governance		4.17	Failure to meet requirements of the constitution order	Director of Council & Committee Services	3	1	3	Scrutiny of advance notice of intent	Targeted advertising strategy	-	Low	Low

Corporate Governance

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
5	іт	I A12,13,14, 9 C/I/A TRT>TOL	5.1	Software Malware damage	Director of IT	4	5	20	Anti-malware software deployed at several key points.Application of security patches in a timely manner	Adherence to IT policy, procedures and training. Restricted administrator privaleges.	Regular externally run security penetration tests.	Low	Low
				Links to 2.3, 10.2									
	іт	I A12,14, 17.1, 14 C/I/A TRT>TOL	5.2	(Hard/SoftWare)	Director of IT	2	2	4	Delivery of the IT strategy including the refresh of technology.	Employ small core of mainstream technology with recognised support and maintenance agreements	Accurately record technology assets. Adoption of cloud services.	Low	Low
				Links to 2.6, 10.2									
	т	l A9,8,13 x/I/A TRT>TOL	5.3	Fraud committed through IT services	Director of IT	3	2	6	Appropriate and proportionate access restrictions to business data. System audit trails.	Regular, enforced strong password changes.	Regular externally run security tests.	Low	Low
				Links to 10.2 and 17.1									
	іт	l A17, 14,12 x/I/A TRT>TOL	5.4	Failure of IT Continuity Provision	Director of IT	4	3	12		IT continuity plan is reviewed when a service changes or a new service is added	Appropriate and proportionate technical solutions are employed. IT technical staff appropriately trained.	Low	Low
	іт	I A9.4, 9.2, 7 C/I/A TRT>TOL	5.5	Malicious damage from unauthorised access	Director of IT	4	5	20	Security is designed into the IT architecture, using external expert consultancy where necessary	Regular externally run security penetratior tests.	Periodic and systematic proactive security reviews of the infrastructure. Application of security patches in a timely manner. Physical access to the IT infrastructure restricted and controlled.	Low	Low
	п	I A11.2.2 A17.1.2 x/I/A TRT>TOL	5.6	Data service disruption (via utility action)	Director of IT	5	1	5	Redundant data services	Diverse routing of services where possible	Appropriate service levels with utility providers IT continuity plan	Low	Low

Information Technology

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

R	ef	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes consider ed	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
	6	Partners		6.1	Inability to recruit and retain suitable Partners	Partner Manager	3	3	9	Targeted recruitment strategy.	Appropriate fees for partner services and reimbursement of expenses.	Efficient and effective support and communication from the Partner team.	Low	Low
		Partners		6.2	Links to 4.10, 11.3, 7.3, Incorrect interpretation of law by Partners resulting in PSA review	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	2	4	8	Partner training and newsletters	Legal Advice	Regular appraisal system	Low	Low
		Partners		6.3	Health & Safety of Partners	Partner Manager	3	2	6	H&S briefing at start of any HCPC sponsored event.	Liability Insurance	-	Low	Low
		Partners		6.4	Links to 4.9 Partners poor performance and / or conduct	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	4	3	12	Regular training	Regular appraisal system	Partner Complaints Process & Partner Code of Conduct	Low	Low
		Partners		6.5	Incorrect interpretation of HSWPO by HCPC in use of Partners	Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration, Partner Manager	3	2	6	Legal Advice	Clearly defined Quality Management processes and policies	Correct selection process and use of qualified partners	Low	Low
		Partners		6.6	Adequate number and type of partner roles	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	2	6	Regular review of availability of existing pool of partners to ensure requirements are met.	Annual forecasting of future partner requirements to ensure that they are budgetted for.	Rolling partner agreements across professions for Panel Member and Panel Chair to ensure adequate supply in line with the eight year rule.	Low	Low
		Partners		6.7	User departments using non- active partners	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	3	3	9	Notification of partner resignations to user departments.	Current partner lists available to user departments on shared drive.	Daily Email notificaton of partner registrant lapse	Low	Low
		Partners		6.8	Expense claim abuse by Partners	Partner Manager, Director of FTP, Director of Education, Head of Registration	2	2	4	Budget holder review and authorisation process	Comprehensive Partner agreement	Challenge of non standard items by, Finance department and Partner Department	Low	Low

Partners

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
7	Education		7.1	Failure to detect low education providers standards	Director of Education	4	2	8	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Regular training of employees and visitors	Memorandums of understandings with other regulators (e.g. CQC and Care Councils)	Low	Low
	Education		72	Links to 1.1 , 4.3, 6.4 Education providers disengaging with process	Director of Education	3	3	9	Legal powers (HSWPO 2001)	Delivery of Education Dpt supporting activities as documented in regular work plan	Stakeholder monitoring	Low	Low
	Education		7.3	Links to 1.1 Inability to conduct visits and monitoring tasks	Director of Education	4	2	8	Adequate resourcing, training and visit scheduling	Approvals & monitoring processes	Temporary staff hire to backfill or clear work backlogs	Low	Low
	Education		74	Links to 1.1, 6.1, 11.2 & 11.3 Loss of support from Education Providers	Chief Executive or Director of Education	5	2	10	Delivery of Education strategy as documented in regular work plan	aroups	Publications, Newsletters, website content, inclusion in consultations and relevant PLGs, consultations with education providers	Low	Low
				Links to 1.1, 14.2									
	Education	I A12,13, 14,15 x/I/A TRT>TOL		Protracted service outage following Education system failure	Director of IT	4	2	8	Effective backup and recovery processes	Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements.	Annual IT Continuity tests	Low	Low
	Education		7.6	Loss or significant change to funding, commissioning and placement opportunities for approved programmes	Director of Education	3	4	12	Operational processes (approval, monitoring and complaints about an approved programme)	Partnerships with Visitors and professional groups.	Regular training of employees and visitors	Med	Med
	Education		7.7	Monitoring processes not effective	Director of Education	3	2	6	Well documented processes	Trained executive & visitors	Communication with education providers	Low	Low

Education

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref # Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
8	Project Management		8.1 Fee change processes not operational by required date	Director of Finance Project Portfolio Manager	3	3	9	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders		Low	Low
	Project Management		8.2 Billing to regulate a new profession or a post-registration qualification as stipulated by legislation	Project Lead Project Portfolio Manager	5	2	10	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Assess lessons to be learned from previous projects	Low	Low
	Project Management	I A14, 15 C/I/A TRT>TOL	Links to 1.1, 15.3 Failure to deliver a system to the HR & Partners departments requirements	⁹ Director of HR Project Portfolio Manager	3	4	12	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Project Initiation stage to pay particular attention to project scope and breadth/reach of project	Low	Low
	Project Management	l A7.2.1 x/l/A TOL	8.17 Organisation wide resourcing may impact project delivery	EMT & Project Portfolio Manager	3	4	12	Manage resources accordingly	Accept changes to planned delivery		Med	Med
	Project Management	I A14, 15 C/I/A TRT>TOL	8.19 Failure to build a system to the Registrations department's requirements	Director of Operations & Project Portfolio Manager	5	4	20	Project is managed as part of major projects portfolio & managed in accordance with HCPC Project Management process	Project progress monitored by EMT & stakeholders	Ensure robust testing including load	Low	Low

Project Management

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref		ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes consider ed	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
9	Quality Management.		9.1	Loss of ISO 9001:2008 Certification	Director of Operations, Head of Business Process Improvement (BPI)	4	3	12	Regular & internal audits	QMS standards applied across HCPC	Management buy-in	Low	Low
				Links to 2.3, 10.3									
	Quality Management.	l A7.1.2 C/I/A	9.2	Employees non-compliance with established Standard Operating Proceedures	EMT	5	2	10	Culture, follow procedures and report errors	Standard Operating Procedures and prevention of overwriting systems	Extend ISO systems as required	Low	Low
	Quality Management.	NEW	9.3	Loss of ISO10002 Complaints Management certification	EMT & Service & Complaints Manager (Hd of BPI)	4	1	4	Dedicated full time resource tracking and response managing HCPC wide process	Monthly issue by issue customer service reporting to EMT	Regular & Internal audits	Low	Low
	Quality Management.	NEW	9.4	Failure to maintain an adequate customer complaints system	Director of Council & Committee Services; Service & Complaints Manager	4	1	4	Service Complains process	Maintain to ISO10002:2014	Regular reporting to EMT & Council	Low	

Quality Management

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Re	əf	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
1	0	Registration		10.1	Customer service failures	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	4	20	Accurate staffing level forecasts	Adequate staff resourcing & training	Supporting automation infrastructure eg call centre systems, NetRegulate system enhancements, registration re- structure; externally sourced registrant surveys	Low	Low
		Registration	I A12,13, 14, 16, 17 x/I/A TRT>TOL	10.2	Links to 11.1, 11.2 Protracted service outage following a NetRegulate Registration system failure	Director of IT	5	2	10	Effective backup and recovery procedures	Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements.	Annual IT Continuity tests	Low	Low
		Registration		10.3	Links to 5.1-5.3 and 17.1 Inability to detect fraudulent applications	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Financial audits, system audit trails	Policy and procedures supported by internal quality audits		Low	Low
		Registration		10.4	Links to 9.1, 17.1 and 17.2 Backlogs of registration and applications	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	3	12	Continually refine model of accurate demand- forecasting, to predict employees required to prevent backlogs, and service failures	Process streamlining	Match resource levels to meet demand & delivery published Service Standards	Low	Low
		Registration		10.5	Links to 1.1 Mistake in the Registration process leading to liability for compensation to Registrant or Applicant	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	5	2	10	Audits by Registration Management, system audit trails, external auditors	Professional indemnity insurance. Excess £2.5K. Limit £1M. (Doesn't cover misappropriation of funds)	Policy and procedures supported by ISO quality audits and process controls/checks	Low	Low
1	8	Registration		10.6 (18.1- 7.5)	CPD processes not effective	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	2	8	Well documented processes	Appropriately trained members of the registrations team	Monitor and regular feedback to the Education & Training Committee	Low	Low
		Registration		10.7 (13.7)	Failure to manage Registration Appeals effectively and efficiently	Director of Operations, Head of Registration	4	2	8	Well documented processes	Appropriately trained members of the registrations team	Monitor and regular feedback from the Reg Appeals panel	Low	Low

Registrations

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	f Catego	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes ory considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
11	I HR		11.1	Loss of key HCPC employees, excluding Chief Exec	Chair, Chief Executive and EMT	3	2	6		Departmental training (partial or full) and process documentation	Informal department level succession plans	Low	Low
	HR		11.2	High turnover of employees	HR Director	3	3	9	Remuneration and HR strategy	Regular performance reviews	Exit interview analysis and employee survey analysis	Low	Low
	HR		11.3	Links to 11.3 Inability to recruit suitable employees Links to 4.10, 6.1, 11.2, 11.8	HR Director	3	3	9	<i>o,</i> , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Careful specification of recruitment adverts and interview panel selection	Hire skilled temporary employees in the interim	Low	Low
	HR		11.4	Lack of technical and managerial skills to delivery the HCPC strategy	Chief Executive	4	2	8	-Buy in skills	Training needs analysis & training delivery including Management Development Programme	Some projects or work initiatives delayed or outsourced	Low	Low
	HR		11.6	Links to 1.1 High sick leave levels	EMT	2	2	4		Return to work interviews and sick leave monitoring	Regular progess reviews	Low	Low
	HR		11.7	Employee and ex-employee litigation	HR Director	4	3	12	l ine manader trainind	Keeping HR policies and processes in line with employment legislation	Employee surveys, Exit Interviews, Employee Assistance Programme, Management Development Programme.	Low	Low
	HR	I A7, 8 C/I/A TRT>TOL	11.8	Employer/employee inappropriate behaviour	HR Director	2	2	4	Whistle blowing policy, Code of Conduct & Behaviour	Other HR policies and procedures	Employee Assistance programme	Low	Low
	HR		11.9	Links to 11.3 Non-compliance with Employment legislation	HR Director	4	2	8		Obtain legislation updates and legal advice	HR training for managers	Low	Low
	HR	1	11.10	Loss of Chief Executive & Registrar	Chair	5	2	10	Succession Plan	Development of internal Executive team	Good communication with Chair	Low	Low
	HR	I A14, 15 C//A TRT>TOL	11.11	Protracted service outage following outsourced HR system, connectivity or hosting failure.	HR Director	4	1	4	Service Level Agreement with Supplier	Paper records for current employees		Low	Low

HR

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/l/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)		Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
12	Legal		12.1	Judicial review of HCPC's implimentation of HSWPO including Rules, Standards & Guidance	Chief Executive	5	3	15	Consultation. Stds determined by PLG's. Agreement by Council.	Appropriate legal advice sought	-	Medium	Medium
				Links to 1.2, 14.1, 14.2									
	Legal	I A18 x/I/A TRT>TOL	12.2	Legal challenge to HCPC operations	Chief Executive	4	4	16	Legal advice and ISO	Pre-emptive and on-going communications concerning legal basis and implimentation of the HSWPO	-	Low	Low

Legal

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
13	Fitness to Practise		13.1	Legal cost over-runs	FTP Director	4	4		Contractual and SLA arrangements with legal services providers(s)	Quality of operational procedures	Quality assurance mechanisms	Low	Low
				Links to 13.4, 15.2									
	Fitness to Practise		13.3	Tribunal exceptional costs	FTP Director	5	5	25	Quality of operational processes	Accurate and realistic forecasting	Quality of legal advice	Medium	Medium
	Fitness to Practise		13.4	Rapid increase in the number of allegations and resultant legal costs	FTP Director	4	4	16	Accurate and realistic budgeting	Resource planning	-	Medium	Medium
				Links to 13.1									
	Fitness to Practise		13.5	Witness non-attendance	FTP Director	4	2	8	Vulnerable witness provisions in the legislation	Witness support programme	Witness summons	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise	I TRT>TOL	13.6	Employee/Partner physical assault by Hearing attendees	FTP Director	5	5	25	Risk Assessment Processes	Adequate facilities security	Periodic use of security contractors and other steps	Low	Low
				13.7 moved to 10.7									
	Fitness to Practise		13.8	Backlog of FTP cases	FTP Director	3	4	12	Reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	Quality of operational processes	Low	Low
	Fitness to Practise		13.9	Excessive cases per Case Manager workload	FTP Director	3	4	12	Reforecasting budget processes	Monthly management reporting	Resource planning & Quality of operational processes	Low	Low
				13.2 moved to 12.2									
	Fitness to Practise	I A12,13, 14, 16, 17 x/I/A TRT>TOL	13.10	Protracted service outage following a Case Management System failure	Director of IT	5	2	10		Maintenance and support contracts for core system elements	Annual IT continuity tests	Low	Low
	1	1											

Fitness to Practise

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	Risks C/I/A indicates attribute s consider ed	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
14	Policy & Standards		14.1	Incorrect process followed to establish stds/guidance/policy eg no relevant Council decision	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Legal advice and sign off sought on processes	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Quality mgt system & processes	Low	Low
				Links to 12.1									
	Policy & Standards		14.2	Inappropriate stds/guidance published eg stds are set at inappropriate level, are too confusing or are conflicting	Council/committees	4	1	4	Use of commissioned research, professional liaison groups, and Council and committees including members with appropriate expertise	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team.	Consultation with stakeholders & legal advice sought	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards		14.3	Changing/evolving legal advice rendering previous work inappropriate	Policy & Stds Director	4	2	8	Use of well-qualified legal professionals. Regular reviews.	Legal advice obtained in writing.	Appropriately experienced and trained members of Policy team and others eg HR.	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards		14.4	Inadequate preparation for a change in legislation (Health Professions Order, or other legislation affecting HCPC)	ЕМТ	3	1	3	EMT responsible for remaining up to date relationships with governemnt depts and agencies.	HCPC's 5 year planning process	Legal advice sought	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards		14.5	PLG member recruitment without requisite skills and knowledge Lnks to 4.10	Policy & Stds Director HCPC Chair, Director of Council & Committee Services(?)	4	1	4	Skills and knowledge identified in work plan	Recruitment policy	Council Scrutiny of PLG result	Low	Low
	Policy & Standards			Loss of Corporate Memory	Policy & Stds Director	3	3	9	Maintain appropriate records of project decisions	Appropriate hand over and succession planning	Department training	Low	Low

Policy & Standards

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
15	Finance		15.1	Insufficient cash to meet commitments	Finance Director	5	1	5	Reserves policy specifies minimum cash level to be maintained throughout the year. Cash flow forecast prepared as part of annual budget and 5 year plan assesses whether policy minimum level will be met.	Regular cash forecasts and reviews during the year	Fee rises and DoH grant applications as required.	Low	Low
	Finance		15.2	Unexpected rise in operating expenses	EMT	4	1	4	Budget holder accountability for setting budgets and managing them. Timely monthly reporting and regular budget holder reviews held. EMT review of the monthly variances year to date.	Six and nine month reforecasts with spending plan revisions as feasible and appropriate. FTP costs mainly incurred towards the end of the lifecycle of a case, so increase in case pipeline would give early warning of rise in FTP costs.	Capped FTP legal case costs.	Low	Low
	Finance		15.3	Link to 13.1 Major Project Cost Over-runs	Project Lead / EMT	4	2	8	Effective project specification including creating decision points. Effective project management and timely project progress reporting (financial and non financial).		EMT review of the project spending variances to date	Low	Low
	Finance	I A7, 8, 9 C/l/x TRT>TOL	15.7	Registrant Credit Card record fraud/theft Links to 5.3	Finance Director	2	2	4	Compliance with PCI standards.	Limited access to card information	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £250k of loss	Low	Low
	Finance		15.9	Mismatch between Council goals & approved financial budgets	Chief Executive	4	2	8	Close and regular communication between the Executive, Council and its Committees.	Adequate quantification of the budgetary implications of proposed new initiatives	Use of spending prioritisation criteria during the budget process	Low	Low
	Finance	I A8, 11, C/x/A TRT>TOL	15.12	Links to 1.1 Unauthorised removal of assets (custody issue)	Office Services Mgr	2	2	4	Building security including electronic access control and recording and CCTV.	Fixed Asset register itemising assets. Job exit procedures (to recover HCPC laptops, mobile phones etc). Regular audits. Whistleblowing policy.		Low	Low
	Finance	I A8, 11, C/x/A TRT>TOL	15.13a	Theft or fraud	Finance Director	3	2	6	Well established effective processes, incl segregation of duties and review of actual costs vs budgets.	Regular audits; whistleblowing policy	Professional Indemnity & fidelity (fraud) insurance for first £250k of loss	Low	Low
	Finance			Incorporates aspects of previous I PAYE/NI/corporation tax compliance	Finance Director	2	3	6	Effective payroll process management at 3rd party. Finance staff attend payroll & tax updates	Professional tax advice sought where necessary, including status of CCMs and partners	PAYE Settlement Agreement in place with HMRC relating to Category One Council and Committee members.	Low	Low
	Finance		15.20	Bank insolvency: permanent loss of deposits or temporary inability to access deposits	Finance Director	5	1	5	Investment policy sets "investment grade" minimum credit rating for HCPC's banks and requires diversification - cash spread across at least two banking licences			Low	Low
	Finance		15.21	Financial distress of key trade suppliers causes loss of business critical service	Finance Director	4	2	8	Financial health of new suppliers above OJEU threshold considered as part of OJEU PQQ process. Ongoing financial monitoring of key suppliers	Escrow agreements	Alternative suppliers where possible, eg transcription services framework	Medium	Medium

Finance

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Re	f Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref # Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
	Finance		15.22 Payroll process delay or failure	Finance Director & HR Director	2	2	4	Outsourced to third party. Agreed monthly payroll process timetable (with slack built in). If process delayed, payment may be made by CHAPS (same day payment) or cheque.	Hard copy records held securely. Restricted system access.		Low	Low
	Finance		15.24 Failure to apply good procurement practice (contracts below OJEU threshold) leads to poor value for money and/or criticism	Finance Director	2	2	4	Approved procurement policy. Legal advice on ISO9001 compliant process design.		New suppliers process as "backstop" to failure.	Low	Low
	Finance		15.25 Failure to adhere to OJEU Procurement and Tendering requirements leads to legal challenge and costs	Finance Director	4	2	8	Use Framework Agreements as standard		Legal oversight of OJEU scoring and supplier communication	Low	Low
	Finance		15.26 Budgeting error leads to overcommitment of funds	Finance Director	4	2	8	budgeted for post by post. Cautious	then reviewed by Finance. Budgets for coming year baselined vs current year	Budgets are discussed/challenged by EMT at annual pre-budget setting review	Low	Low
	Finance		15.27 Payment error leads to irrecoverable funds	Finance Director	3	2	6			Payment signatory reviews of payment runs	Low	Low
	Finance		PSA fee increases substantially, 15.28 placing significant financial pressure on HCPC	Finance Director	3	3	9	PSA consultation process gives early indication of following year's fee	Consider increase in redistrant tees	Legislative and operational adjustments	Medium	Medium

Finance

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes consider ed	Ref #	Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
16	Pensions		16.2	Non compliance with pensions legislation	Finance Director and HR Director	3	2	6	HCPC pension scheme reviewed for compliance with pensions legislation including auto enrolment	HR and Finance staff briefed on regulations	Advice from payroll provider. Seek specialist pensions legal advice as required.	Low	Low
	Pensions			Increase in the Capita Flexiplan funding liability resulting from scheme valuation deficiency	Finance Director	3	2	6		Initial employer contributions to the Plan	Monitor the performance of the Plan through periodic employers' meetings	Low	Low

Pensions

RISK ASSESSMENT & RISK TREATMENT PLAN July 2017

Ref	Category	ISMS Risks C/I/A indicates attributes considered	Ref # Description	Risk owner (primary person responsible for assessing and managing the ongoing risk)	Impact before mitigations July 2017	Likelihood before mitigations July 2017	Risk Score = Impact x Likelihood	Mitigation I	Mitigation II	Mitigation III	RISK score after Mitigation July 2017	RISK score after Mitigation Jan 2017
17	Information Security	I A6,8,9 12,14 C/x/x TRT > TOL	17.1 Loss of information from lelectronic databases due inappropriate removal by employee	e to EMT, Director of IT and an Director of Operations	5	3	15	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the services. Employment contract includes Data Protection and Confidentiality Agreement	where appropriate	Laptop encryption. Remote access to our infrastructure using a VPN . Documented file encryption procedure . Maintain ISO27001	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A11,8, 7,15,16, 17 C/I/A TRT > TOL	Links to 5.3. Incl old 17.6 HCPC Document & Pape Data Security Links to 15.7		5	3	15	Use of locked document destruction bins in each dept. Use of shredder machines for confidential record destruction in some depts e.g. Finance.	Data Protection agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Dept files stored onsite in locked cabinets. Training where appropriate (Employees & Partners)	processing, employment	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A15, 8, 13 C/x/A TRT > TOL	Unintended release of el 17.3 paper based information service providers.		5	2	10	Access is restricted to only the data that is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.	Data Processor agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Maintain ISO27001	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A18, 15, 13 <i>C/x/x</i> TRT > TOL	17.4 Inappropriate data receive HCPC from third parties		5	2	10	Read only, password protected access by a restricted no of FTP employees to electronic KN data.	Registrant payments taken in compliance with Payment Card Industry (PCI) Security standards ie with quarterly PCI testing.	Ensure third party data providers e.g. professional bodies provide the data password protected/encrypted/door to door courier/registered mail/sign in sign out as appropriate.	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A15, 8 C/I/A TRT > TOL	Loss of physical data disp 17.5 and held by third parties delivery of their services		5	3	15	Data Protection/Controller agreements signed by the relevant suppliers. Use of electronic firewalls by suppliers.	Use of transit cases for archive boxes sent for scanning or copying and sign out procedures.	-	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A9, 12, 13, 15 C/x/A TRT > TOL	Loss of Registrant persor the registration system 17.6 (NetRegulate) application provider in the performan support services (specific	Director of IT and Director of Operations,	5	3	15	Access to and export of personal data is restricted to only that which is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods.	Data processor side letter specifying obligations and granting a limited indemnity.	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A8 C/I/A TRT > TOL	17.7 Incorrect risk assessment Information Assets	t of Hd of Business Process Improv & Asset Owners	4	2	8	Identification and collection of information risk assets	Regular audit and review of information	Regular identification and review of information risk assets by Hd of BPI	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A6, 7, 8, 9 C/x/A TRT > TOL	Loss of personal data by 17.8 Contractor, Partner, Cour Committee member.		5	3	15	Access to and export of personal data is restricted to only that which is necessary for the performance of the services.	Effective system processes including secure data transfer and remote access granted only on application and through secure methods. Training where appropriate.	Maintain ISO27001	Low	Low
	Information Security	I A5 C/I/A TRT > TOL	17.9 Loss of ISO 27001:2013 Certification	Hd of Business Process Improv & Asset Owners	5	4	20	Culture, follow procedures, report errors, training and awareness as required	Standard Operating Procedures and prevention of overwriting systems	Extend ISO systems as required	Med	Med
	Information Security	I C/I/A TRT > TOL	17.10 Integrity of reporting sy data not maintained	vstems Director of IT and Director of Operations,	4	2	8	Ongoing monitoring against other consolidated data		Preventive maintenance and reporting system version tracking	Low	Low

Information Security

	Glossary & Abbreviations	Information Security ter	rms
Term	Meaning	ISO27001 term	Inf
AGM	Annual General Meeting	ISO27001:2013 A5	Se
BCP / BCM	Business Continuity Plan / Business Continuity Management (Disaster Recovery and associated processes)	ISO27001:2013 A6	Co
CCM's	Council & Committee Members	ISO27001:2013 A7	Pe
CDT	Cross Directorate Team (formerly HCPC's Middle Management Group)	ISO27001:2013 A8	Or
CPD	Continuing Professional Development	ISO27001:2013 A9	Inf
EEA	European Economic Area, = European Economic Union, plus Norway, Iceland, plus for our purposes Switzerland	ISO27001:2013 A10	Cr
EMT	HCPC's Executive Management Team	ISO27001:2013 A11	Ph
EU	European Economic Union (formerly known as the "Common Market")	ISO27001:2013 A12	Ор
Europa Quality Print	Supplier of print and mailing services to HCPC	ISO27001:2013 A13	Ne
FReM	Financial Reporting Manual	ISO27001:2013 A14	Sy
FTP	Fitness to Practise	ISO27001:2013 A15	Su
GP	Grandparenting	ISO27001:2013 A16	Se
HSWPO	Health and Social Work Professions Order (2001)	ISO27001:2013 A17	Se
HR	Human Resources	ISO27001:2013 A18	Se
HW	Abbreviation for computer hardware		
ISMS	I = Information Security Management System (ISMS) risk	С	Co
Impact	The result of a particular event, threat or opportunity occuring. Scored between 1 least effect on HCPC and 5 maximum effect on HCPC.	l	Int
ISO	International Standards Organisation (the global governing body for the Quality standards used by HCPC)	A	Av
ISO 9001:2008	The ISO Quality Management Standard used by HCPC.	x	Us
ISO 10002:2014	The ISO Complaints Management Standard used by HCPC.	A	00
ISO 27001:2013	The ISO Information Security Standard used by HCPC.	TRT	Tre
IT	Information Technology	TOL	То
Likelihood	Used to mean Probability of the event or issue occurring within the next 12 months	TMT	Te
MIS	Management Information System	TSF	Tra
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding		
NetRegulate	Report to Council, (Sept 2017)	Systems Controls	Lo
OIC	Order in Council	Operational Risk Management	De
OJEU	Official journal of the European Union	Inter-dept quality assurance	De
Onboarding	The process of bringing a new profession into statuatory regulation from HCPC's viewpoint	Near Miss Reporting	BP
OPS	Operations		5.
PSA	Formerly (CHRE), renamed Professional Standards Authority for Health and Social Care in the 2012 legislation.		
PLG	Professional Liason Group		
Probability	Likelihood, chance of occurring. Not the "mathematical" probability. Scored between 1 least likely and 5 most likely to occur within the next year.		
Q	Q = Quality Management System (QMS) Risk		
QMS	Quality Management System, used to record and publish HCPC's agreed management processes		
Risk	Any uncertain event/s that could occur and have an impact on the achievement of objectives		
Risk Owner	The person or entity that has been given the authority to manage a particular risk and is accountable for doing so.		
Risk Score	Likelihood x Impact or Probability x Significance		
SI	Statutory Instrument		
Significance	Broadly similar to Impact		
SSFS	Scheme Specific Funding Standard, a set of standards relating to pensions services		
STD	Standards		
SW	Abbreviation for computer software		
VPN	Virtual Private Network, a method of securely accessing computer systems via the public internet		

Information Security area

Security Policy Management Corporate Security Management Personnel Security Management Organizational Asset Management Information Access Management Cryptography Policy Management Physical Security Management Operational Security Management Network Security Management System Security Management Supplier Relationship Management Security Incident Mangement Security Continuity Management Security Compliance Management

Confidentiality Integrity Availability Used in ISMS risks where not applied to C or I or A

Treat = Apply mitigations Tolerate = Accept Terminate = stop activity with risk Transfer = move risk to other party

Logical controls within systems Dept processes within BAU Dept QA team activity where available (REG, FTP, EDU) BPI investigation of reputational impact incidents

Appendix ii

IMPACT

HCPC RISK MATRIX Public Protection	Financial	Reputation						
Catastrophic 5 A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Catastrophic 5 Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Catastrophic 5 Incompetence/ maladministration or other event that will destroy public trust or a key relationship	5	10	15	20	25	
Significant 4 A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Significant 4 Unfunded pressures £250,000 - £1 million	Significant 4 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.	4	8	12	16	20	
Moderate 3 A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Moderate 3 Unfunded pressures £50,000 - £250,000	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn	3	6	9	12	15	
Minor 2 A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Minor 2 Unfunded pressures £20,000 - £50,000	Minor 2 Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.	2	4	6	8	10	
Insignificant 1 A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Insignificant 1 Unfunded pressures over £10,000	Insignificant 1 Event that will lead to public criticism by external stakeholders as anticipated.	1	2	3	4	5	
KEY		/	Negligible1	Rare 2	Unlikely 3	Possible 4	Probable 5	
>11 High Risk: Urgent action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	
6-10 Medium Risk: Some action required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.	Likely to happen in the life- cycle of the programme or project.	Likely to occur in the life- cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	
<5 Low Risk: Ongoing monitoring required			Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Does not happen often - once every six months.	May well happen on a monthly basis.	May well happen on a weekly basis.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.	

LIKELIHOOD

IMPACT TYPES

Public Protection	Financial	Reputation					
Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5	Catastrophic 5					
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes the public to serious harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures greater than £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration or other even that will destroy public trust or a key relationship					
Significant 4	Significant 4	Significant 4					
A systematic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for, exposes more than 10 people to harm in cases where mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures £250k - £1 million	Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a sustained period or at a critical moment.					
Moderate 3	Moderate 3	Moderate 3 Incompetence/ maladministration that will undermine public trust or a key relationship for a short period. Example Policy U-turn					
A systemic failure for which HCPC are ultimately responsible for exposes more than 2 people to harm in cases when mitigation was expected.	Unfunded pressures £50,000 - £250,000						
Minor 2	Minor 2	Minor 2					
A systemic failure which results in inadequate protection for individuals/individual communities, including failure to resolve celebrity cases.	Unfunded pressures between £20,000-£50,000	Event that will lead to widespread public criticism.					
Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1	Insignificant 1					
A systemic failure for which fails to address an operational requirement	Unfunded pressures over £10,000	Event that will lead to public criticism by external stakeholders as anticipated.					

LIKELIHOOD AREAS

Strategic	Programme / Project	Operational					
Probable 5	Probable 5	Probable 5					
"Clear and present danger", represented by this risk - will probably impact on this initiative - sooner rather than later.	Likely to occur in the life-cycle of the project, probably early on and perhaps more than once.	The threat is likely to happen almost every day.					
Possible 4	Possible 4	Possible 4					
Likely to happen at some point during the next one or two years.	Likely to happen in the life-cycle of the programme or project.	May well happen on a weekly basis.					
Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3	Unlikely 3 May well happen on a monthly basis.					
May well occur during the lifetime of the strategy.	May occur during the life of the programme or project.						
Rare 2	Rare 2	Rare 2					
Only small chance of occurring in the lifetime of the strategy.	Not likely to occur during the lifecycle of the programme of project.	Does not happen often - once every six months.					
Negligible1	Negligible1	Negligible1					
Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.	Extremely infrequent – unlikely to happen in a strategic environment or occur during a project or programmes lifecycle. May occur once a year or so in an operational environment.					

LIKELIHOOD

HCPC Strategic Objectives 2016 - 2020

code	
SO1.GG	Objective 1: Good governance To maintain, review and develop good corporate governance Specific risks; 4.1 to 4.17 inclusive
SO2.EBP	Objective 2: Efficient business processes
	To maintain, review and develop efficient business processes throughout the organisation Specific risks; 1.1; 1.2; 1.2; 2.3; 4.1; 4.5; 4.6; 4.7; 4.12; 9.2
SO3.Com	Objective 3: Communication
	To increase understanding and awareness of regulation amongst all stakeholders Specific risks; 3.1; 3.2; 3.3; 3.4; 3.5
SO4.Evid	Objective 4: Build the evidence base of regulation To ensure that the organisation's work is evidence based Specific risks; 14.2
SO5.IPA	Objective 5: Influence the policy agenda To be proactive in influencing the wider regulatory policy agenda Specific risks; 1.2; 1.5
SO6.HmCty	Objective 6: Engagement in the four countries
	To ensure that our approach to regulation takes account of differences between the four countries Specific risks; 3.1; 3.2; 3.4

HCPC has an **averse** appetite to risk in that we; a. Identify all relevant risks

b. Mitigate those risks to an appropriate level

c. Invest mitigation resources in proportion to the level of risk

Report to Council, (Sept 2017)

App iii Strat Obj 28

HCPC Risk Assurance mapping

HCFC Risk Assurance mapping	> Increasing Assurance >															
	AREA C. Management Control & Reporting				AREA B. Functional oversight / Governance	AREA A. Independent review / Assurance / Regulatory oversight										
Key Business Risk areas Assurance Map	Systems Controls	Operational Risk Management	Inter- departmental Quality Assurance	Near Miss Reporting	ЕМТ	Council	Audit Committee	Internal Auditors	External Auditors (NAO)	External Legal Advice	Quality Management System ISO9001	Information Security Management ISO27001	PSA	Penetration Testing	PCI-DSS	Parliamentary oversight
Strategic risks						x	x	x		x						x
Communications		x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x			
Continuing Professional Development	x	x	x	x	x		x			x						
Corporate Governance			x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x			x
Information Security	x	x	x	x	x		x	x			x	x		x	x	
Education	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			
Finance	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x	x
Fitness to Practise	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			x
HR	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x	x				
Information Technology	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		
Legal				x	x	x	x	x		x			x			x
Operations	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x		x			
Partner	x	x	x	x	x	x	×	x			x	x	x			
Pensions				x	x	x	×	x		×						
Policy & Standards			x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			x
Project Management	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x				
Quality Management	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x			x		x			
Registration	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x		x	x		x			

Report to Council, (Sept 2017)